



Formation of a magnetic composite by reduction of Co-Nd doped strontium hexaferrite in a hydrogen gas flow

C. A. Herme¹, P. G. Bercoff^{2*} and S. E. Jacobo¹

¹ Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de Buenos Aires, LAFMACEL-INTECIN. Paseo Colón 850, 1063 Buenos Aires, Argentina.

²Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía y Física, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. IFEG, CONICET. Ciudad Universitaria. 5000 Córdoba, Argentina.

Elsevier use only: Received date here; revised date here; accepted date here

Abstract

Co-Nd strontium hexaferrite nanoparticles synthesized by the self-combustion method were treated in a hydrogen flow at different temperatures and times. The samples were characterized structurally by scanning electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction and magnetically with a vibrating sample magnetometer. Phase identification showed decomposition of the hexaferrite structure into Fe_3O_4 and different strontium mixed oxides. The sample treated at 500°C for 30 minutes shows good magnetic properties due to the formation of a magnetite/hexaferrite composite. In this case saturation magnetization is very close to the original sample while the coercivity slightly diminishes. The hexagonal phase is almost completely transformed into different oxides at a reducing temperature of 500°C for 120 minutes. The obtained results are analyzed in terms of the phase composition and of the magnetic susceptibility of the studied samples.

© 2001 Elsevier Science. All rights reserved

Keywords: composites, magnetic nanoparticles, strontium hexaferrite

1. Introduction

Hexagonal ferrites have multiple commercial applications: magnetic recording, permanent magnets, microwave devices applications, ferrofluids, and many others. They are hard magnetic materials with a general formula xSrO·yFe₂O₃·zMeO, where Me is a divalent metal, structurally related with

magnetoplumbite. Besides, they are characterized by their large magnetocrystalline anisotropy values.

In almost every case, the ion Sr^{2+} can be partial or totally replaced by Ca^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , or even La^{3+} (in such case an equivalent amount of Fe^{3+} should be replaced by Fe^{2+} or another divalent cation).

It is well known that the properties of any material can be successfully modified by thermal treatment in different gas atmospheres. New magnetic phases can be obtained by this way.

Corresponding author: bercoff@famaf.unc.edu.ar

The reduction of iron oxides in hydrogen atmosphere has been widely studied and carries out an important role in many catalytic reactions: ammonia synthesis, carbon monoxide hydrogenation, etc. An important attention has been devoted to hematite reduction, Fe_2O_3 , to magnetite, Fe_3O_4 . The nature of this process is extremely complicated and depends on the physicochemical characteristics of the oxide and the reduction conditions. Among the influent factors there are the temperature, steam pressure, particle size and the presence of impurities. It should not be ignored that such oxides are non-stoichiometric compounds, due to the easy tendency of Fe^{2+} to oxidize into Fe^{3+} . A three stages mechanism: Fe_2O_3 $\rightarrow Fe_3O_4 \rightarrow FeO$ (wüstite) $\rightarrow Fe^0$, is often postulated.

Some hexaferrites treatments in nitrogen, hydrogen and carbon monoxide atmospheres were reported [1,2]. In those papers composition, morphology and magnetic properties were related with the gas/steam relation. A.Farghali et al [3] carried out thermal treatments between 400°C and 600°C in a reducing atmosphere and came to the conclusion that the oxygen desorption mechanism is controlled by the diffusion and the interfacial chemical reactions. It was reported [4] that the treatment of hexaferrite in hydrogen atmosphere and its further annealing in open air is an alternative method to improve the coercivity of the material. In all these works the formation of intermediary phases of iron and strontium oxides was reported.

In a former paper [5] we have reported the results on the preparation of strontium ferrites partially substituted with Nd³⁺ and Co²⁺. Improvements on the magnetic properties were obtained by us [6] and an enhancement of 47% in coercivity was achieved for the composition $Sr_{0.70}Co_{0.30}Nd_{0.30}Fe_{11.70}O_{19}$ (4615 Oe or 58.00 A/m) with respect to the non-substituted material, $SrFe_{12}O_{19}$. We observed that hematite is segregated with increasing substitution of Nd³⁺ and Co^{2+} . So we proposed an iron deficient formula: Sr_{1-x} $Co_xNd_xFe_{12-x}O_{19}$ in order to avoid secondary phases. We found that the best Fe/Sr relation in order to get monophase systems lies between 10 and 11.5 [5].

In this work a larger Fe/Sr relation was intentionally chosen in order to study the reduction of the system $Sr_{0.70}Co_{0.30}Nd_{0.30}Fe_{11.70}O_{19}/Fe_2O_3$. This material, previously characterized elsewhere [6] was submitted to different thermal treatments by a hydrogen gas flow at several temperatures. The aim of this work is the structural and magnetic characterization of the particles that result from these treatments. To the best of our knowledge no similar results have been reported yet.

2. Experimental

Samples of composition $Sr_{0.70}Co_{0.30}Nd_{0.30}Fe_{11.70}O_{19}$ were prepared by the self-combustion method as it was previously reported [5]. The reactants were dissolved in HNO₃ 0.1M at room temperature and citric acid was

added to the solution to complex metal cations. Finally, concentrated ammonia was added to carry the pH of the solution up to 9, approximately. The solution was partially evaporated on a heater to obtain a gel that began its self-combustion. At the end of the reaction a thin powdered mixture of precursors was obtained. This material was milled and then heated one hour at 400°C to eliminate volatile substances. Finally, the ferrite powders were annealed at 1100°C for an hour in a program-controlled furnace. A hard magnetic material was obtained, with a mean particle size of about 40 nm. This substance was named N.

For the reduction experiments, samples of 50 mg were taken, dried and milled. These samples were put in crucibles into a horizontal tubular furnace, with controlled atmosphere. During the treatments a stream of hydrogen diluted in argon (H₂ 5% $^{v}/_{v}$) was flowing over the ferrite samples, at different temperatures and for different times. In all cases a N₂ flow was used before the soaking temperature was reached and after the treatment was finished, until room temperature was reached. In this way, the samples would be reduced by the H₂ flow during the desired temperature plateau of each treatment. Gas flows of about 0.6 liters/minute were used. The names of the different treated samples are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Sample denomination, temperatures and times of reduction under hydrogen flow, phases in each sample (in order of decreasing abundance), saturation magnetization M_S and coercivity *H*c.

т	time	Dhasas	M	He
1		1 Hases	IVIS	
[°C]	[min]		[emu/g]	[Oe]
-	-	SrFe ₁₂ O ₁₉		
		Fe ₂ O ₃	76.3	4750
400	30	SrFe ₁₂ O ₁₉		
		Fe ₂ O ₃	60.7	2590
		Fe ₃ O ₄		
		Sr ₃ Fe ₂ O ₇		
450	30	SrFe ₁₂ O ₁₉		
		Fe ₂ O ₃	25.9	4280
		Fe ₃ O ₄		
		Sr ₃ Fe ₂ O ₇		
500	30	SrFe ₁₂ O ₁₉		
		Fe ₃ O ₄	79.2	3490
		Fe ₂ O ₃		
		Sr ₃ Fe ₂ O ₇		
500	120	Fe ₂ O ₃		
		Fe ₃ O ₄	42.0	465
		$Sr_3Fe_2O_7$		
	T [°C] - 400 450 500 500	T time [min] [°C] [min] - - 400 30 450 30 500 30 500 120	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

All the samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM). XRD determinations were made with a Philips PW3120 diffractometer using Cu K α radiation in the range 25–60° in 0.02° steps. Sample morphology was analyzed by SEM in a DSM 982 Gemini Zeiss microscope. A LakeShore 7300 VSM magnetometer was used to measure magnetization curves as function of applied magnetic field (*M vs H*) at room temperature, up to a maximum value of 15 kOe (190 A/m).

3. Results and Discussion

The diffractograms of the studied samples are shown in Fig. 1. The pattern corresponding to sample N (not reduced) is shown at the top. The main phase in this sample is strontium hexaferrite and about 20% of segregated hematite can also be noticed.



Fig. 1. Diffractograms of the studied set of samples.

This presence of Fe^{3+} oxide has been already reported in many papers on the preparation of similar ferrite samples [7-10].

The main phases that appear in the set of studied samples during the reduction process (ordered by diminishing abundance) are shown in Table 1. Both Table 1 and Fig. 1 indicate that the reduction process is very sensitive to the experimental conditions of temperature and time, since the different phases relative abundances were modified (different relative intensities of the diffraction peaks). Quantitative phases determination was difficult to accomplish because of the overlapping of magnetite peaks with hexaferrite or hematite peaks.

These results indicate that the reduction process starts in the hexagonal ferrite phase, modifying it into varied stoichiometry strontium oxides. Hematite seems to be very stable and its abundance is increased, whereas hexaferrite diminishes, as it is shown by the following proposed reaction:

$3SrFe_{12}O_{19} + H_2 \rightarrow Sr_3Fe_2O_7 + 11Fe_2O_3 + 4Fe_3O_4 + H_2O.$

In sample N400-30, magnetite starts to appear without conclusive evidence of degradation of the hexagonal phase. This can be shown by the relative increase of the intensities ratio of hexaferrite 200/201 reflections, since the (311) family planes signal from magnetite is at the same angular position than the (201) family planes signal from hexaferrite.

In sample N500-30 a dramatic decrease in the intensity of the strongest hematite peak is observed

 $(2\theta = 33.12^{\circ})$. The increased intensity on the 200/201 reflections can be due to a greater amount of magnetite, probably yielded by the hematite already present in the material.

The hexagonal phase is almost completely degraded in the treatment of 120 minutes, so an overreduction of the sample is produced in this case. These results are quite different from those reported by A.Farghali et al [3] where wüstite (FeO) and even metallic iron were found in samples treated at 500°C.



Fig. 2. SEM micrographs of samples N (a) and N500-120 (b).

The morphology of sample N is shown in Fig. 2a, where hexagonal platelet-like particles with a rather homogeneous size distribution are observed with mean diameter of 300 nm and thickness of 80 nm. During the reducing treatment this structure degrades and changes until finally (in sample N500-120), cubic crystals of 200 nm are obtained (Fig. 2b).

The *M* vs *H* curves measured at room temperature are shown in Fig. 3. Sample N has a hysteresis loop which is typical of a hard magnetic material with a high coercivity of 4750 Oe (59.75 A/m) and a magnetization of 62.2 emu/g at 15 kOe. Saturation magnetization M_S was calculated for all the samples by extrapolation of *M* vs 1/*H* for 1/*H* = 0.



Fig. 3. Hysteresis loops of the studied samples.

As temperature and time of the reduction process increase, coercivity and magnetization tend to decrease. The values of $M_{\rm S}$ and $H_{\rm C}$ for all the samples are shown in Table 1.

The *M* vs *H* curves of samples N400-30, N450-30 and N500-30 are consistent with a mixture of soft and hard magnetic phases. These results agree with XRD results, where magnetite and hexaferrite are noticed. The hysteresis loop of sample N500-120 clearly shows the disappearance of the hard magnetic phase, which is also in agreement with XRD results, since this sample contains mostly magnetite and hematite.

Magnetic susceptibility is often computed as the field derivative of the superior branch of the hysteresis loop. This magnitude is related to the inversion field distributions and is particularly interesting in multiphase samples since it can provide useful information.

At room temperature, Fe_2O_3 is a weak ferromagnetic phase ($M_S \sim 0.4$ -0.8 emu/g) with low coercivity in absence of defects [11] which is the case for the samples in this work (prepared by the selfcombustion method and posterior calcination). Therefore, the contribution of hematite to the susceptibility of any of the samples in this work can be disregarded.

Fig. 4 shows the calculated susceptibilities of all the samples. The inset in Fig. 4 shows the susceptibilities of the samples with only one contribution: sample N which contains only hexaferrite and hematite, and sample N500-120 with magnetite, hematite and small amounts of mixed Sr oxide. The peak observed for sample N (centered in 5200 Oe) is attributed to Sr hexaferrite and the one in sample N500-120 (at 300 Oe) is assigned to magnetite. The rest of the samples display a combination of both peaks, indicating the presence of both phases. As the temperature of the reduction treatment increases, more magnetite is formed and probably the hexaferrite is more stable. This is supported by the magnitudes of both peaks in the susceptibility, which were increased from 400 to 500°C (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Susceptibilities of the samples calculated as dM/dH. Inset: curves for the samples with only one magnetic phase.

The best combination of magnetization and coercivity for a hexaferrite/magnetite composite is

obtained for sample N500-30. However, even when the resulting material maintains a rather high coercivity, its magnetization is only slightly improved with respect to the sample without reduction treatment. This is probably because there is still some hematite left in the sample.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, particles of $Sr_{0.70}Co_{0.30}Nd_{0.30}Fe_{11.70}O_{19}/Fe_2O_3$ were reduced in hydrogen flow under different experimental conditions. New phases were identified at the end of each treatment. The aim of reducing Fe_2O_3 to Fe_3O_4 to form a hard/soft magnetic composite was accomplished at different degrees according to the thermal treatment. The best results were obtained for sample N500-30, after reducing for 30 minutes at 500°C. Lower temperatures give less magnetite and longer treatment times degrade the hexaferrite.

Further studies are being conducted on this sample in order to clarify the different phases morphological distribution.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by UBACyT (grant I-011) and Secyt-UNC.

References

- [1] A. Ataie, C. Ponton, and I. Harris; J. Mater. Sci. 31 (1996), 5521.
- [2] S. Ebrahimi, C. Ponton, and I. Harris; J. Mater. Sci. 34 (1999), 35-52.
- [3] A. Farghali, M. Zayed, M. Khedr, and A. Moustafa; Int. J. of Phys. Sci. 3 (5) (2008), 131.

[4] H. Kodhar, S. Ebrahimi, A. Yourdkhani, R. Dehghan, and F. Zajkaniha; J. of All. and Comp. 479 (2009), 638.

- [5] P. Bercoff, C. Herme, and S. Jacobo; J. Mag. Mag. Mater. 321 (2009), 2245-2250.
- [6] S. Jacobo, C. Herme, and P. Bercoff; J. of All. and Comp. 495 (2010), 513-515.
- [7] F. Kools, A. Morel, R. Grössinger, J. M. Le Breton, P.Tenaud; J. Mag. Mag. Mater. 242-245 (2002), 1270-1276.
- [8] L.Lechevallier, J. M. Le Breton, A. Morel, P.Tenaud; J. Mag. Mag. Mater. 316 (2007) 109-111.

[9] M. I. Oliva, P. G. Bercoff, H. R. Bertorello; J. Mag. Mag. Mater. 320 (14) (2008) 100-103.

[10] C. Herme, S. E. Jacobo, P. G. Bercoff, B. Arcondo; Hyperfine Interact. 195 (1-3) (2010) 205-212.

[11] A. H. Morrish, Canted antiferromagnetism: Hematite; World Scientific Publishing Co., 1994.