# Shielding properties of CuNiZn ferrite in the radio frequency range

M. S. Ruiz<sup>a</sup>; P. G. Bercoff<sup>b\*</sup>, S. E. Jacobo<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratorio de Fisicoquímica de Materiales Cerámicos Electrónicos (LAFMACEL). Facultad de Ingeniería (UBA) – INTECIN. Paseo Colón 850, (1063) Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires. Argentina.

 <sup>b</sup> Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía y Física, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. IFEG, CONICET. M. Allende s/n, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina.
 \* Corresponding author. Tel.: +54 351 4334051 (ext. 103). Fax: +54 351 4334054. E-mail: bercoff@famaf.unc.edu.ar

#### Abstract

Shielding properties of copper-doped NiZn ferrites in the frequency range 1MHz to 1.8GHz, were explored. Samples of composition  $Cu_xNi_{0.4-x}Zn_{0.6}Fe_2O_4$  with x=0.0; 0.1; 0.2; 0.3 and 0.4, were prepared by the ceramic method. Powders and pellets were sintered at 1100°C for 2 h. The effect of compositional variation on structural, dielectric and magnetic properties was investigated in order to relate them with the attenuation behavior. X-ray diffraction measurements confirmed the formation of a spinel structure at the selected sintering temperature. The addition of copper promotes grain growth, increases the samples density and modifies saturation magnetization, permeability and permittivity in the explored frequency range. As the reflection loss absolute values ( $|R_L|$ ) for all the samples are above 35 dB, they can all be considered as shielding materials. The optimum attenuation was observed for x=0.2, reaching a maximum  $|R_L|$  of almost 60 dB at 10 MHz with an attenuation bandwidth of at least 3 MHz.

*Keywords:* Attenuation properties; NiZn ferrites; CuZn ferrites.

### 1. Introduction

Ferrites exhibit an interesting behavior in the radiofrequency (RF) and in the microwave (MW) range, as they absorb energy from electromagnetic waves in these ranges of frequency. For this reason, these materials have been used as absorbers in various forms, e.g., sheets, paints, films, ceramic tiles, powders and loads in matrix composites [1, 2]. Among the spinel ferrites, NiZn ferrites have been widely used as electromagnetic wave absorbing materials in the VHF/UHF region [3] and as radar absorbing materials in X-band frequencies, as reported by A. R. Bueno et al. [4].

In this work, CuNiZn ferrites were synthesized by the ceramic method in order to explore the electromagnetic properties in the RF range. Ferrite of composition  $Ni_{0.4}Zn_{0.6}Fe_2O_4$  was chosen as the starting system according to our previous investigations [5]. Although microwave properties of these ferrites have been studied in different frequency ranges [6 - 7], to our knowledge, attenuation has not been studied in frequencies between 1 MHz and 1.8 GHz.

### 2. Experimental

Fine ferrite powders of compositions  $Cu_x Ni_{0.4-x} Zn_{0.6} Fe_2O_4$ , with  $0 \le x \le 0.4$  were prepared by the ceramic method using metal oxides of analytical grade purity (Aldrich

Sigma, Germany). Appropriate amounts of these precursors were ground and mixed thoroughly. The resulting mixture was moistened with acetone until homogenous and then it was calcined at 850 °C for 2 h. 1 wt% Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (bismuth oxide) was added to the pre-sintered powders in order to increase densification and to reduce the sintering temperature [8, 9]. The resulting powders were ground in a mortar, sieved and pressed with 2 ton/cm<sup>2</sup> in the form of pellets (1.5 cm diameter) and toroids (8 mm external diameter and 5 mm internal diameter) both with a thickness of ~1 mm. The final sintering was carried out at 1100°C for 2h. The spinel phase formation was confirmed by X-ray diffraction using a Rigaku diffractometer with CuK $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ =1.5406 Å). The scanning range was  $20-70^{\circ}$  (2 $\theta$ ), with step size of 0.02 (2 $\theta$ ) and step time of 1 s. The data were processed for phase characterization using the software X'pert©. Experimental densities were calculated by geometry. Porosity of all samples was calculated from the JCPDS Xray parameters for (Ni, Zn)Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The microstructure was examined using a scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM *Sigma Zeiss*). Microanalysis was performed with an Oxford EDS system and with an electron microprobe (JEOL JXA 8230). Magnetic hysteresis loops were obtained with a vibrating sample magnetometer (LakeShore 7300) with a maximum applied field of 15kOe. For the measurement of permittivity and permeability as a function of frequency, an impedance/material analyzer (LCR meter) in the frequency range 1MHz-1.8GHz (Hewlett Packard 4291A) was used.

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Structure

X-ray diffraction patterns of the powders  $Cu_xNi_{0.4-x}Zn_{0.6}Fe_2O_4$  sintered at 1100°C for 2 h. are shown in Figure 1. The patterns display the characteristic diffraction peaks of NiZn ferrites, with a spinel structure. No secondary phases such as CuO or CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> were detected for any of the substitutions. However, the coexistence of CuNiZn ferrite and copper oxides cannot be discarded. A secondary phase could be present in a small amount below the detection limit (~<3%) [10].

Cell parameters (*a*) are shown in Table I for all the studied compositions. The values of *a* are in the expected range for spinel ferrites [11] and slightly change with Cu substitution. As the ionic radius of Cu<sup>2+</sup> (0.73 Å) is bigger than that for Ni<sup>2+</sup> (0.69 Å) [12], substituting Ni<sup>2+</sup> for Cu<sup>2+</sup> introduces a slight increase in the cell parameter. This trend is observed up to x = 0.4 when all Ni<sup>2+</sup> has been replaced. The cell parameter for x=0.4 lies within the expected values for CuZn ferrite [13].




Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of  $Ni_{0.4-x}Cu_xZn_{0.6}Fe_2O_4$  powders after sintering at 1100 °C for 2 h.

Crystallite sizes were estimated using Scherrer formula and data from XRD spectra, while grain sizes were calculated from SEM images using magnifications of 5 kX (not presented here). Both parameters increase with substitution (see Table I). All samples show polyhedral grains, as it can be noticed in Figure 2.

Table I. Cell parameter *a*, experimental density  $\delta$ , porosity, crystallite size from Scherrer formula, grain size from SEM determinations, real permeability  $\Box$ '<sub>r</sub> and saturation magnetization Ms.

x	a [Å]	$\delta$ [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	Porosity (%)	Crystallite size [nm] (XRD)	Grain size [µm] (SEM)	μ´r	Ms [emu/g]
0.0	8.391	4.3	19	33	0.4	40	57
0.1	8.396	4.8	9	40	0.9	100	65
0.2	8.408	4.8	9	35	1.9	240	73
0.3	8.413	4.7	12	44	3.9	280	63
0.4	8.406	4.9	7	51	5.2	380	39




Figure 2. (a) to (e) SEM images obtained with the same magnification (25kX). The bar corresponds to  $1\mu m$ .

Copper substitution also increases the bulk density  $\delta$  of the ferrite (see Table I). This is related to the increase in grain size and the porosity reduction in the ferrite microstructure as shown in Figure 2 (a-e), where SEM images are displayed with the same magnetization in order to emphasize the grain size increase with Cu substitution.






Figure 3. SEM image of sample with x=0.3. The crosses marked at the grain boundaries indicate the copper concentration at those spots. The bar corresponds to 1  $\mu$ m.

The effect of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition favors high density values [8] and prevents Zn volatilization. Porosity of all samples was estimated by comparing experimental densities with values from JCPDS X-ray parameters for (Ni, Zn)Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Table I). EDS analysis (not shown) confirms the nominal composition for each sample.

In order to investigate the homogeneity of copper distribution in tgrain boundaries as well as within the grains, electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) was performed on all samples. Cu concentration values ranging from 5 to 7 mol% were obtained in different grain boundaries (crosses marked in Fig 3) indicating a homogenous Cu distribution within the grain boundaries. Figure 4 shows X-ray maps of Cu, Ni and Zn. These results also support homogeneous cation distribution within the grains.




Cu Lα1\_2



Νί Κα1





5μm

ſ

5μm

5μm

Figure 4. FE-SEM image (top, left) and X-ray maps of Cu Ni and Zn, for sample with x=0.3.

#### 3.2. Magnetic properties

Figure 5 shows saturation magnetization ( $M_S$ ) as a function of Cu substitution. The inset shows the first quadrant of the M(H) curves for all samples. Very small coercivities (around 290 Oe) were obtained in every case.




Figure 5. Compositional variation of saturation magnetization of  $Cu_xNi_{0.4-x}Zn_{0.6}Fe_2O_4$ . Inset: First quadrant of M(H) curves for all samples.

Magnetization in ferrites depends on factors such as chemical composition, cation distribution, crystallinity, phase segregation, porosity, grain size, etc. Particularly, it is strongly influenced by the site preference of ions in the spinel lattice. The magnetic arrangement of a spinel ferrite consists of two sub-lattices: tetrahedral (A) and octahedral (B). According to Neél's model, ferrites posses a ferrimagnetic structure in which the magnetization of the A sub-lattice is anti-parallel to that of the B sub-lattice. Within sub-lattices the individual magnetic moments are arranged parallel to one another. Following Neél's model, considering the theoretical magnetic moments (1 $\mu_B$  for Cu<sup>2+</sup>, 2 $\mu_B$  for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 0 $\mu_B$  for Zn<sup>2+</sup> and 5 $\mu_B$  for Fe<sup>3+</sup>) and the sites preferency of metallic ions (Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup> have a strong preference for the A and B sites respectively, while  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  may be present in both sites although they show some preference for B sites,), the substitution of Ni<sup>2+</sup> by Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions in B sites of the spinel Cu<sub>x</sub>Ni<sub>0.4-x</sub>Zn<sub>0.6</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> should decrease saturation magnetization for any x. Nevertheless, Ms increases up to x = 0.2 (Fig 5 and/or Table 1) and decreases for further substitutions. In order to explain this discrepancy, we propose that for low Cu contents ( $0 < x \le 0.2$ ), some of the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions may migrate from B to A sites (i.e. limited solubility of Cu<sup>2+</sup> in the B sub-lattice). Thus, a migration of Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions occurs in the opposite direction, i.e. from A to B sites, changing the magnetic structure of the substituted ferrite and leading to an increase of the total magnetization with copper content. Analogous models have been corroborated by other researchers in similar systems [14, 15].

For x>0.2, higher  $Fe^{3+}$  migrations to the B sites may occur, introducing a decrease in the A-B superexchange interaction and consequently a decrease in the sample's saturation magnetization.

#### 3.3 Magnetic and Dielectric properties

In order to investigate attenuation properties of this system, a complete analysis of magnetic permeability and dielectric permittivity was performed.

Ni ferrites have low permeability values which are enhanced by Zn inclusion [5, 16]. Figure 6 shows the real component of the relative complex permeability ( $\mu=\mu'$  –i  $\mu''$ ) as well as the loss factor, tan  $\delta_{\mu}$  (tan  $\delta_{\mu} = \mu''/\mu'$ ) as a function of frequency for different compositions. Copper substitution increases magnetic permeability while the resonant frequency moves towards lower frequencies, as it is expected [17]. The resonant frequency is related to attenuation phenomena because when the frequency of the applied magnetic field equals the Larmor precession of the electron spins, resonance occurs and the energy is transferred from the field to the system orienting the magnetic dipoles.




Figure 6. Real part of magnetic permeability of  $Cu_x Ni_{0.4-x} Zn_{0.6} Fe_2 O_4$ . The inset shows the magnetic loss tangent profiles, tan  $\delta_{\mu}$ .

As it is widely accepted, permeability in ferrites proceeds via two mechanisms, domain wall motion and domain rotation [18]. The permeability can be expressed as  $\mu=1+\chi_{spin}+\chi_{dw}$ , where  $\chi_{spin}$  is the susceptibility due to spins and  $\chi_{dw}$  susceptibility due to domain wall motion [19]. Figure 6 shows that the lower permeability values are observed for samples with x = 0.0 and 0.1, probably because these samples present the smaller grain sizes (Figure 2 a-b). For the rest of the samples, higher  $\mu'$  are obtained because,


among other considerations, domain wall oscillations can contribute to permeability as the grains are larger. Also, a higher  $\mu$  value is related to higher densities because there is a decrease in the intergranular porosity and hence a reduction in the demagnetizing field due to pores.


Since magnetic losses are related to the attenuation effect, tan  $\delta_{\mu}$  profiles as a function of frequency were also analyzed. For all compositions, tan  $\delta_{\mu}$  steeply increases for frequencies higher than 10 MHz (inset of Figure 6).

Permitivity increases with Cu substitution up to x=0.2 and decreases for higher values (Figure 7). The real component of the relative dielectric permittivity remains nearly constant with low values ( $\epsilon$ '<8) and the relative imaginary part  $\epsilon$ '' is negligible for all cases ( $\epsilon$ '<<1, not shown in the figure). The obtained  $\epsilon$ ' values are nearly constant, possibly because the interfacial and dipolar polarizations play a dominant role at lower frequencies, decreasing their contributions with frequency.

Polarization is related to the sample density and homogeneity, among other factors. Some authors reported that Cu addition in NiZn ferrites may introduce some inhomogeneity that favors polarization, causing an increase in  $\epsilon$ ' [6]. However, in our samples the increase in  $\epsilon$ ' is mainly related to the increase in density, as we have determined a homogeneous cation distribution (Figures 3 and 4).

The dielectric permittivity  $\varepsilon'$  is a result of the contribution of four types of polarizations, namely, interfacial, dipolar, electronic and ionic [20]. The dispersion in dielectric permittivity is more pronounced at lower frequencies than at higher frequencies.





Reflection loss (R<sub>L</sub>) in ferrite absorbers can be calculated from the measured relative complex permeability ( $\mu = \mu' - j \mu''$ ) and permittivity ( $\epsilon = \epsilon' - j \epsilon''$ ), according to [21]:

$$R_{L}(dB) = 20\log|Z_{in} - 1|/|Z_{in} + 1|,$$
(1)

being  $Z_{in}$  the relative impedance of the sample, ratio between the impedance of the sample and the impedance of the air  $Z_0(377\Omega)$ , calculated as:

$$Z_{in} = \sqrt{\mu/\varepsilon} \tanh\left[-j(2\pi D/c)(\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon})\right]$$
(2)

where c the velocity of light ( $3.8 \times 10^8$  m/s), *D* is the sample thickness and *f* the frequency (in Hz). Using the experimental values of the relative complex permeability and permittivity (equations 1 and 2), it is possible to estimate the attenuation properties. Figure 8 shows the dependence of reflection loss on frequency, for all x. For increasing copper content, the maximum  $|R_L|$  is shifted to lower frequencies. A value of  $|R_L|$  greater than 20 dB means that the material absorbs 99% of the input power. As the  $|R_L|$  values for all the samples are above 35dB, they can all be considered as appropriate shielding materials. The samples exhibit a relatively wide, composition-dependent attenuation bandwidth in the frequency region from 2 MHz to 40 MHz.




Figure 8. Frequency dependence of reflection loss (|R<sub>L</sub>|) of Cu<sub>x</sub>Ni<sub>0.4-x</sub>Zn<sub>0.6</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

Figure 9 shows the bandwidths values at  $|R_L| = 20$ dB for each composition. These values decrease with copper content up to x = 0.3. The bandwidths are quite narrow, so these materials may be suitable for applications as electromagnetic filters when only

narrow frequency ranges are desired. Cu-substituted NiZn ferrite with x=0.2 reaches a maximum attenuation of  $|R_L| \sim 60 \text{ dB}$  at 10 MHz, with a bandwidth of 3 MHz.




Figure 9. Attenuation bandwidth of Cu<sub>x</sub>Ni<sub>0.4-x</sub>Zn<sub>0.6</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (20 dB).

#### 4. Conclusions

CuNiZn ferrites were successfully prepared by the ceramic method and the influence of Cu was analyzed. XRD confirms the spinel phase for all compositions. Saturation magnetization, permeability and permitivity are modified with Cu substitution. The samples exhibit a relatively wide, composition-dependent attenuation bandwidth at frequencies from 2 MHz to 40 MHz. As the  $|R_L|$  values for all the samples are above 35dB, they can all be considered as shielding materials.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was partially funded by UBACyT, Secyt-UNC and CONICET. The authors are grateful to Ph. D. Gustavo Castellano from Lamarx for EPMA results (http://www.famaf.unc.edu.ar/lamarx).

## References

- [1] K. Hatakeyama, T. Inui, IEEE Trans. Magn. 20 (5) (1984) 1261-1263.
- [2] K. Shimba, N. Tezuka, S. Sugimoto, Materials Science and Engineering B 177 (2012) 251–256.

- [3] S.-S. Kim, D.-H. Han, S.-B. Cho, IEEE Trans. Magn. 30 (6) (1994) 4554-4556.
- [4] A.R. Bueno M. L. Gregori, M. C. S. Nóbrega, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 320 (2008) 864– 870.
- [5] S.E. Jacobo, W.G. Fano, A.C. Razzitte, Physica B 320 (2002) 261–263.
- [6] M. C. Dimri, A. Verma, S. C. Kashyap, D.C. Dube, O.P. Thakur, Chandra Prakash, Materials Science and Engineering B 133 (2006) 42–48.
- [7] J. Shrotri, S.D. Kulkarni, C.E. Deshpande, A. Mitra, S.R. Sainkar, P.S. Anil Kumar, S.K. Date, Mater. Chem. Phys. 59 (1999) 1-5.
- [8] S. F. Wang, Y. R. Wang, T.C.K. Yang, C.F. Chen, C.A. Lu, C.Y. Huang, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 220 (2000) 129-138.
- [9] A. M. El-Sayed, Ceramics International, 28 (2002) 363-367.
- [10] J. Mürbe, J. Töpfer, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 324 (2012) 578-583.
- [11] A.A. Sattar, H.M. El-Sayed, K.M. El-Shokrofy and M.M. El-Tabey, Journal of Applied Sciences 5 (1) (2005) 162-168.
- [12] Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC Press. 93rd Edition 2012-2013.
- [13] E. J. W. Verwey and E. L. Heilmann, J. Chem. Phys. 15, (1947) 174-180.
- [14] U. R. Lima, M.C. Nasar, R.S. Nasar, M.C. Rezende, J.H. Araújo, J.F. Oliveira, Materials Science and Engineering B 151 (2008) 238–242.
- [15] E. Rezlescu, L. Sachelarie, P. D. Popa, and N. Rezlescu, IEEE Trans on Magn. 36, (2000) 3962-3967.
- [16] J. Smit, H.P.J.Wijn, "Ferrites", Eindhovan, Philips Technical Library (1959).
- 17] A. Globus, J. Phys. (Paris), Colloq 1 (1977) 1.
- [18] S. Chikazumi, Physics of Ferromagnetism, Claredon Press, Oxford (1977).
- [19] Nakamura, J. Appl. Phys. 88 (2000) 348-353.
- [20] L.L. Hench, J.K.West, Principles of Electronic Ceramics, JohnWiley, New York (1990).
- [21] E. De Fazio, P.G. Bercoff, S.E. Jacobo; J. Magn. Magn. Mater, 323, (2011) 2813-2817.