

Separation in Generic Extensions

Emmanuel Gunther Miguel Pagano Pedro Sánchez Terraf

January 10, 2019

Contents

1 Relativization and Absoluteness	13
1.1 Relativized versions of standard set-theoretic concepts	13
1.2 The relativized ZF axioms	19
1.3 A trivial consistency proof for V_ω	20
1.4 Lemmas Needed to Reduce Some Set Constructions to Instances of Separation	22
1.5 Introducing a Transitive Class Model	24
1.5.1 Trivial Absoluteness Proofs: Empty Set, Pairs, etc.	24
1.5.2 Absoluteness for Unions and Intersections	25
1.5.3 Absoluteness for Separation and Replacement	26
1.5.4 The Operator <i>is-Replace</i>	27
1.5.5 Absoluteness for <i>Lambda</i>	28
1.5.6 Absoluteness for the Natural Numbers	29
1.6 Absoluteness for Ordinals	30
1.7 Some instances of separation and strong replacement	32
1.7.1 converse of a relation	34
1.7.2 image, preimage, domain, range	34
1.7.3 Domain, range and field	35
1.7.4 Relations, functions and application	35
1.7.5 Composition of relations	36
1.7.6 Some Facts About Separation Axioms	38
1.7.7 Functions and function space	39
1.8 Relativization and Absoluteness for Boolean Operators	40
1.9 Relativization and Absoluteness for List Operators	41
1.9.1 <i>quasilist</i> : For Case-Splitting with <i>list-case'</i>	42
1.9.2 <i>list-case'</i> , the Modified Version of <i>list-case</i>	43
1.9.3 The Modified Operators <i>hd'</i> and <i>tl'</i>	43

2	First-Order Formulas and the Definition of the Class L	45
2.1	Internalized formulas of FOL	45
2.2	Dividing line between primitive and derived connectives	47
2.2.1	Derived rules to help build up formulas	48
2.3	Arity of a Formula: Maximum Free de Bruijn Index	49
2.4	Renaming Some de Bruijn Variables	50
2.5	Renaming all but the First de Bruijn Variable	51
2.6	Definable Powerset	52
2.7	Internalized Formulas for the Ordinals	55
2.7.1	The subset relation	55
2.7.2	Transitive sets	55
2.7.3	Ordinals	56
2.8	Constant Lset: Levels of the Constructible Universe	56
2.8.1	Transitivity	57
2.8.2	Monotonicity	57
2.8.3	0, successor and limit equations for Lset	58
2.8.4	Lset applied to Limit ordinals	59
2.8.5	Basic closure properties	59
2.9	Constructible Ordinals: Kunen's VI 1.9 (b)	59
2.9.1	Unions	60
2.9.2	Finite sets and ordered pairs	61
2.9.3	For L to satisfy the Powerset axiom	63
2.10	Eliminating <i>arity</i> from the Definition of <i>Lset</i>	64
3	Relativized Wellorderings	69
3.1	Wellorderings	69
3.1.1	Trivial absoluteness proofs	69
3.1.2	Well-founded relations	70
3.1.3	Kunen's lemma IV 3.14, page 123	71
3.2	Relativized versions of order-isomorphisms and order types .	72
3.3	Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6	73
4	Relativized Well-Founded Recursion	73
4.1	General Lemmas	73
4.2	Reworking of the Recursion Theory Within M	75
4.3	Relativization of the ZF Predicate <i>is-recfun</i>	79
5	Absoluteness of Well-Founded Recursion	80
5.1	Transitive closure without fixedpoints	80
5.2	M is closed under well-founded recursion	85
5.3	Absoluteness without assuming transitivity	86

6 Absoluteness Properties for Recursive Datatypes	86
6.1 The lfp of a continuous function can be expressed as a union	86
6.1.1 Some Standard Datatype Constructions Preserve Continuity	88
6.2 Absoluteness for "Iterates"	89
6.3 lists without univ	90
6.4 formulas without univ	91
6.5 M Contains the List and Formula Datatypes	92
6.5.1 Towards Absoluteness of $formula\text{-}rec$	93
6.5.2 Absoluteness of the List Construction	96
6.5.3 Absoluteness of Formulas	97
6.6 Absoluteness for ε -Closure: the $eclose$ Operator	98
6.7 Absoluteness for $transrec$	99
6.8 Absoluteness for the List Operator $length$	100
6.9 Absoluteness for the List Operator nth	101
6.10 Relativization and Absoluteness for the $formula$ Constructors	102
6.11 Absoluteness for $formula\text{-}rec$	103
6.11.1 Absoluteness for the Formula Operator $depth$	103
6.11.2 $is\text{-}formula\text{-}case$: relativization of $formula\text{-}case$	104
6.11.3 Absoluteness for $formula\text{-}rec$: Final Results	105
6.12 Internalized Formulas for some Set-Theoretic Concepts	107
6.12.1 Some numbers to help write de Bruijn indices	107
6.12.2 The Empty Set, Internalized	107
6.12.3 Unordered Pairs, Internalized	108
6.12.4 Ordered pairs, Internalized	109
6.12.5 Binary Unions, Internalized	109
6.12.6 Set "Cons," Internalized	110
6.12.7 Successor Function, Internalized	110
6.12.8 The Number 1, Internalized	111
6.12.9 Big Union, Internalized	111
6.12.10 Variants of Satisfaction Definitions for Ordinals, etc.	111
6.12.11 Membership Relation, Internalized	112
6.12.12 Predecessor Set, Internalized	113
6.12.13 Domain of a Relation, Internalized	113
6.12.14 Range of a Relation, Internalized	114
6.12.15 Field of a Relation, Internalized	114
6.12.16 Image under a Relation, Internalized	115
6.12.17 Pre-Image under a Relation, Internalized	115
6.12.18 Function Application, Internalized	116
6.12.19 The Concept of Relation, Internalized	116
6.12.20 The Concept of Function, Internalized	117
6.12.21 Typed Functions, Internalized	117
6.12.22 Composition of Relations, Internalized	118
6.12.23 Injections, Internalized	118

6.12.24	Surjections, Internalized	119
6.12.25	Bijections, Internalized	119
6.12.26	Restriction of a Relation, Internalized	120
6.12.27	Order-Isomorphisms, Internalized	120
6.12.28	Limit Ordinals, Internalized	121
6.12.29	Finite Ordinals: The Predicate “Is A Natural Number”	122
6.12.30	Omega: The Set of Natural Numbers	122
6.13	Internalized Forms of Data Structuring Operators	123
6.13.1	The Formula <i>is-Inl</i> , Internalized	123
6.13.2	The Formula <i>is-Inr</i> , Internalized	123
6.13.3	The Formula <i>is-Nil</i> , Internalized	124
6.13.4	The Formula <i>is-Cons</i> , Internalized	124
6.13.5	The Formula <i>is-quaselist</i> , Internalized	125
6.14	Absoluteness for the Function <i>nth</i>	125
6.14.1	The Formula <i>is-hd</i> , Internalized	125
6.14.2	The Formula <i>is-tl</i> , Internalized	125
6.14.3	The Operator <i>is-bool-of-o</i>	126
6.15	More Internalizations	127
6.15.1	The Operator <i>is-lambda</i>	127
6.15.2	The Operator <i>is-Member</i> , Internalized	127
6.15.3	The Operator <i>is-Equal</i> , Internalized	128
6.15.4	The Operator <i>is-Nand</i> , Internalized	128
6.15.5	The Operator <i>is-Forall</i> , Internalized	129
6.15.6	The Operator <i>is-and</i> , Internalized	129
6.15.7	The Operator <i>is-or</i> , Internalized	130
6.15.8	The Operator <i>is-not</i> , Internalized	130
6.16	Well-Founded Recursion!	131
6.16.1	The Operator <i>M-is-recfun</i>	131
6.16.2	The Operator <i>is-wfrec</i>	132
6.17	For Datatypes	133
6.17.1	Binary Products, Internalized	133
6.17.2	Binary Sums, Internalized	133
6.17.3	The Operator <i>quasimat</i>	134
6.17.4	The Operator <i>is-nat-case</i>	134
6.18	The Operator <i>iterates-MH</i> , Needed for Iteration	135
6.18.1	The Operator <i>is-iterates</i>	136
6.18.2	The Formula <i>is-eclose-n</i> , Internalized	137
6.18.3	Membership in <i>eclose(A)</i>	138
6.18.4	The Predicate “Is <i>eclose(A)</i> ”	138
6.18.5	The List Functor, Internalized	139
6.18.6	The Formula <i>is-list-N</i> , Internalized	139
6.18.7	The Predicate “Is A List”	140
6.18.8	The Predicate “Is <i>list(A)</i> ”	140
6.18.9	The Formula Functor, Internalized	141

6.18.10 The Formula <i>is-formula-N</i> , Internalized	141
6.18.11 The Predicate “Is A Formula”	142
6.18.12 The Predicate “Is <i>formula</i> ”	143
6.18.13 The Operator <i>is-transrec</i>	143
7 Auxiliary results	144
8 Auxiliary results	146
9 Renaming of free variables	146
10 Renaming of formulas	147
11 eclose properties	171

theory *Pointed-DC imports ZF.AC*

begin

This proof of DC is from Moschovakis "Notes on Set Theory"

consts *dc-witness* :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

wit0 : *dc-witness*($0, A, a, s, R$) = a

witrec : *dc-witness*(*succ*(n), A, a, s, R) = $s^{\cdot} \{x \in A. \langle dc\text{-witness}(n, A, a, s, R), x \rangle \in R\}$

lemma *witness-into-A* [*TC*] : $a \in A \Rightarrow n \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow$
 $(\forall X. X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \rightarrow s^{\cdot} X \in X) \Rightarrow$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0 \Rightarrow$
 $dc\text{-witness}(n, A, a, s, R) \in A$

apply (*induct-tac* n ,*simp+*)

apply (*drule-tac* $x = dc\text{-witness}(x, A, a, s, R)$ **in** *bspec, assumption*)

apply (*drule-tac* $x = \{xa \in A. \langle dc\text{-witness}(x, A, a, s, R), xa \rangle \in R\}$ **in** *spec*)

apply *auto*

done

lemma *witness-related* : $a \in A \Rightarrow n \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow$
 $(\forall X. X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \rightarrow s^{\cdot} X \in X) \Rightarrow$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\langle dc\text{-witness}(n, A, a, s, R), dc\text{-witness}(\text{succ}(n), A, a, s,$

$R) \rangle \in R$

apply (*frule-tac* $n=n$ **and** $s=s$ **and** $R=R$ **in** *witness-into-A, assumption+*)

apply (*drule-tac* $x = dc\text{-witness}(n, A, a, s, R)$ **in** *bspec, assumption*)

apply (*drule-tac* $x = \{x \in A. \langle dc\text{-witness}(n, A, a, s, R), x \rangle \in R\}$ **in** *spec*)

apply (*simp, blast*)

done

lemma *witness-funtype*: $a \in A \Rightarrow$
 $(\forall X. X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \rightarrow s^{\cdot} X \in X) \Rightarrow$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0 \Rightarrow$
 $(\lambda n \in \text{nat}. dc\text{-witness}(n, A, a, s, R)) \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A$

apply (*rule-tac* $B = \{dc\text{-witness}(n, A, a, s, R). n \in \text{nat}\}$ **in** *fun-weaken-type*)

```

apply (rule lam-funtype)
apply (blast intro:witness-into-A)
done

lemma witness-to-fun:  $a \in A \implies (\forall X . X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s[X] \in X) \implies$ 
 $\forall y \in A . \{x \in A . \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0 \implies$ 
 $\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A . \forall n \in \text{nat} . f^n = \text{dc-witness}(n, A, a, s, R)$ 
apply (rule-tac  $x = \lambda n \in \text{nat} . \text{dc-witness}(n, A, a, s, R)$  in bexI, simp)
apply (rule witness-funtype, simp+)
done

theorem pointed-DC :  $(\forall x \in A . \exists y \in A . \langle x, y \rangle \in R) \implies$ 
 $\forall a \in A . (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A . f^0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat} . \langle f^n, f^{\text{succ}}(n) \rangle \in R))$ 
apply (rule)
apply (insert AC-func-Pow)
apply (drule allI)
apply (drule-tac  $x = A$  in spec)
apply (drule-tac  $P = \lambda f . \forall x \in \text{Pow}(A) - \{0\} . f^x \in x$ 
        and  $A = \text{Pow}(A) - \{0\} \rightarrow A$ 
        and  $Q = \exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A . f^0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat} . \langle f^n, f^{\text{succ}}(n) \rangle \in R)$ 
in bexE)
prefer 2 apply (assumption)
apply (rename-tac  $s$ )
apply (rule-tac  $x = \lambda n \in \text{nat} . \text{dc-witness}(n, A, a, s, R)$  in bexI)
prefer 2 apply (blast intro:witness-funtype)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply (rule ballI, rename-tac  $m$ )
apply (subst beta, simp)
apply (rule witness-related, auto)
done

lemma aux-DC-on-AxNat2 :  $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R \implies$ 
 $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat} . \exists y \in A \times \text{nat} . \langle x, y \rangle \in \{(a, b) \in R . \text{snd}(b) = \text{succ}(\text{snd}(a))\}$ 
apply (rule ballI, erule-tac  $x = x$  in ballE, simp-all)
done

lemma infer-snd :  $c \in A \times B \implies \text{snd}(c) = k \implies c = \langle \text{fst}(c), k \rangle$ 
by auto

corollary DC-on-A-x-nat :
 $(\forall x \in A \times \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R) \implies$ 
 $\forall a \in A . (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A . f^0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat} . \langle \langle f^n, n \rangle, \langle f^{\text{succ}}(n), \text{succ}(n) \rangle \rangle \in R))$ 
apply (frule aux-DC-on-AxNat2)
apply (drule-tac  $R = \{(a, b) \in R . \text{snd}(b) = \text{succ}(\text{snd}(a))\}$  in pointed-DC)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (rotate-tac)
apply (drule-tac  $x = \langle a, 0 \rangle$  in bspec, simp)
apply (erule bexE, rename-tac  $g$ )

```

```

apply (rule-tac  $x=\lambda x \in \text{nat}. \text{fst}(g^x)$  and  $A=\text{nat} \rightarrow A$  in  $\text{bexI}$ , auto)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\forall n \in \text{nat}. g^n = \langle \text{fst}(g^n), n \rangle$ )
prefer 2 apply (rule  $\text{ballI}$ , rename-tac  $m$ )
apply (induct-tac  $m$ , simp)
apply (rename-tac  $d$ , auto)
apply (frule-tac  $A=\text{nat}$  and  $x=d$  in  $\text{bspec}$ , simp)
apply (rule-tac  $A=A$  and  $B=\text{nat}$  in  $\text{infer-snd}$ , auto)
apply (rule-tac  $a=\langle \text{fst}(g^d), d \rangle$  and  $b=g^d$  in  $\text{ssubst}$ , assumption)

apply (subst snd-conv, simp)
done

lemma aux-sequence-DC :  $\bigwedge R. \forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^n \implies$ 
 $R = \{ \langle \langle x, n \rangle, \langle y, m \rangle \rangle \in (A \times \text{nat}) \times (A \times \text{nat}). \langle x, y \rangle \in S^m \} \implies$ 
 $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R$ 
apply (rule  $\text{ballI}$ , rename-tac  $v$ )
apply (frule Pair-fst-snd-eq)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\text{fst}(v)$  in  $\text{ballE}$ )
apply (drule-tac  $x=\text{succ}(\text{snd}(v))$  in  $\text{bspec}$ , auto)
done

lemma aux-sequence-DC2 :  $\forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^n \implies$ 
 $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in \{ \langle \langle x, n \rangle, \langle y, m \rangle \rangle \in (A \times \text{nat}) \times (A \times \text{nat}).$ 
 $\langle x, y \rangle \in S^m \}$ 
by auto

lemma sequence-DC:  $\forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^n \implies$ 
 $\forall a \in A. (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. f^0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f^n, f^{\text{succ}}(n) \rangle \in S^{\text{succ}(n)}))$ 
apply (drule aux-sequence-DC2)
apply (drule DC-on-A-x-nat, auto)
done
end
theory Forcing-Notions imports Pointed-DC begin

definition compat-in ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
compat-in( $A, r, p, q$ ) ==  $\exists d \in A . \langle d, p \rangle \in r \wedge \langle d, q \rangle \in r$ 

lemma compat-inI :
 $\llbracket d \in A ; \langle d, p \rangle \in r ; \langle d, g \rangle \in r \rrbracket \implies \text{compat-in}(A, r, p, g)$ 
by (auto simp add: compat-in-def)

lemma refl-compat:
 $\llbracket \text{refl}(A, r) ; \langle p, q \rangle \in r \mid p = q \mid \langle q, p \rangle \in r ; p \in A ; q \in A \rrbracket \implies \text{compat-in}(A, r, p, q)$ 
by (auto simp add: refl-def compat-inI)

lemma chain-compat:
 $\text{refl}(A, r) \implies \text{linear}(A, r) \implies (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. \text{compat-in}(A, r, p, q))$ 
by (simp add: refl-compat linear-def)

```

```

lemma subset-fun-image:  $f:N \rightarrow P \implies f``N \subseteq P$ 
  by (auto simp add: image-fun apply-funtype)

definition
  antichain ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{antichain}(P, leq, A) == A \subseteq P \wedge (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. (\neg \text{compat-in}(P, leq, p, q)))$ 

definition
  ccc ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{ccc}(P, leq) == \forall A. \text{antichain}(P, leq, A) \longrightarrow |A| \leq nat$ 

locale forcing-notion =
  fixes  $P$   $leq$  one
  assumes one-in-P:  $\text{one} \in P$ 
    and leq-preord:  $\text{preorder-on}(P, leq)$ 
    and one-max:  $\forall p \in P. \langle p, \text{one} \rangle \in leq$ 
begin
definition
  dense ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{dense}(D) == \forall p \in P. \exists d \in D. \langle d, p \rangle \in leq$ 

definition
  dense-below ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{dense-below}(D, q) == \forall p \in P. \langle p, q \rangle \in leq \longrightarrow (\exists d \in D. \langle d, p \rangle \in leq)$ 

lemma P-dense:  $\text{dense}(P)$ 
  by (insert leq-preord, auto simp add: preorder-on-def refl-def dense-def)

definition
  increasing ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{increasing}(F) == \forall x \in F. \forall p \in P. \langle x, p \rangle \in leq \longrightarrow p \in F$ 

definition
  compat ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{compat}(p, q) == \text{compat-in}(P, leq, p, q)$ 

definition
  antichain ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{antichain}(A) == A \subseteq P \wedge (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. (\neg \text{compat}(p, q)))$ 

definition
  filter ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{filter}(G) == G \subseteq P \wedge \text{increasing}(G) \wedge (\forall p \in G. \forall q \in G. \text{compat-in}(G, leq, p, q))$ 

lemma filterD :  $\text{filter}(G) \implies x \in G \implies x \in P$ 
  by (auto simp add: subsetD filter-def)

lemma filter-leqD :  $\text{filter}(G) \implies x \in G \implies y \in P \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in leq \implies y \in G$ 
  by (simp add: filter-def increasing-def)

```

```

lemma low-bound-filter :
  assumes filter(G) and p ∈ G and q ∈ G
  shows ∃ r ∈ G. <r,p> ∈ leq ∧ <r,q> ∈ leq
  using assms
  unfolding compat-in-def filter-def by blast

definition
  upclosure :: i ⇒ i where
    upclosure(A) == {p ∈ P. ∃ a ∈ A. <a,p> ∈ leq}

lemma upclosureI [intro] : p ∈ P ⇒ a ∈ A ⇒ <a,p> ∈ leq ⇒ p ∈ upclosure(A)
  by (simp add:upclosure-def, auto)

lemma upclosureE [elim] :
  p ∈ upclosure(A) ⇒ (∀x a. x ∈ P ⇒ a ∈ A ⇒ <a,x> ∈ leq ⇒ R) ⇒ R
  by (auto simp add:upclosure-def)

lemma upclosureD [dest] :
  p ∈ upclosure(A) ⇒ ∃ a ∈ A. (<a,p> ∈ leq) ∧ p ∈ P
  by (simp add:upclosure-def)

lemma upclosure-increasing :
  A ⊆ P ⇒ increasing(upclosure(A))
  apply (unfold increasing-def upclosure-def, simp)
  apply clarify
  apply (rule-tac x=a in bexI)
  apply (insert leq-preord, unfold preorder-on-def)
  apply (drule conjunct2, unfold trans-on-def)
  apply (drule-tac x=a in bspec, fast)
  apply (drule-tac x=x in bspec, assumption)
  apply (drule-tac x=p in bspec, assumption)
  apply (simp, assumption)
  done

lemma upclosure-in-P: A ⊆ P ⇒ upclosure(A) ⊆ P
  apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (simp add:upclosure-def)
  done

lemma A-sub-upclosure: A ⊆ P ⇒ A ⊆ upclosure(A)
  apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (simp add:upclosure-def, auto)
  apply (insert leq-preord, unfold preorder-on-def refl-def, auto)
  done

lemma elem-upclosure: A ⊆ P ⇒ x ∈ A ⇒ x ∈ upclosure(A)
  by (blast dest:A-sub-upclosure)

```

```

lemma closure-compat-filter:
   $A \subseteq P \implies (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. \text{compat-in}(A, \text{leq}, p, q)) \implies \text{filter}(\text{upclosure}(A))$ 
  apply (unfold filter-def)
  apply (intro conjI)
  apply (rule upclosure-in-P, assumption)
  apply (rule upclosure-increasing, assumption)
  apply (unfold compat-in-def)
  apply (rule ballI)+
  apply (rename-tac x y)
  apply (drule upclosureD)+
  apply (erule bxE)+
  apply (rename-tac a b)
  apply (drule-tac A=A
    and x=a in bspec, assumption)
  apply (drule-tac A=A
    and x=b in bspec, assumption)
  apply (auto)
  apply (rule-tac x=d in bexI)
  prefer 2 apply (simp add:A-sub-upclosure [THEN subsetD])
  apply (insert leq-preord, unfold preorder-on-def trans-on-def, drule conjunct2)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (drule-tac x=d in bspec, rule-tac A=A in subsetD, assumption+)
  apply (drule-tac x=a in bspec, rule-tac A=A in subsetD, assumption+)
  apply (drule-tac x=x in bspec, assumption, auto)
  done

lemma aux-RS1:  $f \in N \rightarrow P \implies n \in N \implies f^n \in \text{upclosure}(f ``N)$ 
  apply (rule-tac elem-upclosure)
  apply (rule subset-fun-image, assumption)
  apply (simp add: image-fun, blast)
  done
end

lemma refl-monot-domain:  $\text{refl}(B, r) \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{refl}(A, r)$ 
  apply (drule subset-iff [THEN iffD1])
  apply (unfold refl-def)
  apply (blast)
  done

lemma decr-succ-decr:  $f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P \implies \text{preorder-on}(P, \text{leq}) \implies$ 
   $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f ` \text{succ}(n), f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq} \implies$ 
   $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq m \implies \langle f ` m, f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq}$ 
  apply (unfold preorder-on-def, erule conjE)
  apply (induct-tac m, simp add:refl-def, rename-tac x)
  apply (rule impI)
  apply (case-tac n≤x, simp)
  apply (drule-tac x=x in bspec, assumption)
  apply (unfold trans-on-def)
  apply (drule-tac x=f`succ(x) in bspec, simp)

```

```

apply (drule-tac x=f`x in bspec, simp)
apply (drule-tac x=f`n in bspec, auto)
apply (drule-tac le-succ-iff [THEN iffD1], simp add: refl-def)
done
lemma not-le-imp-lt: [| ~ i ≤ j ; Ord(i); Ord(j) |] ==> j < i
by (simp add: not-le-iff-lt)

lemma decr-seq-linear: refl(P,leq) ==> f ∈ nat → P ==>
  ∀ n ∈ nat. ⟨f ` succ(n), f ` n⟩ ∈ leq ==>
    trans[P](leq) ==> linear(f `` nat, leq)
apply (unfold linear-def)
apply (rule ball-image-simp [THEN iffD2], assumption, simp, rule ballI) +
apply (rename-tac y)
apply (case-tac x ≤ y)
apply (drule-tac leq=leq and n=x and m=y in decr-succ-decr)

apply (simp add: preorder-on-def)

apply (simp+)
apply (drule not-le-imp-lt [THEN leI], simp-all)
apply (drule-tac leq=leq and n=y and m=x in decr-succ-decr)

apply (simp add: preorder-on-def)

apply (simp+)
done

locale countable-generic = forcing-notion +
fixes D
assumes countable-subs-of-P: D ∈ nat → Pow(P)
and seq-of-denses: ∀ n ∈ nat. dense(D ` n)

begin

definition
D-generic :: i ⇒ o where
D-generic(G) == filter(G) ∧ (∀ n ∈ nat. (D ` n) ∩ G ≠ 0)

lemma RS-relation:
assumes
  1: x ∈ P
  and
  2: n ∈ nat
shows
  ∃ y ∈ P. ⟨x,y⟩ ∈ (λm ∈ nat. {⟨x,y⟩ ∈ P * P. ⟨y,x⟩ ∈ leq ∧ y ∈ D ` (pred(m))}) ` n
proof -

```

from seq-of-denses and 2 have dense($\mathcal{D} \setminus \text{pred}(n)$) **by** (simp)
with 1 **have**
 $\exists d \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \text{Arith}.\text{pred}(n). \langle d, x \rangle \in \text{leq}$
unfolding dense-def **by** (simp)
then obtain d **where**
 $\beta: d \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \text{Arith}.\text{pred}(n) \wedge \langle d, x \rangle \in \text{leq}$
by (rule bexE, simp)
from countable-subs-of-P **have**
 $\mathcal{D} \setminus \text{Arith}.\text{pred}(n) \in \text{Pow}(P)$
using 2 **by** (blast dest:apply-funtype intro:pred-type)
then have
 $\mathcal{D} \setminus \text{Arith}.\text{pred}(n) \subseteq P$
by (rule PowD)
then have
 $d \in P \wedge \langle d, x \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge d \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \text{Arith}.\text{pred}(n)$
using 3 **by** auto
then show ?thesis **using** 1 and 2 **by** auto
qed

theorem rasiowa-sikorski:

$$p \in P \implies \exists G. p \in G \wedge D\text{-generic}(G)$$

proof –

assume

$$\text{Eq1: } p \in P$$

let

$$\mathcal{S} = (\lambda m \in \text{nat}. \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in P * P. \langle y, x \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge y \in \mathcal{D} \setminus (\text{pred}(m)) \})$$

from RS-relation **have**

$$\forall x \in P. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in P. \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^n$$

by (auto)

with sequence-DC **have**

$$\forall a \in P. (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P. f^0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f^n, f^{\text{succ}}(n) \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{succ}(n)}))$$

by (blast)

then obtain f **where**

$$\text{Eq2: } f : \text{nat} \rightarrow P$$

and

$$\text{Eq3: } f^0 = p \wedge$$

$$(\forall n \in \text{nat}.$$

$$f^n \in P \wedge f^{\text{succ}}(n) \in P \wedge \langle f^{\text{succ}}(n), f^n \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge$$

$$f^{\text{succ}}(n) \in \mathcal{D} \setminus n)$$

using Eq1 **by** (auto)

then have

$$\text{Eq4: } f^{\text{nat}} \subseteq P$$

by (simp add:subset-fun-image)

with leq-preord **have**

$$\text{Eq5: } \text{refl}(f^{\text{nat}}, \text{leq}) \wedge \text{trans}[P](\text{leq})$$

unfolding preorder-on-def **by** (blast intro:refl-monot-domain)

from Eq3 **have**

$$\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f^{\text{succ}}(n), f^n \rangle \in \text{leq}$$

by (simp)

```

with Eq2 and Eq5 and leq-preord and decr-seq-linear have
Eq6: linear(f``nat, leq)
unfolding preorder-on-def by (blast)
with Eq5 and chain-compat have
  ( $\forall p \in f``nat. \forall q \in f``nat. compat-in(f``nat, leq, p, q))$ 
by (auto)
then have
  fil: filter(upclosure(f``nat))
(is filter(?G))
using closure-compat-filter and Eq4 by simp
have
  gen:  $\forall n \in nat. D`n \cap ?G \neq \emptyset$ 
proof
  fix n
  assume
    n ∈ nat
  with Eq2 and Eq3 have
    f'succ(n) ∈ ?G ∧ f'succ(n) ∈ D`n
    using aux-RS1 by simp
  then show
    D`n ∩ ?G ≠ ∅
    by blast
qed
from Eq3 and Eq2 have
  p ∈ ?G
  using aux-RS1 by auto
with gen and fil show ?thesis
  unfolding D-generic-def by auto
qed

end

end
theory Relative imports ZF begin

```

1 Relativization and Absoluteness

1.1 Relativized versions of standard set-theoretic concepts

definition

```

empty :: [i=>o,i] => o where
  empty(M,z) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \notin z$ 

```

definition

```

subset :: [i=>o,i,i] => o where
  subset(M,A,B) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in A \longrightarrow x \in B$ 

```

definition

```

upair :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where

```

$upair(M, a, b, z) == a \in z \ \& \ b \in z \ \& \ (\forall x[M]. \ x \in z \longrightarrow x = a \mid x = b)$

definition

$pair :: [i=>o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $pair(M, a, b, z) == \exists x[M]. upair(M, a, a, x) \ \&$
 $(\exists y[M]. upair(M, a, b, y) \ \& \ upair(M, x, y, z))$

definition

$union :: [i=>o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $union(M, a, b, z) == \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow x \in a \mid x \in b$

definition

$is-cons :: [i=>o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $is-cons(M, a, b, z) == \exists x[M]. upair(M, a, a, x) \ \& \ union(M, x, b, z)$

definition

$successor :: [i=>o, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $successor(M, a, z) == is-cons(M, a, a, z)$

definition

$number1 :: [i=>o, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $number1(M, a) == \exists x[M]. empty(M, x) \ \& \ successor(M, x, a)$

definition

$number2 :: [i=>o, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $number2(M, a) == \exists x[M]. number1(M, x) \ \& \ successor(M, x, a)$

definition

$number3 :: [i=>o, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $number3(M, a) == \exists x[M]. number2(M, x) \ \& \ successor(M, x, a)$

definition

$powerset :: [i=>o, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $powerset(M, A, z) == \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow subset(M, x, A)$

definition

$is-Collect :: [i=>o, i, i=>o, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $is-Collect(M, A, P, z) == \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow x \in A \ \& \ P(x)$

definition

$is-Replace :: [i=>o, i, [i, i]=>o, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $is-Replace(M, A, P, z) == \forall u[M]. u \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ P(x, u))$

definition

$inter :: [i=>o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $inter(M, a, b, z) == \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow x \in a \ \& \ x \in b$

definition

definition

setdiff :: $[i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{setdiff}(M,a,b,z) == \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow x \in a \ \& \ x \notin b$

definition

big-union :: $[i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{big-union}(M,A,z) == \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in A \ \& \ x \in y)$

definition

big-inter :: $[i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{big-inter}(M,A,z) ==$
 $(A=0 \longrightarrow z=0) \ \&$
 $(A \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\forall y[M]. y \in A \longrightarrow x \in y)))$

definition

cartprod :: $[i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{cartprod}(M,A,B,z) ==$
 $\forall u[M]. u \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y[M]. y \in B \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,x,y,u)))$

definition

is-sum :: $[i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is-sum}(M,A,B,Z) ==$
 $\exists A0[M]. \exists n1[M]. \exists s1[M]. \exists B1[M].$
 $\text{number1}(M,n1) \ \& \ \text{cartprod}(M,n1,A,A0) \ \& \ \text{upair}(M,n1,n1,s1) \ \&$
 $\text{cartprod}(M,s1,B,B1) \ \& \ \text{union}(M,A0,B1,Z)$

definition

is-Inl :: $[i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is-Inl}(M,a,z) == \exists \text{zero}[M]. \text{empty}(M,\text{zero}) \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,\text{zero},a,z)$

definition

is-Inr :: $[i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is-Inr}(M,a,z) == \exists n1[M]. \text{number1}(M,n1) \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,n1,a,z)$

definition

is-converse :: $[i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is-converse}(M,r,z) ==$
 $\forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists w[M]. w \in r \ \& \ (\exists u[M]. \exists v[M]. \text{pair}(M,u,v,w) \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,v,u,x)))$

definition

pre-image :: $[i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{pre-image}(M,r,A,z) ==$
 $\forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \ \& \ (\exists y[M]. y \in A \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,x,y,w)))$

definition

is-domain :: $[i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is-domain}(M,r,z) ==$
 $\forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \ \& \ (\exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M,x,y,w)))$

definition

$$image :: [i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$image(M,r,A,z) ==$$

$$\forall y[M]. y \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \& (\exists x[M]. x \in A \& pair(M,x,y,w)))$$
definition

$$is-range :: [i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

— the cleaner $\exists r'[M]. is\text{-converse}(M, r, r') \wedge is\text{-domain}(M, r', z)$ unfortunately needs an instance of separation in order to prove $M(\text{converse}(r))$.

$$is-range(M,r,z) ==$$

$$\forall y[M]. y \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \& (\exists x[M]. pair(M,x,y,w)))$$
definition

$$is-field :: [i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$is-field(M,r,z) ==$$

$$\exists dr[M]. \exists rr[M]. is\text{-domain}(M,r,dr) \& is\text{-range}(M,r,rr) \& union(M,dr,rr,z)$$
definition

$$is-relation :: [i=>o,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$is-relation(M,r) ==$$

$$(\forall z[M]. z \in r \longrightarrow (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z)))$$
definition

$$is-function :: [i=>o,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$is-function(M,r) ==$$

$$\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall y'[M]. \forall p[M]. \forall p'[M].$$

$$pair(M,x,y,p) \longrightarrow pair(M,x,y',p') \longrightarrow p \in r \longrightarrow p' \in r \longrightarrow y = y'$$
definition

$$fun-apply :: [i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$fun-apply(M,f,x,y) ==$$

$$(\exists xs[M]. \exists fxs[M].$$

$$upair(M,x,x,ys) \& image(M,f,ys,fxs) \& big\text{-union}(M,fxs,y))$$
definition

$$typed-function :: [i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$typed-function(M,A,B,r) ==$$

$$is\text{-function}(M,r) \& is\text{-relation}(M,r) \& is\text{-domain}(M,r,A) \&$$

$$(\forall u[M]. u \in r \longrightarrow (\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. pair(M,x,y,u) \longrightarrow y \in B))$$
definition

$$is-funspace :: [i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$is-funspace(M,A,B,F) ==$$

$$\forall f[M]. f \in F \longleftrightarrow typed\text{-function}(M,A,B,f)$$
definition

$$composition :: [i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$composition(M,r,s,t) ==$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p[M].\ p \in t \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \exists z[M]. \exists xy[M]. \exists yz[M]. \\ pair(M,x,z,p) \& pair(M,x,y,xy) \& pair(M,y,z,yz) \& \\ xy \in s \& yz \in r) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} injection :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o \text{ where} \\ injection(M,A,B,f) == \\ typed-function(M,A,B,f) \& \\ (\forall x[M]. \forall x'[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall p[M]. \forall p'[M]. \\ pair(M,x,y,p) \longrightarrow pair(M,x',y,p') \longrightarrow p \in f \longrightarrow p' \in f \longrightarrow x = x') \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} surjection :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o \text{ where} \\ surjection(M,A,B,f) == \\ typed-function(M,A,B,f) \& \\ (\forall y[M]. y \in B \longrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \& fun-apply(M,f,x,y))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} bijection :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o \text{ where} \\ bijection(M,A,B,f) == injection(M,A,B,f) \& surjection(M,A,B,f) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} restriction :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o \text{ where} \\ restriction(M,r,A,z) == \\ \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (x \in r \& (\exists u[M]. u \in A \& (\exists v[M]. pair(M,u,v,x)))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} transitive-set :: [i=>o,i] => o \text{ where} \\ transitive-set(M,a) == \forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow subset(M,x,a) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} ordinal :: [i=>o,i] => o \text{ where} \\ — an ordinal is a transitive set of transitive sets \\ ordinal(M,a) == transitive-set(M,a) \& (\forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow transitive-set(M,x)) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} limit-ordinal :: [i=>o,i] => o \text{ where} \\ — a limit ordinal is a non-empty, successor-closed ordinal \\ limit-ordinal(M,a) == \\ ordinal(M,a) \& \sim empty(M,a) \& \\ (\forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in a \& successor(M,x,y))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} successor-ordinal :: [i=>o,i] => o \text{ where} \\ — a successor ordinal is any ordinal that is neither empty nor limit \\ successor-ordinal(M,a) == \\ ordinal(M,a) \& \sim empty(M,a) \& \sim limit-ordinal(M,a) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\text{finite-ordinal} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

— an ordinal is finite if neither it nor any of its elements are limit

$$\text{finite-ordinal}(M, a) ==$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ordinal}(M, a) \& \sim \text{limit-ordinal}(M, a) \& \\ & (\forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow \sim \text{limit-ordinal}(M, x)) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\text{omega} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

— omega is a limit ordinal none of whose elements are limit

$$\text{omega}(M, a) == \text{limit-ordinal}(M, a) \& (\forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow \sim \text{limit-ordinal}(M, x))$$
definition

$$\text{is-quasinat} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{is-quasinat}(M, z) == \text{empty}(M, z) \mid (\exists m[M]. \text{successor}(M, m, z))$$
definition

$$\text{is-nat-case} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{is-nat-case}(M, a, \text{is-b}, k, z) ==$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{empty}(M, k) \longrightarrow z = a) \& \\ & (\forall m[M]. \text{successor}(M, m, k) \longrightarrow \text{is-b}(m, z)) \& \\ & (\text{is-quasinat}(M, k) \mid \text{empty}(M, z)) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\text{relation1} :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i, i] \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{relation1}(M, \text{is-f}, f) == \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \text{is-f}(x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$$
definition

$$\text{Relation1} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

— as above, but typed

$$\text{Relation1}(M, A, \text{is-f}, f) ==$$

$$\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. x \in A \longrightarrow \text{is-f}(x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$$
definition

$$\text{relation2} :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{relation2}(M, \text{is-f}, f) == \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. \text{is-f}(x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow z = f(x, y)$$
definition

$$\text{Relation2} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{Relation2}(M, A, B, \text{is-f}, f) ==$$

$$\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. x \in A \longrightarrow y \in B \longrightarrow \text{is-f}(x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow z = f(x, y)$$
definition

$$\text{relation3} :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{relation3}(M, \text{is-f}, f) ==$$

$$\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. \forall u[M]. \text{is-f}(x, y, z, u) \longleftrightarrow u = f(x, y, z)$$
definition

$$\text{Relation3} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

Relation3($M, A, B, C, \text{is-}f, f$) ===
 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. \forall u[M].$
 $x \in A \longrightarrow y \in B \longrightarrow z \in C \longrightarrow \text{is-}f(x, y, z, u) \longleftrightarrow u = f(x, y, z)$

definition

relation4 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
relation4($M, \text{is-}f, f$) ===
 $\forall u[M]. \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. \forall a[M]. \text{is-}f(u, x, y, z, a) \longleftrightarrow a = f(u, x, y, z)$

Useful when absoluteness reasoning has replaced the predicates by terms

lemma *triv-Relation1*:

Relation1($M, A, \lambda x y. y = f(x), f$)
by (*simp add: Relation1-def*)

lemma *triv-Relation2*:

Relation2($M, A, B, \lambda x y a. a = f(x, y), f$)
by (*simp add: Relation2-def*)

1.2 The relativized ZF axioms

definition

extensionality :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$ **where**
extensionality(M) ===
 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. (\forall z[M]. z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y) \longrightarrow x = y$

definition

separation :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— The formula P should only involve parameters belonging to M and all its quantifiers must be relativized to M . We do not have separation as a scheme; every instance that we need must be assumed (and later proved) separately.

separation(M, P) ===
 $\forall z[M]. \exists y[M]. \forall x[M]. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \ \& \ P(x)$

definition

upair-ax :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$ **where**
upair-ax(M) == $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \exists z[M]. \text{upair}(M, x, y, z)$

definition

Union-ax :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$ **where**
Union-ax(M) == $\forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. \text{big-union}(M, x, z)$

definition

power-ax :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$ **where**
power-ax(M) == $\forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. \text{powerset}(M, x, z)$

definition

univalent :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
univalent(M, A, P) ===
 $\forall x[M]. x \in A \longrightarrow (\forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. P(x, y) \ \& \ P(x, z) \longrightarrow y = z)$

definition

```

replacement :: [i=>o, [i,i]=>o] => o where
  replacement(M,P) ==
     $\forall A[M]. \text{univalent}(M,A,P) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists Y[M]. \forall b[M]. (\exists x[M]. x \in A \& P(x,b)) \longrightarrow b \in Y)$ 

```

definition

```

strong-replacement :: [i=>o, [i,i]=>o] => o where
  strong-replacement(M,P) ==
     $\forall A[M]. \text{univalent}(M,A,P) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists Y[M]. \forall b[M]. b \in Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \& P(x,b)))$ 

```

definition

```

foundation-ax :: (i=>o) => o where
  foundation-ax(M) ==
     $\forall x[M]. (\exists y[M]. y \in x) \longrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in x \& \sim(\exists z[M]. z \in x \& z \in y))$ 

```

1.3 A trivial consistency proof for V_ω

We prove that V_ω (or *univ* in Isabelle) satisfies some ZF axioms. Kunen, Theorem IV 3.13, page 123.

```

lemma univ0-downwards-mem: [| y ∈ x; x ∈ univ(0) |] ==> y ∈ univ(0)
apply (insert Transset-univ [OF Transset-0])
apply (simp add: Transset-def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma univ0-Ball-abs [simp]:
  A ∈ univ(0) ==> ( $\forall x \in A. x \in \text{univ}(0) \longrightarrow P(x)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in A. P(x)$ )
by (blast intro: univ0-downwards-mem)

```

```

lemma univ0-Bex-abs [simp]:
  A ∈ univ(0) ==> ( $\exists x \in A. x \in \text{univ}(0) \& P(x)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x \in A. P(x)$ )
by (blast intro: univ0-downwards-mem)

```

Congruence rule for separation: can assume the variable is in M

```

lemma separation-cong [cong]:
  (!!x. M(x) ==> P(x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  P'(x))
  ==> separation(M, %x. P(x))  $\longleftrightarrow$  separation(M, %x. P'(x))
by (simp add: separation-def)

```

```

lemma univalent-cong [cong]:
  [| A=A'; !!x y. [| x ∈ A; M(x); M(y) |] ==> P(x,y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  P'(x,y) |]
  ==> univalent(M, A, %x y. P(x,y))  $\longleftrightarrow$  univalent(M, A', %x y. P'(x,y))
by (simp add: univalent-def)

```

```

lemma univalent-triv [intro,simp]:
  univalent(M, A,  $\lambda x y. y = f(x)$ )

```

by (*simp add: univalent-def*)

lemma *univalent-conjI2* [*intro,simp*]:
 $\text{univalent}(M, A, Q) \implies \text{univalent}(M, A, \lambda x y. P(x,y) \& Q(x,y))$
by (*simp add: univalent-def, blast*)

Congruence rule for replacement

lemma *strong-replacement-cong* [*cong*]:
 $\text{[} \exists x y. [] M(x); M(y) [] \implies P(x,y) \leftrightarrow P'(x,y) [] \implies \text{strong-replacement}(M, \lambda x y. P(x,y)) \leftrightarrow \text{strong-replacement}(M, \lambda x y. P'(x,y))$
by (*simp add: strong-replacement-def*)

The extensionality axiom

lemma *extensionality*($\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$)
apply (*simp add: extensionality-def*)
apply (*blast intro: univ0-downwards-mem*)
done

The separation axiom requires some lemmas

lemma *Collect-in-Vfrom*:
 $\text{[} X \in Vfrom(A,j); \text{Transset}(A) [] \implies \text{Collect}(X,P) \in Vfrom(A, \text{succ}(j))$
apply (*drule Transset-Vfrom*)
apply (*rule subset-mem-Vfrom*)
apply (*unfold Transset-def, blast*)
done

lemma *Collect-in-VLimit*:
 $\text{[} X \in Vfrom(A,i); \text{Limit}(i); \text{Transset}(A) [] \implies \text{Collect}(X,P) \in Vfrom(A,i)$
apply (*rule Limit-VfromE, assumption+*)
apply (*blast intro: Limit-has-succ VfromI Collect-in-Vfrom*)
done

lemma *Collect-in-univ*:
 $\text{[} X \in \text{univ}(A); \text{Transset}(A) [] \implies \text{Collect}(X,P) \in \text{univ}(A)$
by (*simp add: univ-def Collect-in-VLimit*)

lemma *separation*($\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0), P$)
apply (*simp add: separation-def, clarify*)
apply (*rule-tac x = Collect(z,P) in bexI*)
apply (*blast intro: Collect-in-univ Transset-0*)+
done

Unordered pairing axiom

lemma *upair-ax*($\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$)
apply (*simp add: upair-ax-def upair-def*)
apply (*blast intro: doubleton-in-univ*)

done

Union axiom

```
lemma Union-ax( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$ )
apply (simp add: Union-ax-def big-union-def, clarify)
apply (rule-tac  $x = \bigcup x$  in bexI)
apply (blast intro: univ0-downwards-mem)
apply (blast intro: Union-in-univ Transset-0)
done
```

Powerset axiom

```
lemma Pow-in-univ:
  [|  $X \in \text{univ}(A); \text{Transset}(A)$  |] ==>  $\text{Pow}(X) \in \text{univ}(A)$ 
apply (simp add: univ-def Pow-in-VLimit)
done
```

```
lemma power-ax( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$ )
apply (simp add: power-ax-def powerset-def subset-def, clarify)
apply (rule-tac  $x = \text{Pow}(x)$  in bexI)
apply (blast intro: univ0-downwards-mem)
apply (blast intro: Pow-in-univ Transset-0)
done
```

Foundation axiom

```
lemma foundation-ax( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$ )
apply (simp add: foundation-ax-def, clarify)
apply (cut-tac  $A = x$  in foundation)
apply (blast intro: univ0-downwards-mem)
done
```

```
lemma replacement( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0), P$ )
apply (simp add: replacement-def, clarify)
oops
```

no idea: maybe prove by induction on the rank of A?

Still missing: Replacement, Choice

1.4 Lemmas Needed to Reduce Some Set Constructions to Instances of Separation

```
lemma image-iff-Collect:  $r `` A = \{y \in \bigcup(\bigcup(r)). \exists p \in r. \exists x \in A. p = \langle x, y \rangle\}$ 
apply (rule equalityI, auto)
apply (simp add: Pair-def, blast)
done
```

```
lemma vimage-iff-Collect:
   $r - `` A = \{x \in \bigcup(\bigcup(r)). \exists p \in r. \exists y \in A. p = \langle x, y \rangle\}$ 
apply (rule equalityI, auto)
```

```

apply (simp add: Pair-def, blast)
done

```

These two lemmas lets us prove *domain-closed* and *range-closed* without new instances of separation

```

lemma domain-eq-vimage:  $\text{domain}(r) = r - ``\text{Union}(\text{Union}(r))$ 

```

```

apply (rule equalityI, auto)
apply (rule vimageI, assumption)
apply (simp add: Pair-def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma range-eq-image:  $\text{range}(r) = r - ``\text{Union}(\text{Union}(r))$ 

```

```

apply (rule equalityI, auto)
apply (rule imageI, assumption)
apply (simp add: Pair-def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma replacementD:

```

```

 $\| \text{replacement}(M,P); M(A); \text{univalent}(M,A,P) \|$ 
 $\implies \exists Y[M]. (\forall b[M]. ((\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ P(x,b)) \longrightarrow b \in Y))$ 

```

```

by (simp add: replacement-def)

```

```

lemma strong-replacementD:

```

```

 $\| \text{strong-replacement}(M,P); M(A); \text{univalent}(M,A,P) \|$ 
 $\implies \exists Y[M]. (\forall b \in Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ P(x,b)))$ 

```

```

by (simp add: strong-replacement-def)

```

```

lemma separationD:

```

```

 $\| \text{separation}(M,P); M(z) \| \implies \exists y[M]. \forall x[M]. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \ \& \ P(x)$ 

```

```

by (simp add: separation-def)

```

More constants, for order types

definition

```

order-isomorphism ::  $[i=>o,i,i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
order-isomorphism( $M,A,r,B,s,f$ ) ==
 $bijection(M,A,B,f) \ \&$ 
 $(\forall x[M]. x \in A \longrightarrow (\forall y[M]. y \in A \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall p[M]. \forall fx[M]. \forall fy[M]. \forall q[M].$ 
 $pair(M,x,y,p) \longrightarrow fun-apply(M,f,x,fx) \longrightarrow fun-apply(M,f,y,fy) \longrightarrow$ 
 $pair(M,fx,fy,q) \longrightarrow (p \in r \longleftrightarrow q \in s))))$ 

```

definition

```

pred-set ::  $[i=>o,i,i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
pred-set( $M,A,x,r,B$ ) ==
 $\forall y[M]. y \in B \longleftrightarrow (\exists p[M]. p \in r \ \& \ y \in A \ \& \ pair(M,y,x,p))$ 

```

definition

```

membership ::  $[i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where — membership relation
membership( $M,A,r$ ) ==

```

$$\forall p[M].\ p \in r \longleftrightarrow (\exists x[M].\ x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y[M].\ y \in A \ \& \ x \in y \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,x,y,p)))$$

1.5 Introducing a Transitive Class Model

The class M is assumed to be transitive and to satisfy some relativized ZF axioms

```
locale M-trivial =
  fixes M
  assumes transM:      [| y ∈ x; M(x) |] ==> M(y)
    and upair-ax:      upair-ax(M)
    and Union-ax:      Union-ax(M)
```

and M-inhabit [iff]: $M(0)$

Automatically discovers the proof using *transM*, *nat-0I* and *M-inhabit*.

```
lemma (in M-trivial) rall-abs [simp]:
  M(A) ==> (∀x[M]. x ∈ A → P(x)) ↔ (∀x ∈ A. P(x))
by (blast intro: transM)
```

```
lemma (in M-trivial) rex-abs [simp]:
  M(A) ==> (∃x[M]. x ∈ A & P(x)) ↔ (∃x ∈ A. P(x))
by (blast intro: transM)
```

```
lemma (in M-trivial) ball-iff-equiv:
  M(A) ==> (∀x[M]. (x ∈ A ↔ P(x))) ↔
    (∀x ∈ A. P(x)) & (∀x. P(x) → M(x) → x ∈ A)
by (blast intro: transM)
```

Simplifies proofs of equalities when there's an iff-equality available for rewriting, universally quantified over M. But it's not the only way to prove such equalities: its premises $M(A)$ and $M(B)$ can be too strong.

```
lemma (in M-trivial) M-equalityI:
  [| !!x. M(x) ==> x ∈ A ↔ x ∈ B; M(A); M(B) |] ==> A = B
by (blast dest: transM)
```

1.5.1 Trivial Absoluteness Proofs: Empty Set, Pairs, etc.

```
lemma (in M-trivial) empty-abs [simp]:
  M(z) ==> empty(M,z) ↔ z = 0
apply (simp add: empty-def)
apply (blast intro: transM)
done
```

```
lemma (in M-trivial) subset-abs [simp]:
  M(A) ==> subset(M,A,B) ↔ A ⊆ B
apply (simp add: subset-def)
apply (blast intro: transM)
```

done

lemma (in M-trivial) upair-abs [simp]:

$M(z) \Rightarrow upair(M, a, b, z) \leftrightarrow z = \{a, b\}$

apply (simp add: upair-def)

apply (blast intro: transM)

done

lemma (in M-trivial) upair-in-M-iff [iff]:

$M(\{a, b\}) \leftrightarrow M(a) \& M(b)$

apply (insert upair-ax, simp add: upair-ax-def)

apply (blast intro: transM)

done

lemma (in M-trivial) singleton-in-M-iff [iff]:

$M(\{a\}) \leftrightarrow M(a)$

by (insert upair-in-M-iff [of a a], simp)

lemma (in M-trivial) pair-abs [simp]:

$M(z) \Rightarrow pair(M, a, b, z) \leftrightarrow z = \langle a, b \rangle$

apply (simp add: pair-def Pair-def)

apply (blast intro: transM)

done

lemma (in M-trivial) pair-in-M-iff [iff]:

$M(\langle a, b \rangle) \leftrightarrow M(a) \& M(b)$

by (simp add: Pair-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) pair-components-in-M:

$\| \langle x, y \rangle \in A; M(A) \| \Rightarrow M(x) \& M(y)$

apply (simp add: Pair-def)

apply (blast dest: transM)

done

lemma (in M-trivial) cartprod-abs [simp]:

$\| M(A); M(B); M(z) \| \Rightarrow cartprod(M, A, B, z) \leftrightarrow z = A * B$

apply (simp add: cartprod-def)

apply (rule iffI)

apply (blast intro!: equalityI intro: transM dest!: rspec)

apply (blast dest: transM)

done

1.5.2 Absoluteness for Unions and Intersections

lemma (in M-trivial) union-abs [simp]:

$\| M(a); M(b); M(z) \| \Rightarrow union(M, a, b, z) \leftrightarrow z = a \cup b$

apply (simp add: union-def)

apply (blast intro: transM)

done

```

lemma (in M-trivial) inter-abs [simp]:
  [| M(a); M(b); M(z) |] ==> inter(M,a,b,z) <=> z = a ∩ b
apply (simp add: inter-def)
apply (blast intro: transM)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) setdiff-abs [simp]:
  [| M(a); M(b); M(z) |] ==> setdiff(M,a,b,z) <=> z = a - b
apply (simp add: setdiff-def)
apply (blast intro: transM)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) Union-abs [simp]:
  [| M(A); M(z) |] ==> big-union(M,A,z) <=> z = ∪(A)
apply (simp add: big-union-def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) Union-closed [intro,simp]:
  M(A) ==> M(∪(A))
by (insert Union-ax, simp add: Union-ax-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) Un-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(A); M(B) |] ==> M(A ∪ B)
by (simp only: Un-eq-Union, blast)

lemma (in M-trivial) cons-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(a); M(A) |] ==> M(cons(a,A))
by (subst cons-eq [symmetric], blast)

lemma (in M-trivial) cons-abs [simp]:
  [| M(b); M(z) |] ==> is-cons(M,a,b,z) <=> z = cons(a,b)
by (simp add: is-cons-def, blast intro: transM)

lemma (in M-trivial) successor-abs [simp]:
  [| M(a); M(z) |] ==> successor(M,a,z) <=> z = succ(a)
by (simp add: successor-def, blast)

lemma (in M-trivial) succ-in-M-iff [iff]:
  M(succ(a)) <=> M(a)
apply (simp add: succ-def)
apply (blast intro: transM)
done

```

1.5.3 Absoluteness for Separation and Replacement

```

lemma (in M-trivial) separation-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| separation(M,P); M(A) |] ==> M(Collect(A,P))

```

```

apply (insert separation, simp add: separation-def)
apply (drule rspec, assumption, clarify)
apply (subgoal-tac y = Collect(A,P), blast)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma separation-iff:
  separation(M,P)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall z[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{is-Collect}(M,z,P,y)$ )
by (simp add: separation-def is-Collect-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) Collect-abs [simp]:
  [| M(A); M(z) |] ==> is-Collect(M,A,P,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = Collect(A,P)
apply (simp add: is-Collect-def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

Probably the premise and conclusion are equivalent

1.5.4 The Operator *is-Replace*

```

lemma is-Replace-cong [cong]:
  [| A=A';  

     !!x y. [| M(x); M(y) |] ==> P(x,y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  P'(x,y);  

     z=z' |]  

  ==> is-Replace(M, A, %x y. P(x,y), z)  $\longleftrightarrow$   

    is-Replace(M, A', %x y. P'(x,y), z')  

by (simp add: is-Replace-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) univalent-Replace-iff:
  [| M(A); univalent(M,A,P);  

     !!x y. [| x\in A; P(x,y) |] ==> M(y) |]  

  ==> u \in Replace(A,P)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x. x\in A \ \& \ P(x,u)$ )
apply (simp add: Replace-iff univalent-def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M-trivial) strong-replacement-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| strong-replacement(M,P); M(A); univalent(M,A,P);  

     !!x y. [| x\in A; P(x,y) |] ==> M(y) |] ==> M(Replace(A,P))
apply (simp add: strong-replacement-def)
apply (drule-tac x=A in rspec, safe)
apply (subgoal-tac Replace(A,P) = Y)
apply simp
apply (rule equality-iffI)
apply (simp add: univalent-Replace-iff)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M-trivial) Replace-abs:
  [| M(A); M(z); univalent(M,A,P);
    !!x y. [| x∈A; P(x,y) |] ==> M(y) |]
  ==> is-Replace(M,A,P,z) ←→ z = Replace(A,P)
apply (simp add: is-Replace-def)
apply (rule iffI)
  apply (rule equality-iffI)
  apply (simp-all add: univalent-Replace-iff)
  apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

```

```

lemma (in M-trivial) RepFun-closed:
  [| strong-replacement(M, λx y. y = f(x)); M(A); ∀ x∈A. M(f(x)) |]
  ==> M(RepFun(A,f))
apply (simp add: RepFun-def)
done

```

lemma Replace-conj-eq: $\{y . x \in A, x \in A \ \& \ y = f(x)\} = \{y . x \in A, y = f(x)\}$
by simp

Better than *RepFun-closed* when having the formula $x \in A$ makes relativization easier.

```

lemma (in M-trivial) RepFun-closed2:
  [| strong-replacement(M, λx y. x ∈ A & y = f(x)); M(A); ∀ x ∈ A. M(f(x)) |]
  ==> M(RepFun(A, %x. f(x)))
apply (simp add: RepFun-def)
apply (frule strong-replacement-closed, assumption)
apply (auto dest: transM simp add: Replace-conj-eq univalent-def)
done

```

1.5.5 Absoluteness for Lambda

definition

```

is-lambda :: [i=>o, i, [i,i]=>o, i] => o where
  is-lambda(M, A, is-b, z) ==
    ∀ p[M]. p ∈ z ←→
      (Ǝ u[M]. Ǝ v[M]. u ∈ A & pair(M, u, v, p) & is-b(u, v))

```

```

lemma (in M-trivial) lam-closed:
  [| strong-replacement(M, λx y. y = <x, b(x)>); M(A); ∀ x ∈ A. M(b(x)) |]
  ==> M(λx ∈ A. b(x))
by (simp add: lam-def, blast intro: RepFun-closed dest: transM)

```

Better than *lam-closed*: has the formula $x \in A$

```

lemma (in M-trivial) lam-closed2:
  [| strong-replacement(M, λx y. x ∈ A & y = ⟨x, b(x)⟩);
    M(A); ∀ m[M]. m ∈ A → M(b(m)) |] ==> M(Lambda(A, b))

```

```

apply (simp add: lam-def)
apply (blast intro: RepFun-closed2 dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) lambda-abs2:
  [| Relation1(M,A,is-b,b); M(A); ∀ m[M]. m∈A → M(b(m)); M(z) |]
  ==> is-lambda(M,A,is-b,z) ↔ z = Lambda(A,b)
apply (simp add: Relation1-def is-lambda-def)
apply (rule iffI)
prefer 2 apply (simp add: lam-def)
apply (rule equality-iffI)
apply (simp add: lam-def)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (blast dest: transM)
apply (auto simp add: transM [of - A])
done

lemma is-lambda-cong [cong]:
  [| A=A'; z=z'; !x y. [| x∈A; M(x); M(y) |] ==> is-b(x,y) ↔ is-b'(x,y) |]
  ==> is-lambda(M, A, %x y. is-b(x,y), z) ↔
       is-lambda(M, A', %x y. is-b'(x,y), z')
by (simp add: is-lambda-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) image-abs [simp]:
  [| M(r); M(A); M(z) |] ==> image(M,r,A,z) ↔ z = r``A
apply (simp add: image-def)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM, blast)
done

```

What about *Pow-abs*? Powerset is NOT absolute! This result is one direction of absoluteness.

```

lemma (in M-trivial) powerset-Pow:
  powerset(M, x, Pow(x))
by (simp add: powerset-def)

```

But we can't prove that the powerset in M includes the real powerset.

```

lemma (in M-trivial) powerset-imp-subset-Pow:
  [| powerset(M,x,y); M(y) |] ==> y ⊆ Pow(x)
apply (simp add: powerset-def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

1.5.6 Absoluteness for the Natural Numbers

```

lemma (in M-trivial) nat-into-M [intro]:
  n ∈ nat ==> M(n)
by (induct n rule: nat-induct, simp-all)

```

```

lemma (in M-trivial) nat-case-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(k); M(a);  $\forall m[M]. M(b(m))|] ==> M(\text{nat-case}(a,b,k))
apply (case-tac k=0, simp)
apply (case-tac  $\exists m. k = \text{succ}(m)$ , force)
apply (simp add: nat-case-def)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) quasinat-abs [simp]:
   $M(z) ==> \text{is-quasinat}(M,z) \longleftrightarrow \text{quasinat}(z)$ 
by (auto simp add: is-quasinat-def quasinat-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) nat-case-abs [simp]:
  [| relation1(M,is-b,b); M(k); M(z) |]
  ==>  $\text{is-nat-case}(M,a,\text{is-b},k,z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{nat-case}(a,b,k)$ 
apply (case-tac quasinat(k))
prefer 2
apply (simp add: is-nat-case-def non-nat-case)
apply (force simp add: quasinat-def)
apply (simp add: quasinat-def is-nat-case-def)
apply (elim disjE exE)
apply (simp-all add: relation1-def)
done

lemma is-nat-case-cong:
  [| a = a'; k = k'; z = z'; M(z')];
   $\text{!!}x\text{ }y. [| M(x); M(y) |] ==> \text{is-b}(x,y) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-b}'(x,y) |]$ 
  ==>  $\text{is-nat-case}(M, a, \text{is-b}, k, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-nat-case}(M, a', \text{is-b}', k', z')$ 
by (simp add: is-nat-case-def)$ 
```

1.6 Absoluteness for Ordinals

These results constitute Theorem IV 5.1 of Kunen (page 126).

```

lemma (in M-trivial) lt-closed:
  [| j < i; M(i) |] ==> M(j)
by (blast dest: ltD intro: transM)

lemma (in M-trivial) transitive-set-abs [simp]:
   $M(a) ==> \text{transitive-set}(M,a) \longleftrightarrow \text{Transset}(a)$ 
by (simp add: transitive-set-def Transset-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) ordinal-abs [simp]:
   $M(a) ==> \text{ordinal}(M,a) \longleftrightarrow \text{Ord}(a)$ 
by (simp add: ordinal-def Ord-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) limit-ordinal-abs [simp]:
   $M(a) ==> \text{limit-ordinal}(M,a) \longleftrightarrow \text{Limit}(a)$ 
apply (unfold Limit-def limit-ordinal-def)

```

```

apply (simp add: Ord-0-lt-iff)
apply (simp add: lt-def, blast)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) successor-ordinal-abs [simp]:
  M(a) ==> successor-ordinal(M,a) <=> Ord(a) & (∃ b[M]. a = succ(b))
apply (simp add: successor-ordinal-def, safe)
apply (drule Ord-cases-disj, auto)
done

lemma finite-Ord-is-nat:
  [| Ord(a); ~ Limit(a); ∀ x∈a. ~ Limit(x) |] ==> a ∈ nat
by (induct a rule: trans-induct3, simp-all)

lemma (in M-trivial) finite-ordinal-abs [simp]:
  M(a) ==> finite-ordinal(M,a) <=> a ∈ nat
apply (simp add: finite-ordinal-def)
apply (blast intro: finite-Ord-is-nat intro: nat-into-Ord
          dest: Ord-trans naturals-not-limit)
done

lemma Limit-non-Limit-implies-nat:
  [| Limit(a); ∀ x∈a. ~ Limit(x) |] ==> a = nat
apply (rule le-anti-sym)
apply (rule all-lt-imp-le, blast, blast intro: Limit-is-Ord)
apply (simp add: lt-def)
apply (blast intro: Ord-in-Ord Ord-trans finite-Ord-is-nat)
apply (erule nat-le-Limit)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) omega-abs [simp]:
  M(a) ==> omega(M,a) <=> a = nat
apply (simp add: omega-def)
apply (blast intro: Limit-non-Limit-implies-nat dest: naturals-not-limit)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) number1-abs [simp]:
  M(a) ==> number1(M,a) <=> a = 1
by (simp add: number1-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) number2-abs [simp]:
  M(a) ==> number2(M,a) <=> a = succ(1)
by (simp add: number2-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) number3-abs [simp]:
  M(a) ==> number3(M,a) <=> a = succ(succ(1))
by (simp add: number3-def)

```

Kunen continued to 20...

1.7 Some instances of separation and strong replacement

locale $M\text{-basic} = M\text{-trivial} +$
assumes Inter-separation:

$$M(A) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \forall y[M]. y \in A \longrightarrow x \in y)$$

and Diff-separation:

$$M(B) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. x \notin B)$$

and cartprod-separation:

$$[\![M(A); M(B)]\!] \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda z. \exists x[M]. x \in A \& (\exists y[M]. y \in B \& \text{pair}(M, x, y, z)))$$

and image-separation:

$$[\![M(A); M(r)]\!] \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists p[M]. p \in r \& (\exists x[M]. x \in A \& \text{pair}(M, x, y, p)))$$

and converse-separation:

$$M(r) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda z. \exists p[M]. p \in r \& (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, p) \& \text{pair}(M, y, x, z)))$$

and restrict-separation:

$$M(A) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda z. \exists x[M]. x \in A \& (\exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, z)))$$

and comp-separation:

$$[\![M(r); M(s)]\!] \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda xz. \exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \exists z[M]. \exists xy[M]. \exists yz[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, z, xz) \& \text{pair}(M, x, y, xy) \& \text{pair}(M, y, z, yz) \& xy \in s \& yz \in r)$$

and pred-separation:

$$[\![M(r); M(x)]\!] \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists p[M]. p \in r \& \text{pair}(M, y, x, p))$$

and Memrel-separation:

$$\text{separation}(M, \lambda z. \exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \& x \in y)$$

and funspace-succ-replacement:

$$M(n) \implies \text{strong-replacement}(M, \lambda p z. \exists f[M]. \exists b[M]. \exists nb[M]. \exists cnbf[M]. \text{pair}(M, f, b, p) \& \text{pair}(M, n, b, nb) \& \text{is-cons}(M, nb, f, cnbf) \& \text{upair}(M, cnbf, cnbf, z))$$

and is-recfun-separation:

- for well-founded recursion: used to prove *is-recfun-equal*

$$[\![M(r); M(f); M(g); M(a); M(b)]\!] \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \exists xa[M]. \exists xb[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, a, xa) \& xa \in r \& \text{pair}(M, x, b, xb) \& xb \in r \& (\exists fx[M]. \exists gx[M]. \text{fun-apply}(M, f, x, fx) \& \text{fun-apply}(M, g, x, gx) \& fx \neq gx))$$

and power-ax: $\text{power-ax}(M)$

lemma (in $M\text{-basic}$) cartprod-iff-lemma:

$$[\![M(C); \forall u[M]. u \in C \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. \exists y \in B. u = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}); \text{powerset}(M, A \cup B, p1); \text{powerset}(M, p1, p2); M(p2)]\!] \implies C = \{u \in p2 . \exists x \in A. \exists y \in B. u = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}\}$$

apply (simp add: powerset-def)

apply (rule equalityI, clarify, simp)

apply (frule transM, assumption)

apply (frule transM, assumption, simp (no-asm-simp))

```

apply blast
apply clarify
apply (frule transM, assumption, force)
done

lemma (in M-basic) cartprod-iff:
  [| M(A); M(B); M(C) |]
  ==> cartprod(M,A,B,C) <=>
    ( $\exists p1[M]. \exists p2[M]. \text{powerset}(M, A \cup B, p1) \& \text{powerset}(M, p1, p2) \&$ 
      $C = \{z \in p2. \exists x \in A. \exists y \in B. z = \langle x, y \rangle\}$ )
  apply (simp add: Pair-def cartprod-def, safe)
  defer 1
  apply (simp add: powerset-def)
  apply blast

```

Final, difficult case: the left-to-right direction of the theorem.

```

apply (insert power-ax, simp add: power-ax-def)
apply (frule-tac x=A  $\cup$  B and P= $\lambda x. \text{rex}(M, Q(x))$  for Q in rspec)
apply (blast, clarify)
apply (drule-tac x=z and P= $\lambda x. \text{rex}(M, Q(x))$  for Q in rspec)
apply assumption
apply (blast intro: cartprod-iff-lemma)
done

```

```

lemma (in M-basic) cartprod-closed-lemma:
  [| M(A); M(B) |] ==>  $\exists C[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, A, B, C)$ 
  apply (simp del: cartprod-abs add: cartprod-iff)
  apply (insert power-ax, simp add: power-ax-def)
  apply (frule-tac x=A  $\cup$  B and P= $\lambda x. \text{rex}(M, Q(x))$  for Q in rspec)
  apply (blast, clarify)
  apply (drule-tac x=z and P= $\lambda x. \text{rex}(M, Q(x))$  for Q in rspec, auto)
  apply (intro rexI conjI, simp+)
  apply (insert cartprod-separation [of A B], simp)
done

```

All the lemmas above are necessary because Powerset is not absolute. I should have used Replacement instead!

```

lemma (in M-basic) cartprod-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(A); M(B) |] ==> M(A*B)
  by (frule cartprod-closed-lemma, assumption, force)

lemma (in M-basic) sum-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(A); M(B) |] ==> M(A+B)
  by (simp add: sum-def)

lemma (in M-basic) sum-abs [simp]:
  [| M(A); M(B); M(Z) |] ==> is-sum(M, A, B, Z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (Z = A+B)
  by (simp add: is-sum-def sum-def singleton-0 nat-into-M)

```

```

lemma (in M-trivial) Inl-in-M-iff [iff]:
   $M(\text{Inl}(a)) \longleftrightarrow M(a)$ 
by (simp add: Inl-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) Inl-abs [simp]:
   $M(Z) ==> \text{is-Inl}(M,a,Z) \longleftrightarrow (Z = \text{Inl}(a))$ 
by (simp add: is-Inl-def Inl-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) Inr-in-M-iff [iff]:
   $M(\text{Inr}(a)) \longleftrightarrow M(a)$ 
by (simp add: Inr-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) Inr-abs [simp]:
   $M(Z) ==> \text{is-Inr}(M,a,Z) \longleftrightarrow (Z = \text{Inr}(a))$ 
by (simp add: is-Inr-def Inr-def)

```

1.7.1 converse of a relation

```

lemma (in M-basic) M-converse-iff:
   $M(r) ==>$ 
   $\text{converse}(r) =$ 
   $\{z \in \bigcup(\bigcup(r)) * \bigcup(\bigcup(r)).$ 
   $\exists p \in r. \exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. p = \langle x,y \rangle \ \& \ z = \langle y,x \rangle\}$ 
apply (rule equalityI)
prefer 2 apply (blast dest: transM, clarify, simp)
apply (simp add: Pair-def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) converse-closed [intro,simp]:
   $M(r) ==> M(\text{converse}(r))$ 
apply (simp add: M-converse-iff)
apply (insert converse-separation [of r], simp)
done

lemma (in M-basic) converse-abs [simp]:
   $\llbracket M(r); M(z) \rrbracket ==> \text{is-converse}(M,r,z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{converse}(r)$ 
apply (simp add: is-converse-def)
apply (rule iffI)
prefer 2 apply blast
apply (rule M-equalityI)
apply simp
apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

```

1.7.2 image, preimage, domain, range

```

lemma (in M-basic) image-closed [intro,simp]:
   $\llbracket M(A); M(r) \rrbracket ==> M(r``A)$ 
apply (simp add: image-iff-Collect)

```

```

apply (insert image-separation [of A r], simp)
done

lemma (in M-basic) vimage-abs [simp]:
  [|  $M(r)$ ;  $M(A)$ ;  $M(z)$  |] ==>  $\text{pre-image}(M, r, A, z) \longleftrightarrow z = r - ``A$ 
apply (simp add: pre-image-def)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM, blast)
done

lemma (in M-basic) vimage-closed [intro,simp]:
  [|  $M(A)$ ;  $M(r)$  |] ==>  $M(r - ``A)$ 
by (simp add: vimage-def)

```

1.7.3 Domain, range and field

```

lemma (in M-basic) domain-abs [simp]:
  [|  $M(r)$ ;  $M(z)$  |] ==>  $\text{is-domain}(M, r, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{domain}(r)$ 
apply (simp add: is-domain-def)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) domain-closed [intro,simp]:
   $M(r) ==> M(\text{domain}(r))$ 
apply (simp add: domain-eq-vimage)
done

lemma (in M-basic) range-abs [simp]:
  [|  $M(r)$ ;  $M(z)$  |] ==>  $\text{is-range}(M, r, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{range}(r)$ 
apply (simp add: is-range-def)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) range-closed [intro,simp]:
   $M(r) ==> M(\text{range}(r))$ 
apply (simp add: range-eq-image)
done

lemma (in M-basic) field-abs [simp]:
  [|  $M(r)$ ;  $M(z)$  |] ==>  $\text{is-field}(M, r, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{field}(r)$ 
by (simp add: is-field-def field-def)

```

```

lemma (in M-basic) field-closed [intro,simp]:
   $M(r) ==> M(\text{field}(r))$ 
by (simp add: field-def)

```

1.7.4 Relations, functions and application

```

lemma (in M-basic) relation-abs [simp]:
   $M(r) ==> \text{is-relation}(M, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{relation}(r)$ 

```

```

apply (simp add: is-relation-def relation-def)
apply (blast dest!: bspec dest: pair-components-in-M) +
done

lemma (in M-basic) function-abs [simp]:
   $M(r) \implies \text{is-function}(M,r) \longleftrightarrow \text{function}(r)$ 
apply (simp add: is-function-def function-def, safe)
  apply (frule transM, assumption)
  apply (blast dest: pair-components-in-M) +
done

lemma (in M-basic) apply-closed [intro,simp]:
   $\llbracket M(f); M(a) \rrbracket \implies M(f'a)$ 
by (simp add: apply-def)

lemma (in M-basic) apply-abs [simp]:
   $\llbracket M(f); M(x); M(y) \rrbracket \implies \text{fun-apply}(M,f,x,y) \longleftrightarrow f'x = y$ 
apply (simp add: fun-apply-def apply-def, blast)
done

lemma (in M-basic) typed-function-abs [simp]:
   $\llbracket M(A); M(f) \rrbracket \implies \text{typed-function}(M,A,B,f) \longleftrightarrow f \in A \rightarrow B$ 
apply (auto simp add: typed-function-def relation-def Pi-iff)
apply (blast dest: pair-components-in-M) +
done

lemma (in M-basic) injection-abs [simp]:
   $\llbracket M(A); M(f) \rrbracket \implies \text{injection}(M,A,B,f) \longleftrightarrow f \in \text{inj}(A,B)$ 
apply (simp add: injection-def apply-iff inj-def)
apply (blast dest: transM [of - A])
done

lemma (in M-basic) surjection-abs [simp]:
   $\llbracket M(A); M(B); M(f) \rrbracket \implies \text{surjection}(M,A,B,f) \longleftrightarrow f \in \text{surj}(A,B)$ 
by (simp add: surjection-def surj-def)

lemma (in M-basic) bijection-abs [simp]:
   $\llbracket M(A); M(B); M(f) \rrbracket \implies \text{bijection}(M,A,B,f) \longleftrightarrow f \in \text{bij}(A,B)$ 
by (simp add: bijection-def bij-def)

```

1.7.5 Composition of relations

```

lemma (in M-basic) M-comp-iff:
   $\llbracket M(r); M(s) \rrbracket \implies r \circ s =$ 
   $\{xz \in \text{domain}(s) * \text{range}(r).$ 
     $\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \exists z[M]. xz = \langle x,z \rangle \& \langle x,y \rangle \in s \& \langle y,z \rangle \in r\}$ 
apply (simp add: comp-def)
apply (rule equalityI)

```

```

apply clarify
apply simp
apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

lemma (in M-basic) comp-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(r); M(s) |] ==> M(r O s)
apply (simp add: M-comp-iff)
apply (insert comp-separation [of r s], simp)
done

lemma (in M-basic) composition-abs [simp]:
  [| M(r); M(s); M(t) |] ==> composition(M,r,s,t) <-> t = r O s
apply safe

Proving composition(M, r, s, r O s)

prefer 2
apply (simp add: composition-def comp-def)
apply (blast dest: transM)

Opposite implication

apply (rule M-equalityI)
apply (simp add: composition-def comp-def)
apply (blast del: allE dest: transM)+
done

no longer needed

lemma (in M-basic) restriction-is-function:
  [| restriction(M,f,A,z); function(f); M(f); M(A); M(z) |]
  ==> function(z)
apply (simp add: restriction-def ball-iff-equiv)
apply (unfold function-def, blast)
done

lemma (in M-basic) restriction-abs [simp]:
  [| M(f); M(A); M(z) |]
  ==> restriction(M,f,A,z) <-> z = restrict(f,A)
apply (simp add: ball-iff-equiv restriction-def restrict-def)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) M-restrict-iff:
  M(r) ==> restrict(r,A) = {z ∈ r . ∃ x ∈ A. ∃ y[M]. z = ⟨x, y⟩}
by (simp add: restrict-def, blast dest: transM)

lemma (in M-basic) restrict-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(A); M(r) |] ==> M(restrict(r,A))
apply (simp add: M-restrict-iff)

```

```

apply (insert restrict-separation [of A], simp)
done

lemma (in M-basic) Inter-abs [simp]:
  [|  $M(A)$ ;  $M(z)$  |] ==> big-inter( $M, A, z$ )  $\longleftrightarrow z = \bigcap(A)$ 
apply (simp add: big-inter-def Inter-def)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) Inter-closed [intro,simp]:
   $M(A) ==> M(\bigcap(A))$ 
by (insert Inter-separation, simp add: Inter-def)

lemma (in M-basic) Int-closed [intro,simp]:
  [|  $M(A)$ ;  $M(B)$  |] ==>  $M(A \cap B)$ 
apply (subgoal-tac M({A,B}))
apply (frule Inter-closed, force+)
done

lemma (in M-basic) Diff-closed [intro,simp]:
  [|  $M(A)$ ;  $M(B)$  |] ==>  $M(A - B)$ 
by (insert Diff-separation, simp add: Diff-def)

```

1.7.6 Some Facts About Separation Axioms

```

lemma (in M-basic) separation-conj:
  [|  $\text{separation}(M, P)$ ;  $\text{separation}(M, Q)$  |] ==>  $\text{separation}(M, \lambda z. P(z) \& Q(z))$ 
by (simp del: separation-closed
      add: separation-iff Collect-Int-Collect-eq [symmetric])

```



```

lemma Collect-Un-Collect-eq:
   $\text{Collect}(A, P) \cup \text{Collect}(A, Q) = \text{Collect}(A, \%x. P(x) \mid Q(x))$ 
by blast

```



```

lemma Diff-Collect-eq:
   $A - \text{Collect}(A, P) = \text{Collect}(A, \%x. \sim P(x))$ 
by blast

```



```

lemma (in M-trivial) Collect-rall-eq:
   $M(Y) ==> \text{Collect}(A, \%x. \forall y[M]. y \in Y \longrightarrow P(x, y)) =$ 
    (if  $Y=0$  then  $A$  else ( $\bigcap y \in Y. \{x \in A. P(x, y)\}$ ))
apply simp
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```



```

lemma (in M-basic) separation-disj:
  [|  $\text{separation}(M, P)$ ;  $\text{separation}(M, Q)$  |] ==>  $\text{separation}(M, \lambda z. P(z) \mid Q(z))$ 
by (simp del: separation-closed)

```

```

add: separation-iff Collect-Un-Collect-eq [symmetric])

lemma (in M-basic) separation-neg:
  separation(M,P) ==> separation(M, λz. ~P(z))
by (simp del: separation-closed
  add: separation-iff Diff-Collect-eq [symmetric])

lemma (in M-basic) separation-imp:
  [|separation(M,P); separation(M,Q)|]
  ==> separation(M, λz. P(z) → Q(z))
by (simp add: separation-neg separation-disj not-disj-iff-imp [symmetric])

```

This result is a hint of how little can be done without the Reflection Theorem. The quantifier has to be bounded by a set. We also need another instance of Separation!

```

lemma (in M-basic) separation-rall:
  [|M(Y); ∀y[M]. separation(M, λx. P(x,y));  

   ∀z[M]. strong-replacement(M, λx y. y = {u ∈ z . P(u,x)})|]  

  ==> separation(M, λx. ∀y[M]. y ∈ Y → P(x,y))
apply (simp del: separation-closed rall-abs
  add: separation-iff Collect-rall-eq)
apply (blast intro!: RepFun-closed dest: transM)
done

```

1.7.7 Functions and function space

The assumption $M(A \rightarrow B)$ is unusual, but essential: in all but trivial cases, $A \rightarrow B$ cannot be expected to belong to M .

```

lemma (in M-basic) is-funspace-abs [simp]:
  [|M(A); M(B); M(F); M(A→B)|] ==> is-funspace(M,A,B,F) ↔ F = A→B
apply (simp add: is-funspace-def)
apply (rule iffI)
prefer 2 apply blast
apply (rule M-equalityI)
apply simp-all
done

lemma (in M-basic) succ-fun-eq2:
  [|M(B); M(n→B)|] ==>
  succ(n) → B =
  ∪{z. p ∈ (n→B)*B, ∃f[M]. ∃b[M]. p = <f,b> & z = {cons(<n,b>, f)}}
apply (simp add: succ-fun-eq)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) funspace-succ:
  [|M(n); M(B); M(n→B)|] ==> M(succ(n) → B)

```

```

apply (insert funspace-succ-replacement [of n], simp)
apply (force simp add: succ-fun-eq2 univalent-def)
done

```

M contains all finite function spaces. Needed to prove the absoluteness of transitive closure. See the definition of *rtrancl-alt* in *WF-absolute.thy*.

```

lemma (in M-basic) finite-funspace-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| n ∈ nat; M(B)|] ==> M(n -> B)
apply (induct-tac n, simp)
apply (simp add: funspace-succ nat-into-M)
done

```

1.8 Relativization and Absoluteness for Boolean Operators

definition

```

is-bool-of-o :: [i => o, o, i] => o where
  is-bool-of-o(M, P, z) == (P & number1(M, z)) | (~P & empty(M, z))

```

definition

```

is-not :: [i => o, i, i] => o where
  is-not(M, a, z) == (number1(M, a) & empty(M, z)) | (~number1(M, a) & number1(M, z))

```

definition

```

is-and :: [i => o, i, i, i] => o where
  is-and(M, a, b, z) == (number1(M, a) & z = b) | (~number1(M, a) & empty(M, z))

```

definition

```

is-or :: [i => o, i, i, i] => o where
  is-or(M, a, b, z) == (number1(M, a) & number1(M, z)) | (~number1(M, a) & z = b)

```

lemma (in M-trivial) bool-of-o-abs [simp]:

```

M(z) ==> is-bool-of-o(M, P, z) ↔ z = bool-of-o(P)
by (simp add: is-bool-of-o-def bool-of-o-def)

```

lemma (in M-trivial) not-abs [simp]:

```

[| M(a); M(z)|] ==> is-not(M, a, z) ↔ z = not(a)
by (simp add: Bool.not-def cond-def is-not-def)

```

lemma (in M-trivial) and-abs [simp]:

```

[| M(a); M(b); M(z)|] ==> is-and(M, a, b, z) ↔ z = a and b
by (simp add: Bool.and-def cond-def is-and-def)

```

lemma (in M-trivial) or-abs [simp]:

```

[| M(a); M(b); M(z)|] ==> is-or(M, a, b, z) ↔ z = a or b
by (simp add: Bool.or-def cond-def is-or-def)

```

lemma (in M -trivial) $\text{bool-of-}o\text{-closed}$ [intro,simp]:
 $M(\text{bool-of-}o(P))$
by (simp add: bool-of- o -def)

lemma (in M -trivial) and-closed [intro,simp]:
 $[\] M(p); M(q) [\] \implies M(p \text{ and } q)$
by (simp add: and-def cond-def)

lemma (in M -trivial) or-closed [intro,simp]:
 $[\] M(p); M(q) [\] \implies M(p \text{ or } q)$
by (simp add: or-def cond-def)

lemma (in M -trivial) not-closed [intro,simp]:
 $M(p) \implies M(\text{not}(p))$
by (simp add: Bool.not-def cond-def)

1.9 Relativization and Absoluteness for List Operators

definition

is-Nil :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— because $[] \equiv \text{Inl}(0)$
 $\text{is-}Nil(M, xs) == \exists p[M]. \text{empty}(M, zero) \& \text{is-}\text{Inl}(M, zero, xs)$

definition

is-Cons :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— because $Cons(a, l) \equiv \text{Inr}(\langle a, l \rangle)$
 $\text{is-}Cons(M, a, l, Z) == \exists p[M]. \text{pair}(M, a, l, p) \& \text{is-}\text{Inr}(M, p, Z)$

lemma (in M -trivial) $\text{Nil-in-}M$ [intro,simp]: $M(\text{Nil})$
by (simp add: Nil-def)

lemma (in M -trivial) Nil-abs [simp]: $M(Z) \implies \text{is-}\text{Nil}(M, Z) \longleftrightarrow (Z = \text{Nil})$
by (simp add: is-Nil-def Nil-def)

lemma (in M -trivial) $\text{Cons-in-}M\text{-iff}$ [iff]: $M(Cons(a, l)) \longleftrightarrow M(a) \& M(l)$
by (simp add: Cons-def)

lemma (in M -trivial) Cons-abs [simp]:
 $[\] M(a); M(l); M(Z) [\] \implies \text{is-}\text{Cons}(M, a, l, Z) \longleftrightarrow (Z = Cons(a, l))$
by (simp add: is-Cons-def Cons-def)

definition

quasilist :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{quasilist}(xs) == xs = \text{Nil} \mid (\exists x l. xs = Cons(x, l))$

definition

is-quaselist :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is-quaselist}(M, z) == \text{is-Nil}(M, z) \mid (\exists x[M]. \exists l[M]. \text{is-Cons}(M, x, l, z))$

definition

list-case' :: $[i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
— A version of *list-case* that's always defined.
 $\text{list-case}'(a, b, xs) ==$
 $\text{if quaselist}(xs) \text{ then list-case}(a, b, xs) \text{ else } 0$

definition

is-list-case :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— Returns 0 for non-lists
 $\text{is-list-case}(M, a, \text{is-}b, xs, z) ==$
 $(\text{is-Nil}(M, xs) \rightarrow z=a) \&$
 $(\forall x[M]. \forall l[M]. \text{is-Cons}(M, x, l, xs) \rightarrow \text{is-}b(x, l, z)) \&$
 $(\text{is-quaselist}(M, xs) \mid \text{empty}(M, z))$

definition

hd' :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
— A version of *hd* that's always defined.
 $\text{hd}'(xs) == \text{if quaselist}(xs) \text{ then hd}(xs) \text{ else } 0$

definition

tl' :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
— A version of *tl* that's always defined.
 $\text{tl}'(xs) == \text{if quaselist}(xs) \text{ then tl}(xs) \text{ else } 0$

definition

is-hd :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— $\text{hd}([]) = 0$ no constraints if not a list. Avoiding implication prevents the simplifier's looping.
 $\text{is-hd}(M, xs, H) ==$
 $(\text{is-Nil}(M, xs) \rightarrow \text{empty}(M, H)) \&$
 $(\forall x[M]. \forall l[M]. \sim \text{is-Cons}(M, x, l, xs) \mid H=x) \&$
 $(\text{is-quaselist}(M, xs) \mid \text{empty}(M, H))$

definition

is-tl :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— $\text{tl}([]) = []$; see comments about *is-hd*
 $\text{is-tl}(M, xs, T) ==$
 $(\text{is-Nil}(M, xs) \rightarrow T=xs) \&$
 $(\forall x[M]. \forall l[M]. \sim \text{is-Cons}(M, x, l, xs) \mid T=l) \&$
 $(\text{is-quaselist}(M, xs) \mid \text{empty}(M, T))$

1.9.1 quaselist: For Case-Splitting with *list-case'*

lemma [iff]: $\text{quaselist}(\text{Nil})$
by (simp add: *quaselist-def*)

```

lemma [iff]: quasilist(Cons(x,l))
by (simp add: quasilist-def)

lemma list-imp-quasilist:  $l \in list(A) \implies quasilist(l)$ 
by (erule list.cases, simp-all)

```

1.9.2 $list\text{-}case'$, the Modified Version of $list\text{-}case$

```

lemma list-case'-Nil [simp]: list-case'(a,b,Nil) = a
by (simp add: list-case'-def quasilist-def)

lemma list-case'-Cons [simp]: list-case'(a,b,Cons(x,l)) = b(x,l)
by (simp add: list-case'-def quasilist-def)

lemma non-list-case:  $\sim quasilist(x) \implies list\text{-}case'(a,b,x) = 0$ 
by (simp add: quasilist-def list-case'-def)

lemma list-case'-eq-list-case [simp]:
  xs ∈ list(A) ==> list-case'(a,b,xs) = list-case(a,b,xs)
by (erule list.cases, simp-all)

lemma (in M-basic) list-case'-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(k); M(a); ∀x[M]. ∀y[M]. M(b(x,y)) |] ==> M(list-case'(a,b,k))
apply (case-tac quasilist(k))
  apply (simp add: quasilist-def, force)
  apply (simp add: non-list-case)
done

```

```

lemma (in M-trivial) quasilist-abs [simp]:
  M(z) ==> is-quasilist(M,z) ↔ quasilist(z)
by (auto simp add: is-quasilist-def quasilist-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) list-case-abs [simp]:
  [| relation2(M,is-b,b); M(k); M(z) |]
  ==> is-list-case(M,a,is-b,k,z) ↔ z = list-case'(a,b,k)
apply (case-tac quasilist(k))
  prefer 2
  apply (simp add: is-list-case-def non-list-case)
  apply (force simp add: quasilist-def)
  apply (simp add: quasilist-def is-list-case-def)
  apply (elim disjE exE)
  apply (simp-all add: relation2-def)
done

```

1.9.3 The Modified Operators hd' and tl'

```

lemma (in M-trivial) is-hd-Nil: is-hd(M,[],Z) ↔ empty(M,Z)
by (simp add: is-hd-def)

```

```

lemma (in M-trivial) is-hd-Cons:
  [|M(a); M(l)|] ==> is-hd(M, Cons(a,l), Z) <-> Z = a
by (force simp add: is-hd-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) hd-abs [simp]:
  [|M(x); M(y)|] ==> is-hd(M,x,y) <-> y = hd'(x)
apply (simp add: hd'-def)
apply (intro impI conjI)
prefer 2 apply (force simp add: is-hd-def)
apply (simp add: quaselist-def is-hd-def)
apply (elim disjE exE, auto)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) is-tl-Nil: is-tl(M,[],Z) <-> Z = []
by (simp add: is-tl-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) is-tl-Cons:
  [|M(a); M(l)|] ==> is-tl(M, Cons(a,l), Z) <-> Z = l
by (force simp add: is-tl-def)

lemma (in M-trivial) tl-abs [simp]:
  [|M(x); M(y)|] ==> is-tl(M,x,y) <-> y = tl'(x)
apply (simp add: tl'-def)
apply (intro impI conjI)
prefer 2 apply (force simp add: is-tl-def)
apply (simp add: quaselist-def is-tl-def)
apply (elim disjE exE, auto)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) relation1-tl: relation1(M, is-tl(M), tl')
by (simp add: relation1-def)

lemma hd'-Nil: hd'([]) = 0
by (simp add: hd'-def)

lemma hd'-Cons: hd'(Cons(a,l)) = a
by (simp add: hd'-def)

lemma tl'-Nil: tl'([]) = []
by (simp add: tl'-def)

lemma tl'-Cons: tl'(Cons(a,l)) = l
by (simp add: tl'-def)

lemma iterates-tl-Nil: n ∈ nat ==> tl'^n ([] ) = []
apply (induct-tac n)
apply (simp-all add: tl'-Nil)
done

```

```

lemma (in M-basic) tl'-closed: M(x) ==> M(tl'(x))
apply (simp add: tl'-def)
apply (force simp add: quaselist-def)
done

end

```

2 First-Order Formulas and the Definition of the Class L

```
theory Formula imports ZF begin
```

2.1 Internalized formulas of FOL

De Bruijn representation. Unbound variables get their denotations from an environment.

```

consts formula :: i
datatype
  formula = Member (x ∈ nat, y ∈ nat)
            | Equal (x ∈ nat, y ∈ nat)
            | Nand (p ∈ formula, q ∈ formula)
            | Forall (p ∈ formula)

declare formula.intros [TC]

```

definition

```

Neg :: i=>i where
Neg(p) == Nand(p,p)

```

definition

```

And :: [i,i]=>i where
And(p,q) == Neg(Nand(p,q))

```

definition

```

Or :: [i,i]=>i where
Or(p,q) == Nand(Neg(p),Neg(q))

```

definition

```

Implies :: [i,i]=>i where
Implies(p,q) == Nand(p,Neg(q))

```

definition

```

Iff :: [i,i]=>i where
Iff(p,q) == And(Implies(p,q), Implies(q,p))

```

definition

```

Exists :: i=>i where

```

Exists(p) == Neg(Forall(Neg(p)))

lemma *Neg-type* [TC]: $p \in \text{formula} \implies \text{Neg}(p) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: Neg-def*)

lemma *And-type* [TC]: $\llbracket p \in \text{formula}; q \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \text{And}(p,q) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: And-def*)

lemma *Or-type* [TC]: $\llbracket p \in \text{formula}; q \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \text{Or}(p,q) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: Or-def*)

lemma *Implies-type* [TC]:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula}; q \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \text{Implies}(p,q) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: Implies-def*)

lemma *Iff-type* [TC]:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula}; q \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \text{Iff}(p,q) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: Iff-def*)

lemma *Exists-type* [TC]: $p \in \text{formula} \implies \text{Exists}(p) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: Exists-def*)

```
consts satisfies :: [i,i]=>i
primrec
  satisfies(A,Member(x,y)) =
    (λenv ∈ list(A). bool-of-o (nth(x,env) ∈ nth(y,env)))
  satisfies(A,Equal(x,y)) =
    (λenv ∈ list(A). bool-of-o (nth(x,env) = nth(y,env)))
  satisfies(A,Nand(p,q)) =
    (λenv ∈ list(A). not ((satisfies(A,p)`env) and (satisfies(A,q)`env)))
  satisfies(A,Forall(p)) =
    (λenv ∈ list(A). bool-of-o (forall x ∈ A. satisfies(A,p) ` (Cons(x,env)) = 1))
```

lemma $p \in \text{formula} \implies \text{satisfies}(A,p) \in \text{list}(A) \rightarrow \text{bool}$
by (*induct set: formula*) *simp-all*

abbreviation
sats :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{sats}(A,p,\text{env}) == \text{satisfies}(A,p)`\text{env} = 1$

lemma [*simp*]:
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{Member}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{nth}(x,\text{env}) \in \text{nth}(y,\text{env})$
by *simp*

```

lemma [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> sats(A, Equal(x,y), env) ←→ nth(x,env) = nth(y,env)
by simp

lemma sats-Nand-iff [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> (sats(A, Nand(p,q), env)) ←→ ~ (sats(A,p,env) & sats(A,q,env))
by (simp add: Bool.and-def Bool.not-def cond-def)

lemma sats-Forall-iff [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> sats(A, Forall(p), env) ←→ (∀ x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env)))
by simp

declare satisfies.simps [simp del]

```

2.2 Dividing line between primitive and derived connectives

```

lemma sats-Neg-iff [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> sats(A, Neg(p), env) ←→ ~ sats(A,p,env)
by (simp add: Neg-def)

lemma sats-And-iff [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> (sats(A, And(p,q), env)) ←→ sats(A,p,env) & sats(A,q,env)
by (simp add: And-def)

lemma sats-Or-iff [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> (sats(A, Or(p,q), env)) ←→ sats(A,p,env) | sats(A,q,env)
by (simp add: Or-def)

lemma sats-Implies-iff [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> (sats(A, Implies(p,q), env)) ←→ (sats(A,p,env) —> sats(A,q,env))
by (simp add: Implies-def, blast)

lemma sats-Iff-iff [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> (sats(A, Iff(p,q), env)) ←→ (sats(A,p,env) ←→ sats(A,q,env))
by (simp add: Iff-def, blast)

lemma sats-Exists-iff [simp]:
  env ∈ list(A)
  ==> sats(A, Exists(p), env) ←→ (∃ x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env)))
by (simp add: Exists-def)

```

2.2.1 Derived rules to help build up formulas

```

lemma mem-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (x ∈ y) ↔ sats(A, Member(i,j), env)
by (simp add: satisfies.simps)

lemma equal-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (x = y) ↔ sats(A, Equal(i,j), env)
by (simp add: satisfies.simps)

lemma not-iff-sats:
  [| P ↔ sats(A,p,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (¬P) ↔ sats(A, Neg(p), env)
by simp

lemma conj-iff-sats:
  [| P ↔ sats(A,p,env); Q ↔ sats(A,q,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (P & Q) ↔ sats(A, And(p,q), env)
by (simp add: sats-And-iff)

lemma disj-iff-sats:
  [| P ↔ sats(A,p,env); Q ↔ sats(A,q,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (P ∨ Q) ↔ sats(A, Or(p,q), env)
by (simp add: sats-Or-iff)

lemma iff-iff-sats:
  [| P ↔ sats(A,p,env); Q ↔ sats(A,q,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (P ↔ Q) ↔ sats(A, Iff(p,q), env)
by (simp add: sats-Forall-iff)

lemma imp-iff-sats:
  [| P ↔ sats(A,p,env); Q ↔ sats(A,q,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (P → Q) ↔ sats(A, Implies(p,q), env)
by (simp add: sats-Forall-iff)

lemma ball-iff-sats:
  [| !x. x ∈ A ==> P(x) ↔ sats(A, p, Cons(x, env)); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (∀ x ∈ A. P(x)) ↔ sats(A, Forall(p), env)
by (simp add: sats-Forall-iff)

lemma bex-iff-sats:
  [| !x. x ∈ A ==> P(x) ↔ sats(A, p, Const(x, env)); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (∃ x ∈ A. P(x)) ↔ sats(A, Exists(p), env)
by (simp add: sats-Exists-iff)

lemmas FOL-iff-sats =
  mem-iff-sats equal-iff-sats not-iff-sats conj-iff-sats
  disj-iff-sats imp-iff-sats iff-iff-sats imp-iff-sats ball-iff-sats
  iff-iff-sats

```

bex-iff-sats

2.3 Arity of a Formula: Maximum Free de Bruijn Index

```

consts  arity ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
primrec
   $\text{arity}(\text{Member}(x,y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{Equal}(x,y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q)) = \text{arity}(p) \cup \text{arity}(q)$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{Forall}(p)) = \text{Arith}.pred(\text{arity}(p))$ 

lemma arity-type [TC]:  $p \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(p) \in \text{nat}$ 
by (induct-tac p, simp-all)

lemma arity-Neg [simp]:  $\text{arity}(\text{Neg}(p)) = \text{arity}(p)$ 
by (simp add: Neg-def)

lemma arity-And [simp]:  $\text{arity}(\text{And}(p,q)) = \text{arity}(p) \cup \text{arity}(q)$ 
by (simp add: And-def)

lemma arity-Or [simp]:  $\text{arity}(\text{Or}(p,q)) = \text{arity}(p) \cup \text{arity}(q)$ 
by (simp add: Or-def)

lemma arity-Implies [simp]:  $\text{arity}(\text{Implies}(p,q)) = \text{arity}(p) \cup \text{arity}(q)$ 
by (simp add: Implies-def)

lemma arity-Iff [simp]:  $\text{arity}(\text{Iff}(p,q)) = \text{arity}(p) \cup \text{arity}(q)$ 
by (simp add: Iff-def, blast)

lemma arity-Exists [simp]:  $\text{arity}(\text{Exists}(p)) = \text{Arith}.pred(\text{arity}(p))$ 
by (simp add: Exists-def)

lemma arity-sats-iff [rule-format]:
  [|  $p \in \text{formula}; \text{extra} \in \text{list}(A)$  |]
   $\implies \forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(A).$ 
     $\text{arity}(p) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{sats}(A, p, \text{env} @ \text{extra}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, p, \text{env})$ 
apply (induct-tac p)
apply (simp-all add: Arith.pred-def nth-append Un-least-lt-iff nat-imp-quasinat
split: split-nat-case, auto)
done

lemma arity-sats1-iff:
  [|  $\text{arity}(p) \leq \text{succ}(\text{length}(\text{env}))$ ;  $p \in \text{formula}; x \in A; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A);$ 

```

```

extra ∈ list(A) []
==> sats(A, p, Cons(x, env @ extra)) ↔ sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))
apply (insert arity-sats-iff [of p extra A Cons(x,env)])
apply simp
done

```

2.4 Renaming Some de Bruijn Variables

definition

```

incr-var :: [i,i]=>i where
incr-var(x,nq) == if x<nq then x else succ(x)

```

```

lemma incr-var-lt: x<nq ==> incr-var(x,nq) = x
by (simp add: incr-var-def)

```

```

lemma incr-var-le: nq≤x ==> incr-var(x,nq) = succ(x)
apply (simp add: incr-var-def)
apply (blast dest: lt-trans1)
done

```

consts incr-bv :: i=>i

primrec

```

incr-bv(Member(x,y)) =
(λnq ∈ nat. Member (incr-var(x,nq), incr-var(y,nq)))

```

```

incr-bv(Equal(x,y)) =
(λnq ∈ nat. Equal (incr-var(x,nq), incr-var(y,nq)))

```

```

incr-bv(Nand(p,q)) =
(λnq ∈ nat. Nand (incr-bv(p) `nq, incr-bv(q) `nq))

```

```

incr-bv(Forall(p)) =
(λnq ∈ nat. Forall (incr-bv(p) ` succ(nq)))

```

```

lemma [TC]: x ∈ nat ==> incr-var(x,nq) ∈ nat
by (simp add: incr-var-def)

```

```

lemma incr-bv-type [TC]: p ∈ formula ==> incr-bv(p) ∈ nat → formula
by (induct-tac p, simp-all)

```

Obviously, $DPow$ is closed under complements and finite intersections and unions. Needs an inductive lemma to allow two lists of parameters to be combined.

lemma sats-incr-bv-iff [rule-format]:

```

[| p ∈ formula; env ∈ list(A); x ∈ A |]
==> ∀ bvs ∈ list(A).
      sats(A, incr-bv(p) ` length(bvs), bvs @ Cons(x,env)) ↔
      sats(A, p, bvs@env)

```

```

apply (induct-tac p)
apply (simp-all add: incr-var-def nth-append succ-lt-iff length-type)
apply (auto simp add: diff-succ not-lt-iff-le)
done

```

```

lemma incr-var-lemma:

$$[\mid x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; nq \leq x \mid] \implies \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{incr-var}(y, nq) = \text{succ}(x \cup y)$$

apply (simp add: incr-var-def Ord-Un-if, auto)
apply (blast intro: leI)
apply (simp add: not-lt-iff-le)
apply (blast intro: le-anti-sym)
apply (blast dest: lt-trans2)
done

```

```

lemma incr-And-lemma:

$$y < x \implies y \cup \text{succ}(x) = \text{succ}(x \cup y)$$

apply (simp add: Ord-Un-if lt-Ord lt-Ord2 succ-lt-iff)
apply (blast dest: lt-asym)
done

```

```

lemma arity-incr-bv-lemma [rule-format]:

$$p \in \text{formula} \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{arity}(\text{incr-bv}(p) ` n) = (\text{if } n < \text{arity}(p) \text{ then } \text{succ}(\text{arity}(p)) \text{ else } \text{arity}(p))$$

apply (induct-tac p)
apply (simp-all add: imp-disj not-lt-iff-le Un-least-lt-iff lt-Un-iff le-Un-iff succ-Un-distrib [symmetric] incr-var-lt incr-var-le Un-commute incr-var-lemma Arith.pred-def nat-imp-quasinat split: split-nat-case)

```

the Forall case reduces to linear arithmetic

```

prefer 2
apply clarify
apply (blast dest: lt-trans1)

```

left with the And case

```

apply safe
apply (blast intro: incr-And-lemma lt-trans1)
apply (subst incr-And-lemma)
apply (blast intro: lt-trans1)
apply (simp add: Un-commute)
done

```

2.5 Renaming all but the First de Bruijn Variable definition

```

incr-bv1 :: i => i where
incr-bv1(p) == incr-bv(p)`1

```

```

lemma incr-bv1-type [TC]: p ∈ formula ==> incr-bv1(p) ∈ formula
by (simp add: incr-bv1-def)

```

```

lemma sats-incr-bv1-iff:
[| p ∈ formula; env ∈ list(A); x ∈ A; y ∈ A |]
==> sats(A, incr-bv1(p), Cons(x, Cons(y, env))) ←→
      sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))
apply (insert sats-incr-bv-iff [of p env A y Cons(x,Nil)])
apply (simp add: incr-bv1-def)
done

```

```

lemma formula-add-params1 [rule-format]:
[| p ∈ formula; n ∈ nat; x ∈ A |]
==> ∀ bvs ∈ list(A). ∀ env ∈ list(A).
    length(bvs) = n →
    sats(A, iterates(incr-bv1, n, p), Cons(x, bvs@env)) ←→
      sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))
apply (induct-tac n, simp, clarify)
apply (erule list.cases)
apply (simp-all add: sats-incr-bv1-iff)
done

```

```

lemma arity-incr-bv1-eq:
p ∈ formula
==> arity(incr-bv1(p)) =
  (if 1 < arity(p) then succ(arity(p)) else arity(p))
apply (insert arity-incr-bv-lemma [of p 1])
apply (simp add: incr-bv1-def)
done

```

```

lemma arity-iterates-incr-bv1-eq:
[| p ∈ formula; n ∈ nat |]
==> arity(incr-bv1^n(p)) =
  (if 1 < arity(p) then n #+ arity(p) else arity(p))
apply (induct-tac n)
apply (simp-all add: arity-incr-bv1-eq)
apply (simp add: not-lt-iff-le)
apply (blast intro: le-trans add-le-self2 arity-type)
done

```

2.6 Definable Powerset

The definable powerset operation: Kunen's definition VI 1.1, page 165.

definition

$$\begin{aligned} DPow :: i &=> i \text{ where} \\ DPow(A) &== \{X \in Pow(A). \\ &\quad \exists env \in list(A). \exists p \in formula. \\ &\quad \quad arity(p) \leq succ(length(env)) \& \\ &\quad \quad X = \{x \in A. sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))\}\} \end{aligned}$$
lemma $DPowI$:
$$\begin{aligned} &[\| env \in list(A); p \in formula; arity(p) \leq succ(length(env)) \|] \\ &==> \{x \in A. sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))\} \in DPow(A) \\ \text{by } &(simp add: DPow-def, blast) \end{aligned}$$

With this rule we can specify p later.

lemma $DPowI2$ [rule-format]:
$$\begin{aligned} &[\| \forall x \in A. P(x) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, p, Cons(x, env)) ; \\ &\quad env \in list(A); p \in formula; arity(p) \leq succ(length(env)) \|] \\ &==> \{x \in A. P(x)\} \in DPow(A) \\ \text{by } &(simp add: DPow-def, blast) \end{aligned}$$
lemma $DPowD$:
$$\begin{aligned} X \in DPow(A) & \\ ==> & X \subseteq A \& \\ (\exists env &\in list(A). \\ \exists p &\in formula. arity(p) \leq succ(length(env)) \& \\ & X = \{x \in A. sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))\}) \\ \text{by } &(simp add: DPow-def) \end{aligned}$$

lemmas $DPow\text{-imp-subset} = DPowD$ [THEN conjunct1]

lemma $\| p \in formula; env \in list(A); arity(p) \leq succ(length(env)) \|$
 $\quad ==> \{x \in A. sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))\} \in DPow(A)$
by (blast intro: $DPowI$)

lemma $DPow\text{-subset-Pow}$: $DPow(A) \subseteq Pow(A)$
by (simp add: $DPow\text{-def}$, blast)

lemma $empty\text{-in-}DPow$: $\emptyset \in DPow(A)$
apply (simp add: $DPow\text{-def}$)
apply (rule-tac $x=Nil$ in bexI)
apply (rule-tac $x=Neg(Equal(0,0))$ in bexI)
apply (auto simp add: Un-least-lt-iff)
done

lemma $Compl\text{-in-}DPow$: $X \in DPow(A) ==> (A-X) \in DPow(A)$
apply (simp add: $DPow\text{-def}$, clarify, auto)
apply (rule bexI)
apply (rule-tac $x=Neg(p)$ in bexI)
apply auto

done

```
lemma Int-in-DPow: [| X ∈ DPow(A); Y ∈ DPow(A) |] ==> X ∩ Y ∈ DPow(A)
apply (simp add: DPow-def, auto)
apply (rename-tac envp p envq q)
apply (rule-tac x=envp@envq in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=And(p, iterates(incr-bv1,length(envp),q)) in bexI)
apply typecheck
apply (rule conjI)
```

```
apply (simp add: arity-iterates-incr-bv1-eq length-app Un-least-lt-iff)
apply (force intro: add-le-self le-trans)
apply (simp add: arity-sats1-iff formula-add-params1, blast)
done
```

```
lemma Un-in-DPow: [| X ∈ DPow(A); Y ∈ DPow(A) |] ==> X ∪ Y ∈ DPow(A)
apply (subgoal-tac X ∪ Y = A - ((A-X) ∩ (A-Y)))
apply (simp add: Int-in-DPow Compl-in-DPow)
apply (simp add: DPow-def, blast)
done
```

```
lemma singleton-in-DPow: a ∈ A ==> {a} ∈ DPow(A)
apply (simp add: DPow-def)
apply (rule-tac x=Cons(a,Nil) in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=Equal(0,1) in bexI)
apply typecheck
apply (force simp add: succ-Un-distrib [symmetric])
done
```

```
lemma cons-in-DPow: [| a ∈ A; X ∈ DPow(A) |] ==> cons(a,X) ∈ DPow(A)
apply (rule cons-eq [THEN subst])
apply (blast intro: singleton-in-DPow Un-in-DPow)
done
```

```
lemma Fin-into-DPow: X ∈ Fin(A) ==> X ∈ DPow(A)
apply (erule Fin.induct)
apply (rule empty-in-DPow)
apply (blast intro: cons-in-DPow)
done
```

$DPow$ is not monotonic. For example, let A be some non-constructible set of natural numbers, and let B be nat . Then $A \subseteq B$ and obviously $A \in DPow(A)$ but $A \notin DPow(B)$.

```
lemma Finite-Pow-subset-Pow: Finite(A) ==> Pow(A) ⊆ DPow(A)
by (blast intro: Fin-into-DPow Finite-into-Fin Fin-subset)
```

```
lemma Finite-DPow-eq-Pow: Finite(A) ==> DPow(A) = Pow(A)
apply (rule equalityI)
```

```

apply (rule DPow-subset-Pow)
apply (erule Finite-Pow-subset-Pow)
done

```

2.7 Internalized Formulas for the Ordinals

The *sats* theorems below differ from the usual form in that they include an element of absoluteness. That is, they relate internalized formulas to real concepts such as the subset relation, rather than to the relativized concepts defined in theory *Relative*. This lets us prove the theorem as *Ords-in-DPow* without first having to instantiate the locale *M-trivial*. Note that the present theory does not even take *Relative* as a parent.

2.7.1 The subset relation

definition

```

subset-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
subset-fm(x,y) == Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)), Member(0,succ(y))))

```

```

lemma subset-type [TC]: [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> subset-fm(x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: subset-fm-def)

```

```

lemma arity-subset-fm [simp]:
[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> arity(subset-fm(x,y)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(y)
by (simp add: subset-fm-def succ-Un-distrib [symmetric])

```

```

lemma sats-subset-fm [simp]:
 [|x < length(env); y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A); Transset(A)|]
 ==> sats(A, subset-fm(x,y), env) ↔ nth(x,env) ⊆ nth(y,env)
apply (frule lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: subset-fm-def Transset-def)
apply (blast intro: nth-type)
done

```

2.7.2 Transitive sets

definition

```

transset-fm :: i=>i where
transset-fm(x) == Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)), subset-fm(0,succ(x))))

```

```

lemma transset-type [TC]: x ∈ nat ==> transset-fm(x) ∈ formula
by (simp add: transset-fm-def)

```

```

lemma arity-transset-fm [simp]:
 x ∈ nat ==> arity(transset-fm(x)) = succ(x)
by (simp add: transset-fm-def succ-Un-distrib [symmetric])

```

```

lemma sats-transset-fm [simp]:

```

```

 $\|x < \text{length}(\text{env}); \text{env} \in \text{list}(A); \text{Transset}(A)\|$ 
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{transset-fm}(x), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{Transset}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}))$ 
apply (frule lt-nat-in-nat, erule length-type)
apply (simp add: transset-fm-def Transset-def)
apply (blast intro: nth-type)
done

```

2.7.3 Ordinals

definition

```

 $\text{ordinal-fm} :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{ordinal-fm}(x) ==$ 
 $\text{And}(\text{transset-fm}(x), \text{Forall}(\text{Implies}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(x)), \text{transset-fm}(0))))$ 

```

lemma ordinal-type [TC]: $x \in \text{nat} \implies \text{ordinal-fm}(x) \in \text{formula}$
by (simp add: ordinal-fm-def)

lemma arity-ordinal-fm [simp]:
 $x \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{ordinal-fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
by (simp add: ordinal-fm-def succ-Un-distrib [symmetric])

lemma sats-ordinal-fm:
 $\|x < \text{length}(\text{env}); \text{env} \in \text{list}(A); \text{Transset}(A)\|$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{ordinal-fm}(x), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{Ord}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}))$
apply (frule lt-nat-in-nat, erule length-type)
apply (simp add: ordinal-fm-def Ord-def Transset-def)
apply (blast intro: nth-type)
done

The subset consisting of the ordinals is definable. Essential lemma for *Ord-in-Lset*. This result is the objective of the present subsection.

theorem Ords-in-DPow: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies \{x \in A. \text{Ord}(x)\} \in \text{DPow}(A)$
apply (simp add: DPow-def Collect-subset)
apply (rule-tac x=Nil in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=ordinal-fm(0) in bexI)
apply (simp-all add: sats-ordinal-fm)
done

2.8 Constant Lset: Levels of the Constructible Universe

definition

```

 $\text{Lset} :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{Lset}(i) == \text{transrec}(i, \lambda x. f. \bigcup_{y \in x} \text{DPow}(f`y))$ 

```

definition

```

 $L :: i \Rightarrow o \text{ where} — \text{Kunen's definition VI 1.5, page 167}$ 
 $L(x) == \exists i. \text{Ord}(i) \& x \in \text{Lset}(i)$ 

```

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING – RECURSIVE!

```

lemma Lset: Lset(i) = ( $\bigcup_{j \in i} DPow(Lset(j))$ )
by (subst Lset-def [THEN def-transrec], simp)

```

```

lemma LsetI: [|y ∈ x; A ∈ DPow(Lset(y))|] ==> A ∈ Lset(x)
by (subst Lset, blast)

```

```

lemma LsetD: A ∈ Lset(x) ==> ∃y ∈ x. A ∈ DPow(Lset(y))
apply (insert Lset [of x])
apply (blast intro: elim: equalityE)
done

```

2.8.1 Transitivity

```

lemma elem-subset-in-DPow: [|X ∈ A; X ⊆ A|] ==> X ∈ DPow(A)
apply (simp add: Transset-def DPow-def)
apply (rule-tac x=[X] in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=Member(0,1) in bexI)
apply (auto simp add: Un-least-lt-iff)
done

```

```

lemma Transset-subset-DPow: Transset(A) ==> A ⊆ DPow(A)
apply clarify
apply (simp add: Transset-def)
apply (blast intro: elem-subset-in-DPow)
done

```

```

lemma Transset-DPow: Transset(A) ==> Transset(DPow(A))
apply (simp add: Transset-def)
apply (blast intro: elem-subset-in-DPow dest: DPowD)
done

```

Kunen's VI 1.6 (a)

```

lemma Transset-Lset: Transset(Lset(i))
apply (rule-tac a=i in eps-induct)
apply (subst Lset)
apply (blast intro!: Transset-Union-family Transset-Un Transset-DPow)
done

```

```

lemma mem-Lset-imp-subset-Lset: a ∈ Lset(i) ==> a ⊆ Lset(i)
apply (insert Transset-Lset)
apply (simp add: Transset-def)
done

```

2.8.2 Monotonicity

Kunen's VI 1.6 (b)

```

lemma Lset-mono [rule-format]:
  ∀j. i <= j → Lset(i) ⊆ Lset(j)
proof (induct i rule: eps-induct, intro allI impI)

```

```

fix x j
assume ∀y∈x. ∀j. y ⊆ j → Lset(y) ⊆ Lset(j)
  and x ⊆ j
thus Lset(x) ⊆ Lset(j)
  by (force simp add: Lset [of x] Lset [of j])
qed

```

This version lets us remove the premise $Ord(i)$ sometimes.

```

lemma Lset-mono-mem [rule-format]:
  ∀j. i ∈ j → Lset(i) ⊆ Lset(j)
proof (induct i rule: eps-induct, intro allI impI)
  fix x j
  assume ∀y∈x. ∀j. y ∈ j → Lset(y) ⊆ Lset(j)
    and x ∈ j
  thus Lset(x) ⊆ Lset(j)
    by (force simp add: Lset [of j]
      intro!: bexI intro: elem-subset-in-DPow dest: LsetD DPowD)
qed

```

Useful with Reflection to bump up the ordinal

```

lemma subset-Lset-ltD: [|A ⊆ Lset(i); i < j|] ==> A ⊆ Lset(j)
by (blast dest: ltD [THEN Lset-mono-mem])

```

2.8.3 0, successor and limit equations for Lset

```

lemma Lset-0 [simp]: Lset(0) = 0
by (subst Lset, blast)

```

```

lemma Lset-succ-subset1: DPow(Lset(i)) ⊆ Lset(succ(i))
by (subst Lset, rule succI1 [THEN RepFunI, THEN Union-upper])

```

```

lemma Lset-succ-subset2: Lset(succ(i)) ⊆ DPow(Lset(i))
apply (subst Lset, rule UN-least)
apply (erule succE)
apply blast
apply clarify
apply (rule elem-subset-in-DPow)
apply (subst Lset)
apply blast
apply (blast intro: dest: DPowD Lset-mono-mem)
done

```

```

lemma Lset-succ: Lset(succ(i)) = DPow(Lset(i))
by (intro equalityI Lset-succ-subset1 Lset-succ-subset2)

```

```

lemma Lset-Union [simp]: Lset(⋃(X)) = (⋃y∈X. Lset(y))
apply (subst Lset)
apply (rule equalityI)

```

first inclusion

```

apply (rule UN-least)
apply (erule UnionE)
apply (rule subset-trans)
apply (erule-tac [2] UN-upper, subst Lset, erule UN-upper)

opposite inclusion

apply (rule UN-least)
apply (subst Lset, blast)
done

```

2.8.4 Lset applied to Limit ordinals

```

lemma Limit-Lset-eq:
  Limit(i) ==> Lset(i) = (UN y<i. Lset(y))
by (simp add: Lset-Union [symmetric] Limit-Union-eq)

lemma lt-LsetI: [| a ∈ Lset(j); j < i |] ==> a ∈ Lset(i)
by (blast dest: Lset-mono [OF le-imp-subset [OF leI]])

lemma Limit-LsetE:
  [| a ∈ Lset(i); ~R ==> Limit(i);
    !!x. [| x < i; a ∈ Lset(x) |] ==> R
  |] ==> R
apply (rule classical)
apply (rule Limit-Lset-eq [THEN equalityD1, THEN subsetD, THEN UN-E])
prefer 2 apply assumption
apply blast
apply (blast intro: ltI Limit-is-Ord)
done

```

2.8.5 Basic closure properties

```

lemma zero-in-Lset: y ∈ x ==> 0 ∈ Lset(x)
by (subst Lset, blast intro: empty-in-DPow)

```

```

lemmanotin-Lset: x ∉ Lset(x)
apply (rule-tac a=x in eps-induct)
apply (subst Lset)
apply (blast dest: DPowD)
done

```

2.9 Constructible Ordinals: Kunen's VI 1.9 (b)

```

lemma Ords-of-Lset-eq: Ord(i) ==> {x ∈ Lset(i). Ord(x)} = i
apply (erule trans-induct3)
apply (simp-all add: Lset-succ Limit-Lset-eq Limit-Union-eq)

```

The successor case remains.

```

apply (rule equalityI)

```

First inclusion

```

apply clarify
apply (erule Ord-linear-lt, assumption)
  apply (blast dest: DPow-imp-subset ltD noteE [OF notin-Lset])
  apply blast
apply (blast dest: ltD)

```

Opposite inclusion, $\text{succ}(x) \subseteq \text{DPow}(\text{Lset}(x)) \cap \text{ON}$

```
apply auto
```

Key case:

```

apply (erule subst, rule Ords-in-DPow [OF Transset-Lset])
apply (blast intro: elem-subset-in-DPow dest: OrdmemD elim: equalityE)
apply (blast intro: Ord-in-Ord)
done

```

lemma $\text{Ord-subset-Lset}: \text{Ord}(i) ==> i \subseteq \text{Lset}(i)$
by (subst Ords-of-Lset-eq [symmetric], assumption, fast)

lemma $\text{Ord-in-Lset}: \text{Ord}(i) ==> i \in \text{Lset}(\text{succ}(i))$
apply (simp add: Lset-succ)
apply (subst Ords-of-Lset-eq [symmetric], assumption,
 rule Ords-in-DPow [OF Transset-Lset])
done

lemma $\text{Ord-in-L}: \text{Ord}(i) ==> L(i)$
by (simp add: L-def, blast intro: Ord-in-Lset)

2.9.1 Unions

lemma $\text{Union-in-Lset}:$
 $X \in \text{Lset}(i) ==> \bigcup(X) \in \text{Lset}(\text{succ}(i))$
apply (insert Transset-Lset)
apply (rule LsetI [OF succI1])
apply (simp add: Transset-def DPow-def)
apply (intro conjI, blast)

Now to create the formula $\exists y. y \in X \wedge x \in y$

```

apply (rule-tac x=Cons(X,Nil) in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=Exists(And(Member(0,2), Member(1,0))) in bexI)
  apply typecheck
apply (simp add: succ-Un-distrib [symmetric], blast)
done

```

theorem $\text{Union-in-L}: L(X) ==> L(\bigcup(X))$
by (simp add: L-def, blast dest: Union-in-Lset)

2.9.2 Finite sets and ordered pairs

lemma singleton-in-Lset: $a \in Lset(i) \implies \{a\} \in Lset(succ(i))$
by (simp add: Lset-succ singleton-in-DPow)

lemma doubleton-in-Lset:
 $\{a, b\} \in Lset(succ(i))$
by (simp add: Lset-succ empty-in-DPow cons-in-DPow)

lemma Pair-in-Lset:
 $\langle a, b \rangle \in Lset(succ(succ(i)))$
apply (unfold Pair-def)
apply (blast intro: doubleton-in-Lset)
done

lemmas Lset-UnI1 = Un-upper1 [THEN Lset-mono [THEN subsetD]]
lemmas Lset-UnI2 = Un-upper2 [THEN Lset-mono [THEN subsetD]]

Hard work is finding a single $j \in i$ such that $\{a, b\} \subseteq Lset(j)$

lemma doubleton-in-LLimit:
 $\{a, b\} \in Lset(i)$
apply (erule Limit-LsetE, assumption)
apply (erule Limit-LsetE, assumption)
apply (blast intro: lt-LsetI [OF doubleton-in-Lset]
 $Lset\text{-}UnI1\ Lset\text{-}UnI2\ Limit\text{-}has\text{-}succ\ Un\text{-}least\text{-}lt])$
done

theorem doubleton-in-L: $\{L(a), L(b)\} \implies L(\{a, b\})$
apply (simp add: L-def, clarify)
apply (drule Ord2-imp-greater-Limit, assumption)
apply (blast intro: lt-LsetI doubleton-in-LLimit Limit-is-Ord)
done

lemma Pair-in-LLimit:
 $\langle a, b \rangle \in Lset(i)$

Infer that a, b occur at ordinals $x, xa \downarrow i$.

apply (erule Limit-LsetE, assumption)
apply (erule Limit-LsetE, assumption)

Infer that $succ(succ(x \cup xa)) < i$

apply (blast intro: lt-Ord lt-LsetI [OF Pair-in-Lset]
 $Lset\text{-}UnI1\ Lset\text{-}UnI2\ Limit\text{-}has\text{-}succ\ Un\text{-}least\text{-}lt])$
done

The rank function for the constructible universe

definition

$lrank :: i \Rightarrow i$ where — Kunen's definition VI 1.7
 $lrank(x) == \mu i. x \in Lset(succ(i))$

```

lemma L-I: [| $x \in Lset(i)$ ;  $Ord(i)$ |] ==>  $L(x)$ 
by (simp add: L-def, blast)

lemma L-D:  $L(x) ==> \exists i. Ord(i) \& x \in Lset(i)$ 
by (simp add: L-def)

lemma Ord-lrank [simp]:  $Ord(lrank(a))$ 
by (simp add: lrank-def)

lemma Lset-lrank-lt [rule-format]:  $Ord(i) ==> x \in Lset(i) \longrightarrow lrank(x) < i$ 
apply (erule trans-induct3)
  apply simp
  apply (simp only: lrank-def)
  apply (blast intro: Least-le)
  apply (simp-all add: Limit-Lset-eq)
  apply (blast intro: ltI Limit-is-Ord lt-trans)
done

```

Kunen's VI 1.8. The proof is much harder than the text would suggest. For a start, it needs the previous lemma, which is proved by induction.

```

lemma Lset-iff-lrank-lt:  $Ord(i) ==> x \in Lset(i) \longleftrightarrow L(x) \& lrank(x) < i$ 
apply (simp add: L-def, auto)
  apply (blast intro: Lset-lrank-lt)
  apply (unfold lrank-def)
  apply (drule succI1 [THEN Lset-mono-mem, THEN subsetD])
  apply (drule-tac  $P=\lambda i. x \in Lset(succ(i))$  in LeastI, assumption)
  apply (blast intro!: le-imp-subset Lset-mono [THEN subsetD])
done

```

```

lemma Lset-succ-lrank-iff [simp]:  $x \in Lset(succ(lrank(x))) \longleftrightarrow L(x)$ 
by (simp add: Lset-iff-lrank-lt)

```

Kunen's VI 1.9 (a)

```

lemma lrank-of-Ord:  $Ord(i) ==> lrank(i) = i$ 
apply (unfold lrank-def)
  apply (rule Least-equality)
    apply (erule Ord-in-Lset)
    apply assumption
    apply (insert notin-Lset [of i])
    apply (blast intro!: le-imp-subset Lset-mono [THEN subsetD])
done

```

This is $lrank(lrank(a)) = lrank(a)$

```

declare Ord-lrank [THEN lrank-of-Ord, simp]

```

Kunen's VI 1.10

```

lemma Lset-in-Lset-succ:  $Lset(i) \in Lset(succ(i))$ 

```

```

apply (simp add: Lset-succ DPow-def)
apply (rule-tac x=Nil in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=Equal(0,0) in bexI)
apply auto
done

lemma lrank-Lset: Ord(i) ==> lrank(Lset(i)) = i
apply (unfold lrank-def)
apply (rule Least-equality)
apply (rule Lset-in-Lset-succ)
apply assumption
apply clarify
apply (subgoal-tac Lset(succ(ia)) ⊆ Lset(i))
apply (blast dest: mem-irrefl)
apply (blast intro!: le-imp-subset Lset-mono)
done

```

Kunen's VI 1.11

```

lemma Lset-subset-Vset: Ord(i) ==> Lset(i) ⊆ Vset(i)
apply (erule trans-induct)
apply (subst Lset)
apply (subst Vset)
apply (rule UN-mono [OF subset-refl])
apply (rule subset-trans [OF DPow-subset-Pow])
apply (rule Pow-mono, blast)
done

```

Kunen's VI 1.12

```

lemma Lset-subset-Vset': i ∈ nat ==> Lset(i) = Vset(i)
apply (erule nat-induct)
apply (simp add: Vfrom-0)
apply (simp add: Lset-succ Vset-succ Finite-Vset Finite-DPow-eq-Pow)
done

```

Every set of constructible sets is included in some $Lset$

```

lemma subset-Lset:
  ( ∀ x ∈ A. L(x) ) ==> ∃ i. Ord(i) & A ⊆ Lset(i)
  by (rule-tac x = ⋃ x ∈ A. succ(lrank(x)) in exI, force)

lemma subset-LsetE:
  [| ∀ x ∈ A. L(x);
    !!i. [| Ord(i); A ⊆ Lset(i) |] ==> P |]
  ==> P
  by (blast dest: subset-Lset)

```

2.9.3 For L to satisfy the Powerset axiom

```

lemma LPow-env-typing:
  [| y ∈ Lset(i); Ord(i); y ⊆ X |]

```

```

==> ∃ z ∈ Pow(X). y ∈ Lset(succ(lrank(z)))
by (auto intro: L-I iff: Lset-succ-lrank-iff)

```

lemma LPow-in-Lset:

```

[| X ∈ Lset(i); Ord(i)|] ==> ∃ j. Ord(j) & {y ∈ Pow(X). L(y)} ∈ Lset(j)
apply (rule-tac x=succ(⋃ y ∈ Pow(X). succ(lrank(y))) in exI)
apply simp
apply (rule LsetI [OF succI1])
apply (simp add: DPow-def)
apply (intro conjI, clarify)
apply (rule-tac a=x in UN-I, simp+)

```

Now to create the formula $y \subseteq X$

```

apply (rule-tac x=Cons(X,Nil) in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=subset-fm(0,1) in bexI)
apply typecheck
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: succ-Un-distrib [symmetric])
apply (rule equality-iffI)
apply (simp add: Transset-UN [OF Transset-Lset] LPow-env-typing)
apply (auto intro: L-I iff: Lset-succ-lrank-iff)
done

```

```

theorem LPow-in-L: L(X) ==> L({y ∈ Pow(X). L(y)})
by (blast intro: L-I dest: L-D LPow-in-Lset)

```

2.10 Eliminating arity from the Definition of Lset

```

lemma nth-zero-eq-0: n ∈ nat ==> nth(n,[0]) = 0
by (induct-tac n, auto)

```

```

lemma sats-app-0-iff [rule-format]:
 [| p ∈ formula; 0 ∈ A |]
 ==> ∀ env ∈ list(A). sats(A,p, env@[0]) ↔ sats(A,p,env)
apply (induct-tac p)
apply (simp-all del: app-Cons add: app-Cons [symmetric]
            add: nth-zero-eq-0 nth-append not-lt-iff-le nth-eq-0)
done

```

```

lemma sats-app-zeroes-iff:
 [| p ∈ formula; 0 ∈ A; env ∈ list(A); n ∈ nat |]
 ==> sats(A,p,env @ repeat(0,n)) ↔ sats(A,p,env)
apply (induct-tac n, simp)
apply (simp del: repeat.simps
            add: repeat-succ-app sats-app-0-iff app-assoc [symmetric])
done

```

```

lemma exists-bigger-env:
 [| p ∈ formula; 0 ∈ A; env ∈ list(A) |]
 ==> ∃ env' ∈ list(A). arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env')) &

```

```

 $(\forall a \in A. \text{sats}(A, p, \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}')) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, p, \text{Cons}(a, \text{env})))$ 
apply (rule-tac  $x = \text{env}$  @ repeat(0, arity(p)) in bexI)
apply (simp del: app-Cons add: app-Cons [symmetric]
           add: length-repeat sats-app-zeroes-iff, typecheck)
done

```

A simpler version of $DPow$: no arity check!

definition

```

 $DPow' :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $DPow'(A) == \{X \in Pow(A).$ 
 $\exists \text{env} \in list(A). \exists p \in formula.$ 
 $X = \{x \in A. \text{sats}(A, p, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env}))\}$ 

```

```

lemma DPow-subset-DPow':  $DPow(A) \subseteq DPow'(A)$ 
by (simp add: DPow-def DPow'-def, blast)

```

```

lemma DPow'-0:  $DPow'(0) = \{0\}$ 
by (auto simp add: DPow'-def)

```

```

lemma DPow'-subset-DPow:  $0 \in A \implies DPow'(A) \subseteq DPow(A)$ 
apply (auto simp add: DPow'-def DPow-def)
apply (frule exists-bigger-env, assumption+, force)
done

```

```

lemma DPow-eq-DPow': Transset(A) ==>  $DPow(A) = DPow'(A)$ 
apply (drule Transset-0-disj)
apply (erule disjE)
apply (simp add: DPow'-0 Finite-DPow-eq-Pow)
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule DPow-subset-DPow')
apply (erule DPow'-subset-DPow)
done

```

And thus we can relativize $Lset$ without bothering with *arity* and *length*

```

lemma Lset-eq-transrec-DPow':  $Lset(i) = \text{transrec}(i, \%x f. \bigcup_{y \in x} DPow'(f'y))$ 
apply (rule-tac  $a = i$  in eps-induct)
apply (subst Lset)
apply (subst transrec)
apply (simp only: DPow-eq-DPow' [OF Transset-Lset], simp)
done

```

With this rule we can specify p later and don't worry about arities at all!

```

lemma DPow-LsetI [rule-format]:
 $[\forall x \in Lset(i). P(x) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(Lset(i), p, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env}));$ 
 $\text{env} \in list(Lset(i)); p \in formula]$ 
 $\implies \{x \in Lset(i). P(x)\} \in DPow(Lset(i))$ 
by (simp add: DPow-eq-DPow' [OF Transset-Lset] DPow'-def, blast)

```

end

```

theory Forcing-Data
imports
  Forcing-Notions
  Relative
  ~~/src/ZF/Constructible/Formula

begin

lemma lam-codomain:  $\forall n \in N. (\lambda x \in N. b(x))`n \in B \implies (\lambda x \in N. b(x)) : N \rightarrow B$ 
  apply (rule fun-weaken-type)
  apply (subgoal-tac  $(\lambda x \in N. b(x)) : N \rightarrow \{b(x).x \in N\}$ , assumption)
  apply (auto simp add:lam-funtype)
done

lemma Transset-M :
  Transset(M) \implies y \in x \implies x \in M \implies y \in M
  by (simp add: Transset-def,auto)

definition
  infinity-ax ::  $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$  where
    infinity-ax(M) ==  

       $(\exists I[M]. (\exists z[M]. empty(M,z) \wedge z \in I) \wedge (\forall y[M]. y \in I \longrightarrow (\exists sy[M]. successor(M,y,sy) \wedge sy \in I)))$ 

locale M-ZF =
  fixes M
  assumes
    upair-ax:      upair-ax( $\# \# M$ )
    and Union-ax:   Union-ax( $\# \# M$ )
    and power-ax:   power-ax( $\# \# M$ )
    and extensionality: extensionality( $\# \# M$ )
    and foundation-ax: foundation-ax( $\# \# M$ )
    and infinity-ax: infinity-ax( $\# \# M$ )
    and separation-ax:  $\llbracket \varphi \in formula ; arity(\varphi)=1 \vee arity(\varphi)=2 \rrbracket \implies$   

       $(\forall a \in M. separation(\# \# M, \lambda x. sats(M, \varphi, [x, a])))$ 
    and replacement-ax:  $\llbracket \varphi \in formula ; arity(\varphi)=2 \vee arity(\varphi)=succ(2) \rrbracket \implies$   

       $(\forall a \in M. strong-replacement(\# \# M, \lambda x y. sats(M, \varphi, [x, y, a])))$ 
  ==>  

    (forall a \in M. strong-replacement(\# \# M, \lambda x y. sats(M, \varphi, [x, y, a])))

locale forcing-data = forcing-notion + M-ZF +
  fixes enum
  assumes M-countable:   enum \in bij(nat, M)
  and P-in-M:            P \in M
  and leq-in-M:          leq \in M
  and trans-M:           Transset(M)

begin
definition
  M-generic ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  where

```

$M\text{-generic}(G) == \text{filter}(G) \wedge (\forall D \in M. D \subseteq P \wedge \text{dense}(D) \rightarrow D \cap G \neq \emptyset)$

```

lemma  $G\text{-nonempty}$ :  $M\text{-generic}(G) \implies G \neq \emptyset$ 
proof -
  have  $P \subseteq P$  ..
  assume
     $M\text{-generic}(G)$ 
  with  $P\text{-in-}M$   $P\text{-dense } \langle P \subseteq P \rangle$  show
     $G \neq \emptyset$ 
    unfolding  $M\text{-generic-def}$  by auto
  qed

lemma  $\text{one-in-}G$  :
  assumes  $M\text{-generic}(G)$ 
  shows  $\text{one} \in G$ 
proof -
  from  $\text{assms}$  have  $G \subseteq P$ 
  unfolding  $M\text{-generic-def}$  and  $\text{filter-def}$  by simp
  from  $\langle M\text{-generic}(G) \rangle$  have  $\text{increasing}(G)$ 
  unfolding  $M\text{-generic-def}$  and  $\text{filter-def}$  by simp
  with  $\langle G \subseteq P \rangle$  and  $\langle M\text{-generic}(G) \rangle$ 
  show ?thesis
  using  $G\text{-nonempty}$  and  $\text{one-in-}P$  and  $\text{one-max}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{increasing-def}$  by blast
qed

declare iff-trans [trans]

lemma generic-filter-existence:
   $p \in P \implies \exists G. p \in G \wedge M\text{-generic}(G)$ 
proof -
  assume
    Eq1:  $p \in P$ 
  let
     $?D = \lambda n \in \text{nat}. (\text{if } (\text{enum}'n \subseteq P \wedge \text{dense}(\text{enum}'n)) \text{ then enum}'n \text{ else } P)$ 
  have
    Eq2:  $\forall n \in \text{nat}. ?D'n \in \text{Pow}(P)$ 
    by auto
  then have
    Eq3:  $?D : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Pow}(P)$ 
    by (rule lam-codomain)
  have
    Eq4:  $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{dense}(?D'n)$ 
proof
  show
     $\text{dense}(?D'n)$ 
  if Eq5:  $n \in \text{nat}$  for  $n$ 
proof -
  have

```

```

dense(?D'n)
  ⟷ dense(if enum ` n ⊆ P ∧ dense(enum ` n) then enum ` n else P)
using Eq5 by simp
also have
... ⟷ (¬(enum ` n ⊆ P ∧ dense(enum ` n)) → dense(P))
using split-if by simp
finally show ?thesis
  using P-dense and Eq5 by auto
qed
qed
from Eq3 and Eq4 interpret
  cg: countable-generic P leq one ?D
  by (unfold-locales, auto)
from cg.rasiowa-sikorski and Eq1 obtain G where
  Eq6: p ∈ G ∧ filter(G) ∧ (∀ n ∈ nat. (?D'n) ∩ G ≠ 0)
  unfolding cg.D-generic-def by blast
then have
  Eq7: (∀ D ∈ M. D ⊆ P ∧ dense(D) → D ∩ G ≠ 0)
proof (intro ballI impI)
  show
    D ∩ G ≠ 0
  if Eq8: D ∈ M and
    Eq9: D ⊆ P ∧ dense(D) for D
  proof -
    from M-countable and bij-is-surj have
      ∀ y ∈ M. ∃ x ∈ nat. enum ` x = y
      unfolding surj-def by (simp)
    with Eq8 obtain n where
      Eq10: n ∈ nat ∧ enum ` n = D
      by auto
    with Eq9 and if-P have
      Eq11: ?D'n = D
      by (simp)
    with Eq6 and Eq10 show
      D ∩ G ≠ 0
      by auto
    qed
    with Eq6 have
      Eq12: ∃ G. filter(G) ∧ (∀ D ∈ M. D ⊆ P ∧ dense(D) → D ∩ G ≠ 0)
      by auto
    qed
    with Eq6 show ?thesis
      unfolding M-generic-def by auto
    qed
  end
end

```

3 Relativized Wellorderings

theory Wellorderings imports Relative begin

We define functions analogous to *ordermap* *ordertype* but without using recursion. Instead, there is a direct appeal to Replacement. This will be the basis for a version relativized to some class M . The main result is Theorem I 7.6 in Kunen, page 17.

3.1 Wellorderings

definition

irreflexive :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{irreflexive}(M, A, r) == \forall x[M]. x \in A \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle \notin r$

definition

transitive-rel :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{transitive-rel}(M, A, r) == \forall x[M]. x \in A \rightarrow (\forall y[M]. y \in A \rightarrow (\forall z[M]. z \in A \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in r \rightarrow \langle y, z \rangle \in r \rightarrow \langle x, z \rangle \in r))$

definition

linear-rel :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{linear-rel}(M, A, r) == \forall x[M]. x \in A \rightarrow (\forall y[M]. y \in A \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in r \mid x = y \mid \langle y, x \rangle \in r)$

definition

wellfounded :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— EVERY non-empty set has an r -minimal element
 $\text{wellfounded}(M, r) == \forall x[M]. x \neq \emptyset \rightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in x \& \sim(\exists z[M]. z \in x \& \langle z, y \rangle \in r))$

definition

wellfounded-on :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— every non-empty SUBSET OF A has an r -minimal element
 $\text{wellfounded-on}(M, A, r) == \forall x[M]. x \neq \emptyset \rightarrow x \subseteq A \rightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in x \& \sim(\exists z[M]. z \in x \& \langle z, y \rangle \in r))$

definition

wellordered :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
— linear and wellfounded on A
 $\text{wellordered}(M, A, r) == \text{transitive-rel}(M, A, r) \& \text{linear-rel}(M, A, r) \& \text{wellfounded-on}(M, A, r)$

3.1.1 Trivial absoluteness proofs

lemma (in M -basic) *irreflexive-abs* [*simp*]:
 $M(A) ==> \text{irreflexive}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{irrefl}(A, r)$
by (*simp add: irreflexive-def irrefl-def*)

```

lemma (in M-basic) transitive-rel-abs [simp]:
   $M(A) \implies \text{transitive-rel}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{trans}[A](r)$ 
by (simp add: transitive-rel-def trans-on-def)

lemma (in M-basic) linear-rel-abs [simp]:
   $M(A) \implies \text{linear-rel}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{linear}(A, r)$ 
by (simp add: linear-rel-def linear-def)

lemma (in M-basic) wellordered-is-trans-on:
   $\llbracket \text{wellordered}(M, A, r); M(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{trans}[A](r)$ 
by (auto simp add: wellordered-def)

lemma (in M-basic) wellordered-is-linear:
   $\llbracket \text{wellordered}(M, A, r); M(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(A, r)$ 
by (auto simp add: wellordered-def)

lemma (in M-basic) wellordered-is-wellfounded-on:
   $\llbracket \text{wellordered}(M, A, r); M(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{wellfounded-on}(M, A, r)$ 
by (auto simp add: wellordered-def)

lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-imp-wellfounded-on:
   $\llbracket \text{wellfounded}(M, r); M(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{wellfounded-on}(M, A, r)$ 
by (auto simp add: wellfounded-def wellfounded-on-def)

lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-on-subset-A:
   $\llbracket \text{wellfounded-on}(M, A, r); B \subset A \rrbracket \implies \text{wellfounded-on}(M, B, r)$ 
by (simp add: wellfounded-on-def, blast)

```

3.1.2 Well-founded relations

```

lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-on-iff-wellfounded:
   $\text{wellfounded-on}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{wellfounded}(M, r \cap A * A)$ 
apply (simp add: wellfounded-on-def wellfounded-def, safe)
apply force
apply (drule-tac x=x in rspec, assumption, blast)
done

lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-on-imp-wellfounded:
   $\llbracket \text{wellfounded-on}(M, A, r); r \subseteq A * A \rrbracket \implies \text{wellfounded}(M, r)$ 
by (simp add: wellfounded-on-iff-wellfounded subset-Int-iff)

lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-on-field-imp-wellfounded:
   $\text{wellfounded-on}(M, \text{field}(r), r) \implies \text{wellfounded}(M, r)$ 
by (simp add: wellfounded-def wellfounded-on-iff-wellfounded, fast)

lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-iff-wellfounded-on-field:
   $M(r) \implies \text{wellfounded}(M, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{wellfounded-on}(M, \text{field}(r), r)$ 
by (blast intro: wellfounded-imp-wellfounded-on
wellfounded-on-field-imp-wellfounded)

```

```

lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-induct:
  [| wellfounded(M,r); M(a); M(r); separation(M, λx. ~P(x));
     ∀x. M(x) & (∀y. <y,x> ∈ r → P(y)) → P(x) |]
  ==> P(a)
apply (simp (no-asm-use) add: wellfounded-def)
apply (drule-tac x={z ∈ domain(r). ~P(z)} in rspec)
apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-on-induct:
  [| a ∈ A; wellfounded-on(M,A,r); M(A);
     separation(M, λx. x ∈ A → ~P(x));
     ∀x ∈ A. M(x) & (∀y ∈ A. <y,x> ∈ r → P(y)) → P(x) |]
  ==> P(a)
apply (simp (no-asm-use) add: wellfounded-on-def)
apply (drule-tac x={z ∈ A. z ∈ A → ~P(z)} in rspec)
apply (blast intro: transM)+
done

```

3.1.3 Kunen's lemma IV 3.14, page 123

```

lemma (in M-basic) linear-imp-relativized:
  linear(A,r) ==> linear-rel(M,A,r)
by (simp add: linear-def linear-rel-def)

lemma (in M-basic) trans-on-imp-relativized:
  trans[A](r) ==> transitive-rel(M,A,r)
by (unfold transitive-rel-def trans-on-def, blast)

lemma (in M-basic) wf-on-imp-relativized:
  wf[A](r) ==> wellfounded-on(M,A,r)
apply (simp add: wellfounded-on-def wf-def wf-on-def, clarify)
apply (drule-tac x=x in spec, blast)
done

lemma (in M-basic) wf-imp-relativized:
  wf(r) ==> wellfounded(M,r)
apply (simp add: wellfounded-def wf-def, clarify)
apply (drule-tac x=x in spec, blast)
done

lemma (in M-basic) well-ord-imp-relativized:
  well-ord(A,r) ==> wellordered(M,A,r)
by (simp add: wellordered-def well-ord-def tot-ord-def part-ord-def
             linear-imp-relativized trans-on-imp-relativized wf-on-imp-relativized)

```

The property being well founded (and hence of being well ordered) is not absolute: the set that doesn't contain a minimal element may not exist in

the class M. However, every set that is well founded in a transitive model M is well founded (page 124).

3.2 Relativized versions of order-isomorphisms and order types

```

lemma (in M-basic) order-isomorphism-abs [simp]:
  [| M(A); M(B); M(f) |]
  ==> order-isomorphism(M,A,r,B,s,f) <=> f ∈ ord-iso(A,r,B,s)
by (simp add: order-isomorphism-def ord-iso-def)

lemma (in M-basic) pred-set-abs [simp]:
  [| M(r); M(B) |] ==> pred-set(M,A,x,r,B) <=> B = Order.pred(A,x,r)
apply (simp add: pred-set-def Order.pred-def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) pred-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| M(A); M(r); M(x) |] ==> M(Order.pred(A,x,r))
apply (simp add: Order.pred-def)
apply (insert pred-separation [of r x], simp)
done

lemma (in M-basic) membership-abs [simp]:
  [| M(r); M(A) |] ==> membership(M,A,r) <=> r = Memrel(A)
apply (simp add: membership-def Memrel-def, safe)
apply (rule equalityI)
apply clarify
apply (frule transM, assumption)
apply blast
apply clarify
apply (subgoal-tac M(<xb,ya>), blast)
apply (blast dest: transM)
apply auto
done

lemma (in M-basic) M-Memrel-iff:
  M(A) ==>
    Memrel(A) = {z ∈ A*A. ∃ x[M]. ∃ y[M]. z = ⟨x,y⟩ & x ∈ y}
apply (simp add: Memrel-def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) Memrel-closed [intro,simp]:
  M(A) ==> M(Memrel(A))
apply (simp add: M-Memrel-iff)
apply (insert Memrel-separation, simp)
done

```

3.3 Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6

Subset properties— proved outside the locale

lemma *linear-rel-subset*:

[] *linear-rel(M,A,r); B<=A* [] ==> *linear-rel(M,B,r)*
by (*unfold linear-rel-def, blast*)

lemma *transitive-rel-subset*:

[] *transitive-rel(M,A,r); B<=A* [] ==> *transitive-rel(M,B,r)*
by (*unfold transitive-rel-def, blast*)

lemma *wellfounded-on-subset*:

[] *wellfounded-on(M,A,r); B<=A* [] ==> *wellfounded-on(M,B,r)*
by (*unfold wellfounded-on-def subset-def, blast*)

lemma *wellordered-subset*:

[] *wellordered(M,A,r); B<=A* [] ==> *wellordered(M,B,r)*
apply (*unfold wellordered-def*)
apply (*blast intro: linear-rel-subset transitive-rel-subset wellfounded-on-subset*)

done

lemma (*in M-basic*) *wellfounded-on-asym*:

[] *wellfounded-on(M,A,r); <a,x> ∈ r; a ∈ A; x ∈ A; M(A)* [] ==> *<x,a> ∉ r*
apply (*simp add: wellfounded-on-def*)
apply (*drule-tac x={x,a} in rspec*)
apply (*blast dest: transM*)
done

lemma (*in M-basic*) *wellordered-asym*:

[] *wellordered(M,A,r); <a,x> ∈ r; a ∈ A; x ∈ A; M(A)* [] ==> *<x,a> ∉ r*
by (*simp add: wellordered-def, blast dest: wellfounded-on-asym*)

end

4 Relativized Well-Founded Recursion

theory *WFrec imports Wellorderings begin*

4.1 General Lemmas

lemma *apply-recfun2*:

[] *is-recfun(r,a,H,f); <x,i>:f* [] ==> *i = H(x, restrict(f,r - ``{x}))*
apply (*frule apply-recfun*)
apply (*blast dest: is-recfun-type fun-is-rel*)
apply (*simp add: function-apply-equality [OF - is-recfun-imp-function]*)
done

Expresses *is-recfun* as a recursion equation

```

lemma is-recfun-iff-equation:
  is-recfun(r,a,H,f)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
     $f \in r - ``\{a\} \rightarrow range(f)$  &
     $(\forall x \in r - ``\{a\}. f'x = H(x, restrict(f, r - ``\{x\})))$ 
apply (rule iffI)
  apply (simp add: is-recfun-type apply-recfun Ball-def vimage-singleton-iff,
         clarify)
  apply (simp add: is-recfun-def)
  apply (rule fun-extension)
  apply assumption
  apply (fast intro: lam-type, simp)
done

lemma is-recfun-imp-in-r: [|is-recfun(r,a,H,f);  $\langle x, i \rangle \in f|] ==> \langle x, a \rangle \in r$ 
by (blast dest: is-recfun-type fun-is-rel)

lemma trans-Int-eq:
  [| trans(r);  $\langle y, x \rangle \in r |] ==> r - ``\{x\} \cap r - ``\{y\} = r - ``\{y\}$ 
by (blast intro: transD)

lemma is-recfun-restrict-idem:
  is-recfun(r,a,H,f) ==> restrict(f, r - ``\{a\}) = f
  apply (drule is-recfun-type)
  apply (auto simp add: Pi-iff subset-Sigma-imp-relation restrict-idem)
done

lemma is-recfun-cong-lemma:
  [| is-recfun(r,a,H,f);  $r = r'; a = a'; f = f'$ ;
   !!x g. [|  $\langle x, a' \rangle \in r'$ ; relation(g); domain(g)  $\subseteq r' - ``\{x\}$  |]
   ==>  $H(x,g) = H'(x,g)$  |]
  ==> is-recfun(r',a',H',f')
  apply (simp add: is-recfun-def)
  apply (erule trans)
  apply (rule lam-cong)
  apply (simp-all add: vimage-singleton-iff Int-lower2)
done

```

For *is-recfun* we need only pay attention to functions whose domains are initial segments of r .

```

lemma is-recfun-cong:
  [|  $r = r'$ ;  $a = a'$ ;  $f = f'$ ;
   !!x g. [|  $\langle x, a' \rangle \in r'$ ; relation(g); domain(g)  $\subseteq r' - ``\{x\}$  |]
   ==>  $H(x,g) = H'(x,g)$  |]
  ==> is-recfun(r,a,H,f)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-recfun(r',a',H',f')
  apply (rule iffI)

```

Messy: fast and blast don't work for some reason

```

apply (erule is-recfun-cong-lemma, auto)
apply (erule is-recfun-cong-lemma)

```

```

apply (blast intro: sym) +
done

```

4.2 Reworking of the Recursion Theory Within M

```

lemma (in M-basic) is-recfun-separation':
  [|  $f \in r - ``\{a\} \rightarrow range(f)$ ;  $g \in r - ``\{b\} \rightarrow range(g)$ ;
      $M(r); M(f); M(g); M(a); M(b)$  |]
  ==> separation( $M, \lambda x. \neg (\langle x, a \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle x, b \rangle \in r \longrightarrow f ` x = g ` x)$ )
apply (insert is-recfun-separation [of  $r f g a b$ ])
apply (simp add: vimage-singleton-iff)
done

```

Stated using $trans(r)$ rather than $transitive-rel(M, A, r)$ because the latter rewrites to the former anyway, by $transitive-rel-abs$. As always, theorems should be expressed in simplified form. The last three M-premises are redundant because of $M(r)$, but without them we'd have to undertake more work to set up the induction formula.

```

lemma (in M-basic) is-recfun-equal [rule-format]:
  [| is-recfun( $r, a, H, f$ ); is-recfun( $r, b, H, g$ );
     wellfounded( $M, r$ ); trans( $r$ );
      $M(f); M(g); M(r); M(x); M(a); M(b)$  |]
  ==>  $\langle x, a \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle x, b \rangle \in r \longrightarrow f ` x = g ` x$ 
apply (frule-tac  $f=f$  in is-recfun-type)
apply (frule-tac  $f=g$  in is-recfun-type)
apply (simp add: is-recfun-def)
apply (erule-tac  $a=x$  in wellfounded-induct, assumption+)

```

Separation to justify the induction

```
apply (blast intro: is-recfun-separation')
```

Now the inductive argument itself

```

apply clarify
apply (erule ssubst) +
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: vimage-singleton-iff restrict-def)
apply (rename-tac  $x1$ )
apply (rule-tac  $t=%z. H(x1,z)$  in subst-context)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\forall y \in r - ``\{x1\}. \forall z. \langle y, z \rangle \in f \longleftrightarrow \langle y, z \rangle \in g$ )
apply (blast intro: transD)
apply (simp add: apply-iff)
apply (blast intro: transD sym)
done

```

```

lemma (in M-basic) is-recfun-cut:
  [| is-recfun( $r, a, H, f$ ); is-recfun( $r, b, H, g$ );
     wellfounded( $M, r$ ); trans( $r$ );
      $M(f); M(g); M(r); \langle b, a \rangle \in r$  |]
  ==> restrict( $f, r - ``\{b\}$ ) =  $g$ 
apply (frule-tac  $f=f$  in is-recfun-type)

```

```

apply (rule fun-extension)
apply (blast intro: transD restrict-type2)
apply (erule is-recfun-type, simp)
apply (blast intro: is-recfun-equal transD dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M-basic) is-recfun-functional:
  [| is-recfun(r,a,H,f); is-recfun(r,a,H,g);
     wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); M(f); M(g); M(r) |] ==> f=g
apply (rule fun-extension)
apply (erule is-recfun-type)+
apply (blast intro!: is-recfun-equal dest: transM)
done

```

Tells us that *is-recfun* can (in principle) be relativized.

```

lemma (in M-basic) is-recfun-relativize:
  [| M(r); M(f); ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) → M(H(x,g)) |]
    ==> is-recfun(r,a,H,f) ↔
        (∀ z[M]. z ∈ f ↔
         (∃ x[M]. <x,a> ∈ r & z = <x, H(x, restrict(f, r - ``{x}))>))
apply (simp add: is-recfun-def lam-def)
apply (safe intro!: equalityI)
apply (drule equalityD1 [THEN subsetD], assumption)
apply (blast dest: pair-components-in-M)
apply (blast elim!: equalityE dest: pair-components-in-M)
apply (frule transM, assumption)
apply simp
apply blast
apply (subgoal-tac is-function(M,f))

```

We use *is-function* rather than *function* because the subgoal's easier to prove with relativized quantifiers!

```

prefer 2 apply (simp add: is-function-def)
apply (frule pair-components-in-M, assumption)
apply (simp add: is-recfun-imp-function function-restrictI)
done

lemma (in M-basic) is-recfun-restrict:
  [| wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); is-recfun(r,x,H,f); <y,x> ∈ r;
     M(r); M(f);
     ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) → M(H(x,g)) |]
    ==> is-recfun(r, y, H, restrict(f, r - ``{y}))
apply (frule pair-components-in-M, assumption, clarify)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: is-recfun-relativize restrict-iff
          trans-Int-eq)
apply safe
apply (simp-all add: vimage-singleton-iff is-recfun-type [THEN apply-iff])
apply (frule-tac x=xa in pair-components-in-M, assumption)
apply (frule-tac x=xa in apply-recfun, blast intro: transD)

```

```

apply (simp add: is-recfun-type [THEN apply-iff]
         is-recfun-imp-function function-restrictI)
apply (blast intro: apply-recfun dest: transD)
done

lemma (in M-basic) restrict-Y-lemma:
[| wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); M(r);
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g)); M(Y);$ 
   $\forall b[M].$ 
     $b \in Y \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists x[M]. \langle x, a1 \rangle \in r \ \&$ 
       $(\exists y[M]. b = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& (\exists g[M]. \text{is-recfun}(r, x, H, g) \wedge y = H(x, g)))$ ;
     $\langle x, a1 \rangle \in r; \text{is-recfun}(r, x, H, f); M(f) |]$ 
 $\Longrightarrow \text{restrict}(Y, r - ``\{x\}) = f$ 
apply (subgoal-tac  $\forall y \in r - ``\{x\}$ .  $\forall z. \langle y, z \rangle : Y \longleftrightarrow \langle y, z \rangle : f$ )
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: restrict-def)
apply (thin-tac rall(M,P) for P)+ — essential for efficiency
apply (frule is-recfun-type [THEN fun-is-rel], blast)
apply (frule pair-components-in-M, assumption, clarify)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (frule-tac  $y = \langle y, z \rangle$  in transM, assumption)
apply (clarsimp simp add: vimage-singleton-iff is-recfun-type [THEN apply-iff]
          apply-recfun is-recfun-cut)

```

Opposite inclusion: something in f, show in Y

```

apply (frule-tac  $y = \langle y, z \rangle$  in transM, assumption)
apply (simp add: vimage-singleton-iff)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (blast dest: transD)
apply (rule-tac  $x = \text{restrict}(f, r - ``\{y\})$  in rexI)
apply (simp-all add: is-recfun-restrict
          apply-recfun is-recfun-type [THEN apply-iff])
done

```

For typical applications of Replacement for recursive definitions

```

lemma (in M-basic) univalent-is-recfun:
[| wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); M(r) |]
 $\Longrightarrow \text{univalent}(M, A, \lambda x p.$ 
 $\exists y[M]. p = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& (\exists f[M]. \text{is-recfun}(r, x, H, f) \ \& y = H(x, f))$ )
apply (simp add: univalent-def)
apply (blast dest: is-recfun-functional)
done

```

Proof of the inductive step for *exists-is-recfun*, since we must prove two versions.

```

lemma (in M-basic) exists-is-recfun-indstep:
[|  $\forall y. \langle y, a1 \rangle \in r \longrightarrow (\exists f[M]. \text{is-recfun}(r, y, H, f));$ 
   wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); M(r); M(a1);
   strong-replacement(M, \lambda x z.

```

```


$$\exists y[M]. \exists g[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \& \text{is-recfun}(r, x, H, g) \& y = H(x, g);$$


$$\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x, g))[]$$


$$==> \exists f[M]. \text{is-recfun}(r, a1, H, f)$$

apply (drule-tac A=r-“{a1} in strong-replacementD)
apply blast

```

Discharge the "univalent" obligation of Replacement

```
apply (simp add: univalent-is-recfun)
```

Show that the constructed object satisfies *is-recfun*

```
apply clarify
apply (rule-tac x=Y in rexI)
```

Unfold only the top-level occurrence of *is-recfun*

```
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: is-recfun-relativize [of concl: - a1])
```

The big iff-formula defining *Y* is now redundant

```
apply safe
apply (simp add: vimage-singleton-iff restrict-Y-lemma [of r H - a1])
```

one more case

```
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: Bex-def vimage-singleton-iff)
apply (drule-tac x1=x in spec [THEN mp], assumption, clarify)
apply (rename-tac f)
apply (rule-tac x=f in rexI)
apply (simp-all add: restrict-Y-lemma [of r H])
```

FIXME: should not be needed!

```
apply (subst restrict-Y-lemma [of r H])
apply (simp add: vimage-singleton-iff) +
apply blast+
done
```

Relativized version, when we have the (currently weaker) premise *well-founded*(*M*, *r*)

```
lemma (in M-basic) wellfounded-exists-is-recfun:
  [| wellfounded(M, r);
    trans(r);
    separation(M,  $\lambda x. \sim (\exists f[M]. \text{is-recfun}(r, x, H, f)))$ );
    strong-replacement(M,  $\lambda x z.$ 
       $\exists y[M]. \exists g[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \& \text{is-recfun}(r, x, H, g) \& y = H(x, g));$ 
      M(r); M(a);
       $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x, g)) []$ 
    ==>  $\exists f[M]. \text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, f)$ )
  apply (rule wellfounded-induct, assumption+, clarify)
  apply (rule exists-is-recfun-indstep, assumption+)
  done
```

```
lemma (in M-basic) wf-exists-is-recfun [rule-format]:
```

```

[| wf(r); trans(r); M(r);
  strong-replacement(M, λx z.
    ∃ y[M]. ∃ g[M]. pair(M,x,y,z) & is-recfun(r,x,H,g) & y = H(x,g));
  ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) → M(H(x,g)) |]
  ==> M(a) → (exists f[M]. is-recfun(r,a,H,f))

apply (rule wf-induct, assumption+)
apply (frule wf-imp-relativized)
apply (intro impI)
apply (rule exists-is-recfun-indstep)
  apply (blast dest: transM del: rev-rallE, assumption+)
done

```

4.3 Relativization of the ZF Predicate *is-recfun*

definition

```

M-is-recfun :: [i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i, i, i] => o where
M-is-recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) ==
  ∀ z[M]. z ∈ f ↔
    (exists x[M]. ∃ y[M]. ∃ xa[M]. ∃ sx[M]. ∃ r-sx[M]. ∃ f-r-sx[M].
      pair(M,x,y,z) & pair(M,x,a,xa) & upair(M,x,x,sx) &
      pre-image(M,r,sx,r-sx) & restriction(M,f,r-sx,f-r-sx) &
      xa ∈ r & MH(x, f-r-sx, y))

```

definition

```

is-wfrec :: [i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i, i, i] => o where
is-wfrec(M,MH,r,a,z) ==
  ∃ f[M]. M-is-recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) & MH(a,f,z)

```

definition

```

wfrec-replacement :: [i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i] => o where
wfrec-replacement(M,MH,r) ==
  strong-replacement(M,
    λx z. ∃ y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z) & is-wfrec(M,MH,r,x,y))

```

lemma (in M-basic) *is-recfun-abs*:

```

[| ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) → M(H(x,g)); M(r); M(a); M(f);
  relation2(M,MH,H) |]
  ==> M-is-recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) ↔ is-recfun(r,a,H,f)

apply (simp add: M-is-recfun-def relation2-def is-recfun-relativize)
apply (rule rall-cong)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

lemma *M-is-recfun-cong* [*cong*]:

```

[| r = r'; a = a'; f = f';
  !!x g y. [| M(x); M(g); M(y) |] ==> MH(x,g,y) ↔ MH'(x,g,y) |]
  ==> M-is-recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) ↔ M-is-recfun(M,MH',r',a',f')

by (simp add: M-is-recfun-def)

```

lemma (in M-basic) is-wfrec-abs:
 $\{\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \rightarrow M(H(x,g));$
 $\quad \text{relation2}(M, MH, H); M(r); M(a); M(z)\}$
 $\implies \text{is-wfrec}(M, MH, r, a, z) \iff$
 $\quad (\exists g[M]. \text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, g) \& z = H(a, g))$
by (simp add: is-wfrec-def relation2-def is-recfun-abs)

Relating wfrec-replacement to native constructs

lemma (in M-basic) wfrec-replacement':
 $\{\text{wfrec-replacement}(M, MH, r);$
 $\quad \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \rightarrow M(H(x,g));$
 $\quad \text{relation2}(M, MH, H); M(r)\}$
 $\implies \text{strong-replacement}(M, \lambda x z. \exists y[M].$
 $\quad \text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \& (\exists g[M]. \text{is-recfun}(r, x, H, g) \& y = H(x, g)))$
by (simp add: wfrec-replacement-def is-wfrec-abs)

lemma wfrec-replacement-cong [cong]:
 $\{\forall x y z. \{\!\! \begin{array}{l} M(x); M(y); M(z) \\ r=r' \end{array} \!\!\} \implies MH(x,y,z) \iff MH'(x,y,z);$
 $\implies \text{wfrec-replacement}(M, \%x y. MH(x,y), r) \iff$
 $\quad \text{wfrec-replacement}(M, \%x y. MH'(x,y), r')$
by (simp add: is-wfrec-def wfrec-replacement-def)

end

5 Absoluteness of Well-Founded Recursion

theory WF-absolute imports WFrec begin

5.1 Transitive closure without fixedpoints

definition

$rtrancl-alt :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ where
 $rtrancl-alt(A, r) ==$
 $\{p \in A * A. \exists n \in \text{nat}. \exists f \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow A.$
 $\quad (\exists x y. p = \langle x, y \rangle \& f^0 = x \& f^n = y) \&$
 $\quad (\forall i \in n. \langle f^i, f^{\text{succ}(i)} \rangle \in r)\}$

lemma alt-rtrancl-lemma1 [rule-format]:
 $n \in \text{nat}$
 $\implies \forall f \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \text{field}(r).$
 $(\forall i \in n. \langle f^i, f^{\text{succ}(i)} \rangle \in r) \rightarrow \langle f^0, f^n \rangle \in r^*$
apply (induct-tac n)
apply (simp-all add: apply-funtype rtrancl-refl, clarify)
apply (rename-tac n f)
apply (rule rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
prefer 2 apply assumption
apply (drule-tac x=restrict(f, succ(n)) in bspec)

```

apply (blast intro: restrict-type2)
apply (simp add: Ordsucc-mem-iff nat-0-le [THEN ltD] leI [THEN ltD] ltI)
done

lemma rtrancl-alt-subset-rtrancl: rtrancl-alt(field(r),r) ⊆ r^*
apply (simp add: rtrancl-alt-def)
apply (blast intro: alt-rtrancl-lemma1)
done

lemma rtrancl-subset-rtrancl-alt: r^* ⊆ rtrancl-alt(field(r),r)
apply (simp add: rtrancl-alt-def, clarify)
apply (frule rtrancl-type [THEN subsetD], clarify, simp)
apply (erule rtrancl-induct)

```

Base case, trivial

```

apply (rule-tac x=0 in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=λx∈1. xa in bexI)
apply simp-all

```

Inductive step

```

apply clarify
apply (rename-tac n f)
apply (rule-tac x=succ(n) in bexI)
apply (rule-tac x=λi∈succ(succ(n)). if i=succ(n) then z else f'i in bexI)
apply (simp add: Ord-succ-mem-iff nat-0-le [THEN ltD] leI [THEN ltD] ltI)
apply (blast intro: mem-asym)
apply typecheck
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma rtrancl-alt-eq-rtrancl: rtrancl-alt(field(r),r) = r^*
by (blast del: subsetI
      intro: rtrancl-alt-subset-rtrancl rtrancl-subset-rtrancl-alt)

```

definition

```

rtran-closure-mem :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where
— The property of belonging to rtran-closure(r)
rtran-closure-mem(M,A,r,p) ==
  ∃ nnat[M]. ∃ n[M]. ∃ n'[M].
  omega(M,nnat) & n∈nnat & successor(M,n,n') &
  (∃ f[M]. typed-function(M,n',A,f) &
  (∃ x[M]. ∃ y[M]. ∃ zero[M]. pair(M,x,y,p) & empty(M,zero) &
  fun-apply(M,f,zero,x) & fun-apply(M,f,n,y)) &
  (∀ j[M]. j∈n —>
    (∃ fij[M]. ∃ sj[M]. ∃ fsj[M]. ∃ ffp[M].
    fun-apply(M,f,j,fij) & successor(M,j,sj) &
    fun-apply(M,f,sj,fsj) & pair(M,fij,fsj,ffp) & ffp ∈ r)))

```

```

definition
  rtran-closure :: [i=>o,i,i] => o where
    rtran-closure(M,r,s) ==
       $\forall A[M]. \text{is-field}(M,r,A) \longrightarrow$ 
       $(\forall p[M]. p \in s \longleftrightarrow \text{rtran-closure-mem}(M,A,r,p))$ 

definition
  tran-closure :: [i=>o,i,i] => o where
    tran-closure(M,r,t) ==
       $\exists s[M]. \text{rtran-closure}(M,r,s) \& \text{composition}(M,r,s,t)$ 

locale M-trancl = M-basic +
  assumes rtrancl-separation:
    [| M(r); M(A) |] ==> separation (M, rtran-closure-mem(M,A,r))
  and wellfounded-trancl-separation:
    [| M(r); M(Z) |] ==>
      separation (M,  $\lambda x.$ 
         $\exists w[M]. \exists wx[M]. \exists rp[M].$ 
         $w \in Z \& \text{pair}(M,w,x,wx) \& \text{tran-closure}(M,r,wp) \& wx \in rp$ )
  and M-nat [iff] : M(nat)

lemma (in M-trancl) rtran-closure-mem-iff:
  [| M(A); M(r); M(p) |]
  ==> rtran-closure-mem(M,A,r,p)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists n[M]. n \in \text{nat} \&$ 
     $(\exists f[M]. f \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow A \&$ 
     $(\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. p = \langle x,y \rangle \& f^0 = x \& f^n = y) \&$ 
     $(\forall i \in n. \langle f^i, f^{\text{succ}(i)} \rangle \in r)))$ 
  apply (simp add: rtran-closure-mem-def Ord-succ-mem-iff nat-0-le [THEN ltD]
  M-nat)
  done

lemma (in M-trancl) rtran-closure-rtrancl:
  M(r) ==> rtran-closure(M,r,rtrancl(r))
  apply (simp add: rtran-closure-def rtran-closure-mem-iff
    rtrancl-alt-eq-rtrancl [symmetric] rtrancl-alt-def)
  apply (auto simp add: nat-0-le [THEN ltD] apply-funtype)
  done

lemma (in M-trancl) rtrancl-closed [intro,simp]:
  M(r) ==> M(rtrancl(r))
  apply (insert rtrancl-separation [of r field(r)])
  apply (simp add: rtrancl-alt-eq-rtrancl [symmetric]
    rtrancl-alt-def rtran-closure-mem-iff M-nat)
  done

lemma (in M-trancl) rtrancl-abs [simp]:
  [| M(r); M(z) |] ==> rtran-closure(M,r,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = rtrancl(r)
  apply (rule iffI)

```

Proving the right-to-left implication

```

prefer 2 apply (blast intro: rtran-closure-rtranc)
apply (rule M-equalityI)
apply (simp add: rtran-closure-def rtranc-alt-eq-rtranc [symmetric]
          rtranc-alt-def rtran-closure-mem-iff)
apply (auto simp add: nat-0-le [THEN ltD] apply-funtype)
done

lemma (in M-tranc) tranc-closed [intro,simp]:
  M(r) ==> M(tranc(r))
by (simp add: tranc-def)

lemma (in M-tranc) tranc-abs [simp]:
  [| M(r); M(z) |] ==> tran-closure(M,r,z) <=> z = tranc(r)
by (simp add: tran-closure-def tranc-def)

lemma (in M-tranc) wellfounded-tranc-separation':
  [| M(r); M(Z) |] ==> separation (M, λx. ∃ w[M]. w ∈ Z & ⟨w,x⟩ ∈ r^+)
by (insert wellfounded-tranc-separation [of r Z], simp)

Alternative proof of wf-on-tranc; inspiration for the relativized version.
Original version is on theory WF.

lemma [| wf[A](r); r- ``A ⊆ A |] ==> wf[A](r^+)
apply (simp add: wf-on-def wf-def)
apply (safe)
apply (drule-tac x = {x ∈ A. ∃ w. ⟨w,x⟩ ∈ r^+ & w ∈ Z} in spec)
apply (blast elim: trancE)
done

lemma (in M-tranc) wellfounded-on-tranc:
  [| wellfounded-on(M,A,r); r- ``A ⊆ A; M(r); M(A) |]
  ==> wellfounded-on(M,A,r^+)
apply (simp add: wellfounded-on-def)
apply (safe intro!: equalityI)
apply (rename-tac Z x)
apply (subgoal-tac M({x ∈ A. ∃ w[M]. w ∈ Z & ⟨w,x⟩ ∈ r^+}))
prefer 2
apply (blast intro: wellfounded-tranc-separation')
apply (drule-tac x = {x ∈ A. ∃ w[M]. w ∈ Z & ⟨w,x⟩ ∈ r^+} in rspec, safe)
apply (blast dest: transM, simp)
apply (rename-tac y w)
apply (drule-tac x=w in bspec, assumption, clarify)
apply (erule trancE)
apply (blast dest: transM)
apply blast
done

lemma (in M-tranc) wellfounded-tranc:
  [| wellfounded(M,r); M(r) |] ==> wellfounded(M,r^+)

```

```

apply (simp add: wellfounded-iff-wellfounded-on-field)
apply (rule wellfounded-on-subset-A, erule wellfounded-on-trancl)
  apply blast
  apply (simp-all add: trancl-type [THEN field-rel-subset])
done

```

Absoluteness for wfrec-defined functions.

```

lemma (in M-trancl) wfrec-relativize:
[|wf(r); M(a); M(r);
  strong-replacement(M,  $\lambda x z. \exists y[M]. \exists g[M].$ 
    pair(M,x,y,z) &
    is-recfun( $r^+$ , x,  $\lambda x f. H(x, restrict(f, r - ``\{x\})), g$ ) &
     $y = H(x, restrict(g, r - ``\{x\}))$ );
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g))$ ]
==> wfrec(r,a,H) = z  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists f[M]. is-recfun(r^+, a, \lambda x f. H(x, restrict(f, r - ``\{x\})), f)$  &
   $z = H(a, restrict(f, r - ``\{a\}))$ )
apply (frule wf-trancl)
apply (simp add: wftrec-def wfrec-def, safe)
apply (frule wf-exists-is-recfun
  [of concl:  $r^+ a \lambda x f. H(x, restrict(f, r - ``\{x\}))$ ])
apply (simp-all add: trans-trancl function-restrictI trancl-subset-times)
apply (clarify, rule-tac x=x in rexI)
apply (simp-all add: the-recfun-eq trans-trancl trancl-subset-times)
done

```

Assuming r is transitive simplifies the occurrences of H . The premise *relation(r)* is necessary before we can replace r^+ by r .

```

theorem (in M-trancl) trans-wfrec-relativize:
[|wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(a);
  wfrec-replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g))$ ]
==> wfrec(r,a,H) = z  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists f[M]. is-recfun(r,a,H,f) \& z = H(a,f)$ )
apply (frule wfrec-replacement', assumption+)
apply (simp cong: is-recfun-cong
  add: wfrec-relativize trancl-eq-r
  is-recfun-restrict-idem domain-restrict-idem)
done

```

```

theorem (in M-trancl) trans-wfrec-abs:
[|wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(a); M(z);
  wfrec-replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g))$ ]
==> is-wfrec(M,MH,r,a,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z=wfrec(r,a,H)
by (simp add: trans-wfrec-relativize [THEN iff-sym] is-wfrec-abs, blast)

```

```

lemma (in M-trancl) trans-eq-pair-wfrec-iff:
[|wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(y);

```

```

wfrec-replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g)) []$ 
==>  $y = \langle x, wfrec(r, x, H) \rangle \longleftrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists f[M]. is-recfun(r,x,H,f) \ \& \ y = \langle x, H(x,f) \rangle$ )
apply safe
apply (simp add: trans-wfrec-relativize [THEN iff-sym, of concl: - x])
converse direction
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp add: trans-wfrec-relativize, blast)
done

```

5.2 M is closed under well-founded recursion

Lemma with the awkward premise mentioning *wfrec*.

```

lemma (in M-trancl) wfrec-closed-lemma [rule-format]:
  [|wf(r); M(r);  

   strong-replacement(M,  $\lambda x y. y = \langle x, wfrec(r, x, H) \rangle$ );  

    $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g)) []$   

==>  $M(a) \longrightarrow M(wfrec(r,a,H))$   

apply (rule-tac a=a in wf-induct, assumption+)  

apply (subst wfrec, assumption, clarify)  

apply (drule-tac x1=x and x= $\lambda x \in r - ``\{x\}$ . wfrec(r, x, H)  

      in rspec [THEN rspec])  

apply (simp-all add: function-lam)  

apply (blast intro: lam-closed dest: pair-components-in-M)  

done

```

Eliminates one instance of replacement.

```

lemma (in M-trancl) wfrec-replacement-iff:
  strong-replacement(M,  $\lambda x z.$   

     $\exists y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z) \ \& \ (\exists g[M]. is-recfun(r,x,H,g) \ \& \ y = H(x,g)) \longleftrightarrow$   

  strong-replacement(M,  

     $\lambda x y. \exists f[M]. is-recfun(r,x,H,f) \ \& \ y = \langle x, H(x,f) \rangle$ )  

apply simp  

apply (rule strong-replacement-cong, blast)  

done

```

Useful version for transitive relations

```

theorem (in M-trancl) trans-wfrec-closed:
  [|wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(a);  

   wfrec-replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);  

    $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g)) []$   

==>  $M(wfrec(r,a,H))$   

apply (rule wfrec-replacement', assumption+)  

apply (rule wfrec-replacement-iff [THEN iffD1])  

apply (rule wfrec-closed-lemma, assumption+)  

apply (simp-all add: wfrec-replacement-iff trans-eq-pair-wfrec-iff)  

done

```

5.3 Absoluteness without assuming transitivity

```

lemma (in M-trancl) eq-pair-wfrec-iff:
  [| wf(r); M(r); M(y);
    strong-replacement(M, λx z. ∃ y[M]. ∃ g[M].
      pair(M,x,y,z) &
      is-recfun(r^+, x, λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r - `` {x})), g) &
      y = H(x, restrict(g, r - `` {x})));
    ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) —> M(H(x,g))|]
==> y = <x, wfrec(r, x, H)> ↔
  (∃ f[M]. is-recfun(r^+, x, λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r - `` {x})), f) &
    y = <x, H(x,restrict(f,r-``{x}))>)
apply safe
apply (simp add: wfrec-relativize [THEN iff-sym, of concl: - x])

```

converse direction

```

apply (rule sym)
apply (simp add: wfrec-relativize, blast)
done

```

Full version not assuming transitivity, but maybe not very useful.

```

theorem (in M-trancl) wfrec-closed:
  [| wf(r); M(r); M(a);
    wfrec-replacement(M,MH,r^+);
    relation2(M,MH, λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r - `` {x})));
    ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) —> M(H(x,g)) |]
==> M(wfrec(r,a,H))
apply (frule wfrec-replacement'
  [of MH r^+ λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r - `` {x}))])
prefer 4
apply (frule wfrec-replacement-iff [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule wfrec-closed-lemma, assumption+)
apply (simp-all add: eq-pair-wfrec-iff func.function-restrictI)
done
end

```

6 Absoluteness Properties for Recursive Datatypes

```

theory Datatype-absolute
imports
  ~~/src/ZF/Constructible/Formula
  WF-absolute
begin

```

6.1 The lfp of a continuous function can be expressed as a union

definition

```

directed ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  where  

directed( $A$ ) ==  $A \neq \emptyset \ \& \ (\forall x \in A. \ \forall y \in A. \ x \cup y \in A)$ 

```

definition

```

contin ::  $(i \Rightarrow i) \Rightarrow o$  where  

contin( $h$ ) ==  $(\forall A. \text{directed}(A) \longrightarrow h(\bigcup A) = (\bigcup X \in A. h(X)))$ 

```

```

lemma bnd-mono-iterates-subset: [| bnd-mono( $D, h$ );  $n \in \text{nat}$  |] ==>  $h^n(0) \subseteq D$   

apply (induct-tac  $n$ )  

apply (simp-all add: bnd-mono-def, blast)  

done

```

```

lemma bnd-mono-increasing [rule-format]:  

[|  $i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{bnd-mono}(D, h)$  |] ==>  $i \leq j \longrightarrow h^i(0) \subseteq h^j(0)$   

apply (rule-tac  $m=i$  and  $n=j$  in diff-induct, simp-all)  

apply (blast del: subsetI  

intro: bnd-mono-iterates-subset bnd-monoD2 [of concl:  $h$ ])  

done

```

```

lemma directed-iterates: bnd-mono( $D, h$ ) ==> directed( $\{h^n(0). n \in \text{nat}\}$ )  

apply (simp add: directed-def, clarify)  

apply (rename-tac  $i j$ )  

apply (rule-tac  $x=i \cup j$  in bexI)  

apply (rule-tac  $i = i$  and  $j = j$  in Ord-linear-le)  

apply (simp-all add: subset-Un-iff [THEN iffD1] le-imp-subset  

subset-Un-iff2 [THEN iffD1])  

apply (simp-all add: subset-Un-iff [THEN iff-sym] bnd-mono-increasing  

subset-Un-iff2 [THEN iff-sym])  

done

```

```

lemma contin-iterates-eq:  

[| bnd-mono( $D, h$ ); contin( $h$ ) |]  

==>  $h(\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}} h^n(0)) = (\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}} h^n(0))$   

apply (simp add: contin-def directed-iterates)  

apply (rule trans)  

apply (rule equalityI)  

apply (simp-all add: UN-subset-iff)  

apply safe  

apply (erule-tac [2] natE)  

apply (rule-tac  $a=\text{succ}(x)$  in UN-I)  

apply simp-all  

apply blast  

done

```

```

lemma lfp-subset-Union:  

[| bnd-mono( $D, h$ ); contin( $h$ ) |] ==>  $\text{lfp}(D, h) \subseteq (\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}} h^n(0))$   

apply (rule lfp-lowerbound)  

apply (simp add: contin-iterates-eq)

```

```

apply (simp add: contin-def bnd-mono-iterates-subset UN-subset-iff)
done

```

```

lemma Union-subset-lfp:
  bnd-mono(D,h) ==> ( $\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}} h^n(0)$ ) ⊆ lfp(D,h)
apply (simp add: UN-subset-iff)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (induct-tac n, simp-all)
apply (rule subset-trans [of - h(lfp(D,h))])
apply (blast dest: bnd-monoD2 [OF - - lfp-subset])
apply (erule lfp-lemma2)
done

```

```

lemma lfp-eq-Union:
  [|bnd-mono(D, h); contin(h)|] ==> lfp(D,h) = ( $\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}} h^n(0)$ )
by (blast del: subsetI
      intro: lfp-subset-Union Union-subset-lfp)

```

6.1.1 Some Standard Datatype Constructions Preserve Continuity

```

lemma contin-imp-mono: [|X ⊆ Y; contin(F)|] ==> F(X) ⊆ F(Y)
apply (simp add: contin-def)
apply (drule-tac x={X,Y} in spec)
apply (simp add: directed-def subset-Un-iff2 Un-commute)
done

```

```

lemma sum-contin: [|contin(F); contin(G)|] ==> contin(λX. F(X) + G(X))
by (simp add: contin-def, blast)

```

```

lemma prod-contin: [|contin(F); contin(G)|] ==> contin(λX. F(X) * G(X))
apply (subgoal-tac ∀B C. F(B) ⊆ F(B ∪ C))
prefer 2 apply (simp add: Un-upper1 contin-imp-mono)
apply (subgoal-tac ∀B C. G(C) ⊆ G(B ∪ C))
prefer 2 apply (simp add: Un-upper2 contin-imp-mono)
apply (simp add: contin-def, clarify)
apply (rule equalityI)
prefer 2 apply blast
apply clarify
apply (rename-tac B C)
apply (rule-tac a=B ∪ C in UN-I)
apply (simp add: directed-def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma const-contin: contin(λX. A)
by (simp add: contin-def directed-def)

```

```

lemma id-contin: contin(λX. X)
by (simp add: contin-def)

```

6.2 Absoluteness for "Iterates"

definition

```

iterates-MH :: [i=>o, [i,i]=>o, i, i, i, i] => o where
iterates-MH(M,isF,v,n,g,z) ==
  is-nat-case(M, v, λm u. ∃ gm[M]. fun-apply(M,g,m,gm) & isF(gm,u),
  n, z)

```

definition

```

is-iterates :: [i=>o, [i,i]=>o, i, i, i] => o where
is-iterates(M,isF,v,n,Z) ==
  ∃ sn[M]. ∃ msn[M]. successor(M,n,sn) & membership(M,sn,msn) &
  is-wfrec(M, iterates-MH(M,isF,v), msn, n, Z)

```

definition

```

iterates-replacement :: [i=>o, [i,i]=>o, i] => o where
iterates-replacement(M,isF,v) ==
  ∀ n[M]. n ∈ nat →
  wfrec-replacement(M, iterates-MH(M,isF,v), Memrel(succ(n)))

```

lemma (in M-basic) iterates-MH-abs:

```

[| relation1(M,isF,F); M(n); M(g); M(z) |]
==> iterates-MH(M,isF,v,n,g,z) ←→ z = nat-case(v, λm. F(g‘m), n)
by (simp add: nat-case-abs [of - λm. F(g ‘ m)]  

relation1-def iterates-MH-def)

```

lemma (in M-trancl) iterates-imp-wfrec-replacement:

```

[| relation1(M,isF,F); n ∈ nat; iterates-replacement(M,isF,v) |]
==> wfrec-replacement(M, λn f z. z = nat-case(v, λm. F(f‘m), n),
Memrel(succ(n)))
by (simp add: iterates-replacement-def iterates-MH-abs)

```

theorem (in M-trancl) iterates-abs:

```

[| iterates-replacement(M,isF,v); relation1(M,isF,F);
n ∈ nat; M(v); M(z); ∀ x[M]. M(F(x)) |]
==> is-iterates(M,isF,v,n,z) ←→ z = iterates(F,n,v)
apply (frule iterates-imp-wfrec-replacement, assumption+)
apply (simp add: wf-Memrel trans-Memrel relation-Memrel
is-iterates-def relation2-def iterates-MH-abs
iterates-nat-def recursor-def transrec-def
eclose-sing-Ord-eq nat-into-M
trans-wfrec-abs [of - - - λn g. nat-case(v, λm. F(g‘m), n)])

```

done

lemma (in M-trancl) iterates-closed [intro,simp]:

```

[| iterates-replacement(M,isF,v); relation1(M,isF,F);
n ∈ nat; M(v); ∀ x[M]. M(F(x)) |]
==> M(iterates(F,n,v))
apply (frule iterates-imp-wfrec-replacement, assumption+)

```

```

apply (simp add: wf-Memrel trans-Memrel relation-Memrel
        relation2-def iterates-MH-abs
        iterates-nat-def recursor-def transrec-def
        eclose-sing-Ord-eq nat-into-M
        trans-wfrec-closed [of - -  $\lambda n g.$  nat-case( $v, \lambda m. F(g^m), n$ )])
done

```

6.3 lists without univ

```

lemmas datatype-univs = Inl-in-univ Inr-in-univ
          Pair-in-univ nat-into-univ A-into-univ

```

```

lemma list-fun-bnd-mono: bnd-mono(univ(A),  $\lambda X. \{0\} + A*X)$ 
apply (rule bnd-monoI)
apply (intro subset-refl zero-subset-univ A-subset-univ
        sum-subset-univ Sigma-subset-univ)
apply (rule subset-refl sum-mono Sigma-mono | assumption)
done

```

```

lemma list-fun-contin: contin( $\lambda X. \{0\} + A*X)$ 
by (intro sum-contin prod-contin id-contin const-contin)

```

Re-expresses lists using sum and product

```

lemma list-eq-lfp2: list(A) = lfp(univ(A),  $\lambda X. \{0\} + A*X)$ 
apply (simp add: list-def)
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule lfp-lowerbound)
prefer 2 apply (rule lfp-subset)
apply (clarify, subst lfp-unfold [OF list-fun-bnd-mono])
apply (simp add: Nil-def Cons-def)
apply blast

```

Opposite inclusion

```

apply (rule lfp-lowerbound)
prefer 2 apply (rule lfp-subset)
apply (clarify, subst lfp-unfold [OF list.bnd-mono])
apply (simp add: Nil-def Cons-def)
apply (blast intro: datatype-univs
        dest: lfp-subset [THEN subsetD])
done

```

Re-expresses lists using "iterates", no univ.

```

lemma list-eq-Union:
  list(A) = ( $\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}} (\lambda X. \{0\} + A*X) ^ n (0)$ )
by (simp add: list-eq-lfp2 lfp-eq-Union list-fun-bnd-mono list-fun-contin)

```

definition

```

is-list-functor :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where

```

```

is-list-functor(M,A,X,Z) ==
  ∃ n1[M]. ∃ AX[M].
    number1(M,n1) & cartprod(M,A,X,AX) & is-sum(M,n1,AX,Z)

```

```

lemma (in M-basic) list-functor-abs [simp]:
  [| M(A); M(X); M(Z) |] ==> is-list-functor(M,A,X,Z) ←→ (Z = {0} +
  A*X)
by (simp add: is-list-functor-def singleton-0 nat-into-M)

```

6.4 formulas without univ

```

lemma formula-fun-bnd-mono:
  bnd-mono(univ(0), λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X))
apply (rule bnd-monoI)
apply (intro subset-refl zero-subset-univ A-subset-univ
  sum-subset-univ Sigma-subset-univ nat-subset-univ)
apply (rule subset-refl sum-mono Sigma-mono | assumption) +
done

```

```

lemma formula-fun-contin:
  contin(λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X))
by (intro sum-contin prod-contin id-contin const-contin)

```

Re-expresses formulas using sum and product

```

lemma formula-eq-lfp2:
  formula = lfp(univ(0), λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X))
apply (simp add: formula-def)
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule lfp-lowerbound)
prefer 2 apply (rule lfp-subset)
apply (clarify, subst lfp-unfold [OF formula-fun-bnd-mono])
apply (simp add: Member-def Equal-def Nand-def Forall-def)
apply blast

```

Opposite inclusion

```

apply (rule lfp-lowerbound)
prefer 2 apply (rule lfp-subset, clarify)
apply (subst lfp-unfold [OF formula.bnd-mono, simplified])
apply (simp add: Member-def Equal-def Nand-def Forall-def)
apply (elim sumE SigmaE, simp-all)
apply (blast intro: datatype-univs dest: lfp-subset [THEN subsetD]) +
done

```

Re-expresses formulas using "iterates", no univ.

```

lemma formula-eq-Union:
  formula =
    (∀ n∈nat. (λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X)) ^ n (0))
by (simp add: formula-eq-lfp2 lfp-eq-Union formula-fun-bnd-mono
  formula-fun-contin)

```

```

definition

$$\text{is-formula-functor} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$


$$\text{is-formula-functor}(M, X, Z) ==$$


$$\exists \text{nat}'[M]. \exists \text{natnat}[M]. \exists \text{natnatsum}[M]. \exists XX[M]. \exists X3[M].$$


$$\text{omega}(M, \text{nat}') \& \text{cartprod}(M, \text{nat}', \text{nat}', \text{natnat}) \&$$


$$\text{is-sum}(M, \text{natnat}, \text{natnat}, \text{natnatsum}) \&$$


$$\text{cartprod}(M, X, X, XX) \& \text{is-sum}(M, XX, X, X3) \&$$


$$\text{is-sum}(M, \text{natnatsum}, X3, Z)$$


lemma (in M-trancl) formula-functor-abs [simp]:

$$[\| M(X); M(Z) \|]$$


$$\implies \text{is-formula-functor}(M, X, Z) \longleftrightarrow$$


$$Z = ((\text{nat} * \text{nat}) + (\text{nat} * \text{nat})) + (X * X + X)$$

by (simp add: is-formula-functor-def)

```

6.5 M Contains the List and Formula Datatypes

```

definition

$$\text{list-}N :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$$


$$\text{list-}N(A, n) == (\lambda X. \{0\} + A * X) ^n (0)$$


```

```

lemma Nil-in-list- $N$  [simp]: []  $\in$   $\text{list-}N(A, \text{succ}(n))$ 
by (simp add: list- $N$ -def Nil-def)

```

```

lemma Cons-in-list- $N$  [simp]:

$$\text{Cons}(a, l) \in \text{list-}N(A, \text{succ}(n)) \longleftrightarrow a \in A \& l \in \text{list-}N(A, n)$$

by (simp add: list- $N$ -def Cons-def)

```

These two aren't simp rules because they reveal the underlying list representation.

```

lemma list- $N$ -0:  $\text{list-}N(A, 0) = 0$ 
by (simp add: list- $N$ -def)

```

```

lemma list- $N$ -succ:  $\text{list-}N(A, \text{succ}(n)) = \{0\} + A * (\text{list-}N(A, n))$ 
by (simp add: list- $N$ -def)

```

```

lemma list- $N$ -imp-list:

$$[\| l \in \text{list-}N(A, n); n \in \text{nat} \|] \implies l \in \text{list}(A)$$

by (force simp add: list-eq-Union list- $N$ -def)

```

```

lemma list- $N$ -imp-length-lt [rule-format]:

$$n \in \text{nat} \implies \forall l \in \text{list-}N(A, n). \text{length}(l) < n$$

apply (induct-tac n)
apply (auto simp add: list- $N$ -0 list- $N$ -succ

$$\quad \text{Nil-def [symmetric]} \text{ Cons-def [symmetric]})$$

done

```

```

lemma list-imp-list-N [rule-format]:
   $l \in \text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(l) < n \longrightarrow l \in \text{list}-N(A, n)$ 
apply (induct-tac l)
apply (force elim: natE)+  

done

lemma list-N-imp-eq-length:
  [|  $n \in \text{nat}; l \notin \text{list}-N(A, n); l \in \text{list}-N(A, \text{succ}(n))|]
  \implies n = \text{length}(l)
apply (rule le-anti-sym)
prefer 2 apply (simp add: list-N-imp-length-lt)
apply (frule list-N-imp-list, simp)
apply (simp add: not-lt-iff-le [symmetric])
apply (blast intro: list-imp-list-N)
done$ 
```

Express *list-rec* without using *rank* or *Vset*, neither of which is absolute.

```

lemma (in M-trivial) list-rec-eq:
   $l \in \text{list}(A) \implies$ 
   $\text{list-rec}(a, g, l) =$ 
   $\text{transrec}(\text{succ}(\text{length}(l)),$ 
   $\lambda x h. \text{Lambda}(\text{list}(A),$ 
   $\text{list-case}'(a,$ 
   $\lambda a l. g(a, l, h \cdot \text{succ}(\text{length}(l)) \cdot l))) \cdot l$ 
apply (induct-tac l)
apply (subst transrec, simp)
apply (subst transrec)
apply (simp add: list-imp-list-N)
done

```

```

definition
is-list-N :: [ $i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i$ ]  $\Rightarrow o$  where
  is-list-N(M, A, n, Z) ==
     $\exists \text{zero}[M]. \text{empty}(M, \text{zero}) \&$ 
     $\text{is-iterates}(M, \text{is-list-functor}(M, A), \text{zero}, n, Z)$ 

```

```

definition
mem-list :: [ $i \Rightarrow o, i, i$ ]  $\Rightarrow o$  where
  mem-list(M, A, l) ==
     $\exists n[M]. \exists \text{listn}[M].$ 
     $\text{finite-ordinal}(M, n) \& \text{is-list-N}(M, A, n, \text{listn}) \& l \in \text{listn}$ 

```

```

definition
is-list :: [ $i \Rightarrow o, i, i$ ]  $\Rightarrow o$  where
  is-list(M, A, Z) ==  $\forall l[M]. l \in Z \longleftrightarrow \text{mem-list}(M, A, l)$ 

```

6.5.1 Towards Absoluteness of formula-rec

consts depth :: $i \Rightarrow i$

```

primrec
  depth(Member(x,y)) = 0
  depth(Equal(x,y)) = 0
  depth(Nand(p,q)) = succ(depth(p) ∪ depth(q))
  depth(Forall(p)) = succ(depth(p))

lemma depth-type [TC]: p ∈ formula ==> depth(p) ∈ nat
by (induct-tac p, simp-all)

definition
  formula-N :: i => i where
    formula-N(n) == (λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X)) ^ n (0)

lemma Member-in-formula-N [simp]:
  Member(x,y) ∈ formula-N(succ(n)) ←→ x ∈ nat & y ∈ nat
by (simp add: formula-N-def Member-def)

lemma Equal-in-formula-N [simp]:
  Equal(x,y) ∈ formula-N(succ(n)) ←→ x ∈ nat & y ∈ nat
by (simp add: formula-N-def Equal-def)

lemma Nand-in-formula-N [simp]:
  Nand(x,y) ∈ formula-N(succ(n)) ←→ x ∈ formula-N(n) & y ∈ formula-N(n)
by (simp add: formula-N-def Nand-def)

lemma Forall-in-formula-N [simp]:
  Forall(x) ∈ formula-N(succ(n)) ←→ x ∈ formula-N(n)
by (simp add: formula-N-def Forall-def)

These two aren't simprules because they reveal the underlying formula representation.

lemma formula-N-0: formula-N(0) = 0
by (simp add: formula-N-def)

lemma formula-N-succ:
  formula-N(succ(n)) =
    ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (formula-N(n) * formula-N(n) + formula-N(n))
by (simp add: formula-N-def)

lemma formula-N-imp-formula:
  [| p ∈ formula-N(n); n ∈ nat |] ==> p ∈ formula
by (force simp add: formula-eq-Union formula-N-def)

lemma formula-N-imp-depth-lt [rule-format]:
  n ∈ nat ==> ∀ p ∈ formula-N(n). depth(p) < n
apply (induct-tac n)
apply (auto simp add: formula-N-0 formula-N-succ
  depth-type formula-N-imp-formula Un-least-lt-iff)

```

*Member-def [symmetric] Equal-def [symmetric]
Nand-def [symmetric] Forall-def [symmetric])*

done

lemma *formula-imp-formula-N* [rule-format]:
 $p \in formula \implies \forall n \in nat. depth(p) < n \longrightarrow p \in formula-N(n)$
apply (induct-tac *p*)
apply (simp-all add: succ-Un-distrib Un-least-lt-iff)
apply (force elim: natE)+
done

lemma *formula-N-imp-eq-depth*:
 $\|n \in nat; p \notin formula-N(n); p \in formula-N(succ(n))\| \implies n = depth(p)$
apply (rule le-anti-sym)
prefer 2 **apply** (simp add: formula-N-imp-depth-lt)
apply (frule formula-N-imp-formula, simp)
apply (simp add: not-lt-iff-le [symmetric])
apply (blast intro: formula-imp-formula-N)
done

This result and the next are unused.

lemma *formula-N-mono* [rule-format]:
 $\|m \in nat; n \in nat\| \implies m \leq n \longrightarrow formula-N(m) \subseteq formula-N(n)$
apply (rule-tac *m* = *m* and *n* = *n* in diff-induct)
apply (simp-all add: formula-N-0 formula-N-succ, blast)
done

lemma *formula-N-distrib*:
 $\|m \in nat; n \in nat\| \implies formula-N(m \cup n) = formula-N(m) \cup formula-N(n)$
apply (rule-tac *i* = *m* and *j* = *n* in Ord-linear-le, auto)
apply (simp-all add: subset-Un-iff [THEN iffD1] subset-Un-iff2 [THEN iffD1]
 le-imp-subset formula-N-mono)
done

definition

is-formula-N :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is-formula-N(*M, n, Z*) ==
 $\exists zero[M]. empty(M, zero) \&$
 $is\text{-iterates}(M, is\text{-formula\text{-}functor}(M), zero, n, Z)$

definition

mem-formula :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
mem-formula(*M, p*) ==
 $\exists n[M]. \exists formn[M].$
 $finite\text{-ordinal}(M, n) \& is\text{-formula\text{-}N}(M, n, formn) \& p \in formn$

definition

```

is-formula :: [i=>o,i] => o where
  is-formula(M,Z) ==> <math>\forall p[M]. p \in Z \longleftrightarrow \text{mem-formula}(M,p)</math>

```

```

locale M-datatypes = M-trancl +
assumes list-replacement1:
  M(A) ==> iterates-replacement(M, is-list-functor(M,A), 0)
and list-replacement2:
  M(A) ==> strong-replacement(M,
    λn y. n∈nat & is-iterates(M, is-list-functor(M,A), 0, n, y))
and formula-replacement1:
  iterates-replacement(M, is-formula-functor(M), 0)
and formula-replacement2:
  strong-replacement(M,
    λn y. n∈nat & is-iterates(M, is-formula-functor(M), 0, n, y))
and nth-replacement:
  M(l) ==> iterates-replacement(M, %l t. is-tl(M,l,t), l)

```

6.5.2 Absoluteness of the List Construction

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) list-replacement2':
  M(A) ==> strong-replacement(M, λn y. n∈nat & y = (λX. {0} + A * X) ^n
  (0))
apply (insert list-replacement2 [of A])
apply (rule strong-replacement-cong [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule conj-cong [OF iff-refl iterates-abs [of is-list-functor(M,A)]])
apply (simp-all add: list-replacement1 relation1-def)
done

lemma (in M-datatypes) list-closed [intro,simp]:
  M(A) ==> M(list(A))
apply (insert list-replacement1)
by (simp add: RepFun-closed2 list-eq-Union
  list-replacement2' relation1-def
  iterates-closed [of is-list-functor(M,A)])

```

WARNING: use only with *dest:* or with variables fixed!

```
lemmas (in M-datatypes) list-into-M = transM [OF - list-closed]
```

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) list-N-abs [simp]:
  [|M(A); n∈nat; M(Z)|]
  ==> is-list-N(M,A,n,Z) ↔ Z = list-N(A,n)
apply (insert list-replacement1)
apply (simp add: is-list-N-def list-N-def relation1-def nat-into-M
  iterates-abs [of is-list-functor(M,A) - λX. {0} + A*X])
done

```

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) list-N-closed [intro,simp]:
  [|M(A); n∈nat|] ==> M(list-N(A,n))
apply (insert list-replacement1)

```

```

apply (simp add: is-list-N-def list-N-def relation1-def nat-into-M
        iterates-closed [of is-list-functor(M,A)])
done

lemma (in M-datatypes) mem-list-abs [simp]:
   $M(A) \implies \text{mem-list}(M, A, l) \longleftrightarrow l \in \text{list}(A)$ 
apply (insert list-replacement1)
apply (simp add: mem-list-def list-N-def relation1-def list-eq-Union
        iterates-closed [of is-list-functor(M,A)])
done

lemma (in M-datatypes) list-abs [simp]:
   $[\![M(A); M(Z)]\!] \implies \text{is-list}(M, A, Z) \longleftrightarrow Z = \text{list}(A)$ 
apply (simp add: is-list-def, safe)
apply (rule M-equalityI, simp-all)
done

```

6.5.3 Absoluteness of Formulas

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) formula-replacement2':
   $\text{strong-replacement}(M, \lambda n. n \in \text{nat} \ \& \ y = (\lambda X. ((\text{nat} * \text{nat}) + (\text{nat} * \text{nat})) + (X * X + X)) ^n (0))$ 
apply (insert formula-replacement2)
apply (rule strong-replacement-cong [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule conj-cong [OF iff-refl iterates-abs [of is-formula-functor(M)]])
apply (simp-all add: formula-replacement1 relation1-def)
done

lemma (in M-datatypes) formula-closed [intro,simp]:
   $M(\text{formula})$ 
apply (insert formula-replacement1)
apply (simp add: RepFun-closed2 formula-eq-Union
        formula-replacement2' relation1-def
        iterates-closed [of is-formula-functor(M)])
done

lemmas (in M-datatypes) formula-into-M = transM [OF - formula-closed]

lemma (in M-datatypes) formula-N-abs [simp]:
   $[\![n \in \text{nat}; M(Z)]\!] \implies \text{is-formula-N}(M, n, Z) \longleftrightarrow Z = \text{formula-N}(n)$ 
apply (insert formula-replacement1)
apply (simp add: is-formula-N-def formula-N-def relation1-def nat-into-M
        iterates-abs [of is-formula-functor(M) -
                     $\lambda X. ((\text{nat} * \text{nat}) + (\text{nat} * \text{nat})) + (X * X + X)])
done

lemma (in M-datatypes) formula-N-closed [intro,simp]:
   $n \in \text{nat} \implies M(\text{formula-N}(n))$$ 
```

```

apply (insert formula-replacement1)
apply (simp add: is-formula-N-def formula-N-def relation1-def nat-into-M
         iterates-closed [of is-formula-functor(M)])
done

lemma (in M-datatypes) mem-formula-abs [simp]:
  mem-formula(M,l)  $\longleftrightarrow$  l ∈ formula
apply (insert formula-replacement1)
apply (simp add: mem-formula-def relation1-def formula-eq-Union formula-N-def
         iterates-closed [of is-formula-functor(M)])
done

lemma (in M-datatypes) formula-abs [simp]:
  [|M(Z)|] ==> is-formula(M,Z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  Z = formula
apply (simp add: is-formula-def, safe)
apply (rule M-equalityI, simp-all)
done

```

6.6 Absoluteness for ε -Closure: the *eclose* Operator

Re-expresses *eclose* using "iterates"

```

lemma eclose-eq-Union:
  eclose(A) = ( $\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}} \text{Union}^n$  (A))
apply (simp add: eclose-def)
apply (rule UN-cong)
apply (rule refl)
apply (induct-tac n)
apply (simp add: nat-rec-0)
apply (simp add: nat-rec-succ)
done

definition
is-eclose-n :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where
  is-eclose-n(M,A,n,Z) == is-iterates(M, big-union(M), A, n, Z)

definition
mem-eclose :: [i=>o,i,i] => o where
  mem-eclose(M,A,l) ==
     $\exists n[M]. \exists \text{eclosen}[M].$ 
    finite-ordinal(M,n) & is-eclose-n(M,A,n,eclosen) & l ∈ eclosen

definition
is-eclose :: [i=>o,i,i] => o where
  is-eclose(M,A,Z) == ∀ u[M]. u ∈ Z  $\longleftrightarrow$  mem-eclose(M,A,u)

locale M-eclose = M-datatypes +
assumes eclose-replacement1:
  M(A) ==> iterates-replacement(M, big-union(M), A)

```

```

and eclose-replacement2:
 $M(A) \implies \text{strong-replacement}(M, \lambda n y. n \in \text{nat} \ \& \ \text{is-iterates}(M, \text{big-union}(M), A, n, y))$ 

lemma (in M-eclose) eclose-replacement2':
 $M(A) \implies \text{strong-replacement}(M, \lambda n y. n \in \text{nat} \ \& \ y = \text{Union}^n(A))$ 
apply (insert eclose-replacement2 [of A])
apply (rule strong-replacement-cong [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule conj-cong [OF iff-refl iterates-abs [of big-union(M)]])
apply (simp-all add: eclose-replacement1 relation1-def)
done

lemma (in M-eclose) eclose-closed [intro,simp]:
 $M(A) \implies M(\text{eclose}(A))$ 
apply (insert eclose-replacement1)
by (simp add: RepFun-closed2 eclose-eq-Union
      eclose-replacement2' relation1-def
      iterates-closed [of big-union(M)])

lemma (in M-eclose) is-eclose-n-abs [simp]:
 $[(M(A); n \in \text{nat}; M(Z))] \implies \text{is-eclose-n}(M, A, n, Z) \longleftrightarrow Z = \text{Union}^n(A)$ 
apply (insert eclose-replacement1)
apply (simp add: is-eclose-n-def relation1-def nat-into-M
      iterates-abs [of big-union(M) - Union])
done

lemma (in M-eclose) mem-eclose-abs [simp]:
 $M(A) \implies \text{mem-eclose}(M, A, l) \longleftrightarrow l \in \text{eclose}(A)$ 
apply (insert eclose-replacement1)
apply (simp add: mem-eclose-def relation1-def eclose-eq-Union
      iterates-closed [of big-union(M)])
done

lemma (in M-eclose) eclose-abs [simp]:
 $[(M(A); M(Z))] \implies \text{is-eclose}(M, A, Z) \longleftrightarrow Z = \text{eclose}(A)$ 
apply (simp add: is-eclose-def, safe)
apply (rule M-equalityI, simp-all)
done

```

6.7 Absoluteness for *transrec*

$$\text{transrec}(a, H) \equiv \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{a\})), a, H)$$

definition

```

is-transrec ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
is-transrec(M,MH,a,z) ==
 $\exists sa[M]. \exists esa[M]. \exists mesa[M].$ 
 $\text{upair}(M, a, a, sa) \ \& \ \text{is-eclose}(M, sa, esa) \ \& \ \text{membership}(M, esa, mesa) \ \&$ 
 $\text{is-wfrec}(M, MH, mesa, a, z)$ 

```

definition

```

transrec-replacement :: [i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i] => o where
transrec-replacement(M,MH,a) ==
   $\exists sa[M]. \exists esa[M]. \exists mesa[M].$ 
    upair(M,a,a,sa) & is-eclose(M,sa,esa) & membership(M,esa,mesa) &
    wfrec-replacement(M,MH,mesa)

```

The condition *Ord*(i) lets us use the simpler *trans-wfrec-abs* rather than *trans-wfrec-abs*, which I haven't even proved yet.

theorem (in M-eclose) transrec-abs:

```

[| transrec-replacement(M,MH,i); relation2(M,MH,H);
  Ord(i); M(i); M(z);
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g))$  |]
  ==> is-transrec(M,MH,i,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = transrec(i,H)
by (simp add: trans-wfrec-abs transrec-replacement-def is-transrec-def
  transrec-def eclose-sing-Ord-eq wf-Memrel trans-Memrel relation-Memrel)

```

theorem (in M-eclose) transrec-closed:

```

[| transrec-replacement(M,MH,i); relation2(M,MH,H);
  Ord(i); M(i);
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g))$  |]
  ==> M(transrec(i,H))
by (simp add: trans-wfrec-closed transrec-replacement-def is-transrec-def
  transrec-def eclose-sing-Ord-eq wf-Memrel trans-Memrel relation-Memrel)

```

Helps to prove instances of *transrec-replacement*

lemma (in M-eclose) transrec-replacementI:

```

[| M(a);
  strong-replacement (M,
     $\lambda x z. \exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, z) \&$ 
    is-wfrec(M,MH,Memrel(eclose({a}),x,y)) |]
  ==> transrec-replacement(M,MH,a)
by (simp add: transrec-replacement-def wfrec-replacement-def)

```

6.8 Absoluteness for the List Operator *length*

But it is never used.

definition

```

is-length :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where
is-length(M,A,l,n) ==
   $\exists sn[M]. \exists list-n[M]. \exists list-sn[M].$ 
    is-list-N(M,A,n,list-n) & l  $\notin$  list-n &
    successor(M,n,sn) & is-list-N(M,A,sn,list-sn) & l  $\in$  list-sn

```

lemma (in M-datatatypes) length-abs [simp]:

```
[| M(A); l  $\in$  list(A); n  $\in$  nat |] ==> is-length(M,A,l,n)  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = length(l)
```

```

apply (subgoal-tac M(l) & M(n))
prefer 2 apply (blast dest: transM)
apply (simp add: is-length-def)
apply (blast intro: list-imp-list-N nat-into-Ord list-N-imp-eq-length
           dest: list-N-imp-length-lt)
done

```

Proof is trivial since *length* returns natural numbers.

```

lemma (in M-trivial) length-closed [intro,simp]:
  l ∈ list(A) ==> M(length(l))
by (simp add: nat-into-M)

```

6.9 Absoluteness for the List Operator *nth*

```

lemma nth-eq-hd-iterates-tl [rule-format]:
  xs ∈ list(A) ==> ∀ n ∈ nat. nth(n,xs) = hd' (tl'^n (xs))
apply (induct-tac xs)
apply (simp add: iterates-tl-Nil hd'-Nil, clarify)
apply (erule natE)
apply (simp add: hd'-Cons)
apply (simp add: tl'-Cons iterates-commute)
done

lemma (in M-basic) iterates-tl'-closed:
  [| n ∈ nat; M(x)|] ==> M(tl'^n (x))
apply (induct-tac n, simp)
apply (simp add: tl'-Cons tl'-closed)
done

```

Immediate by type-checking

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) nth-closed [intro,simp]:
  [| xs ∈ list(A); n ∈ nat; M(A)|] ==> M(nth(n,xs))
apply (case-tac n < length(xs))
apply (blast intro: nth-type transM)
apply (simp add: not-lt-iff-le nth-eq-0)
done

```

definition

```

is-nth :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where
is-nth(M,n,l,Z) ==
  ∃ X[M]. is-iterates(M, is-tl(M), l, n, X) & is-hd(M,X,Z)

```

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) nth-abs [simp]:
  [| M(A); n ∈ nat; l ∈ list(A); M(Z)|]
  ==> is-nth(M,n,l,Z) ↔ Z = nth(n,l)
apply (subgoal-tac M(l))
prefer 2 apply (blast intro: transM)
apply (simp add: is-nth-def nth-eq-hd-iterates-tl nat-into-M
               tl'-closed iterates-tl'-closed)

```

iterates-abs [OF - relation1-tl] nth-replacement)
done

6.10 Relativization and Absoluteness for the formula Constructors

definition

is-Member :: $[i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 — because $\text{Member}(x, y) \equiv \text{Inl}(\text{Inl}(\langle x, y \rangle))$
 $\text{is-Member}(M, x, y, Z) ==$
 $\exists p[M]. \exists u[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, p) \& \text{is-Inl}(M, p, u) \& \text{is-Inl}(M, u, Z)$

lemma (in M-trivial) Member-abs [simp]:
 $[[M(x); M(y); M(Z)]] ==> \text{is-Member}(M, x, y, Z) \longleftrightarrow (Z = \text{Member}(x, y))$
by (*simp add: is-Member-def Member-def*)

lemma (in M-trivial) Member-in-M-iff [iff]:
 $M(\text{Member}(x, y)) \longleftrightarrow M(x) \& M(y)$
by (*simp add: Member-def*)

definition

is-Equal :: $[i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 — because $\text{Equal}(x, y) \equiv \text{Inl}(\text{Inr}(\langle x, y \rangle))$
 $\text{is-Equal}(M, x, y, Z) ==$
 $\exists p[M]. \exists u[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, p) \& \text{is-Inr}(M, p, u) \& \text{is-Inl}(M, u, Z)$

lemma (in M-trivial) Equal-abs [simp]:
 $[[M(x); M(y); M(Z)]] ==> \text{is-Equal}(M, x, y, Z) \longleftrightarrow (Z = \text{Equal}(x, y))$
by (*simp add: is-Equal-def Equal-def*)

lemma (in M-trivial) Equal-in-M-iff [iff]: $M(\text{Equal}(x, y)) \longleftrightarrow M(x) \& M(y)$
by (*simp add: Equal-def*)

definition

is-Nand :: $[i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 — because $\text{Nand}(x, y) \equiv \text{Inr}(\text{Inl}(\langle x, y \rangle))$
 $\text{is-Nand}(M, x, y, Z) ==$
 $\exists p[M]. \exists u[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, p) \& \text{is-Inl}(M, p, u) \& \text{is-Inr}(M, u, Z)$

lemma (in M-trivial) Nand-abs [simp]:
 $[[M(x); M(y); M(Z)]] ==> \text{is-Nand}(M, x, y, Z) \longleftrightarrow (Z = \text{Nand}(x, y))$
by (*simp add: is-Nand-def Nand-def*)

lemma (in M-trivial) Nand-in-M-iff [iff]: $M(\text{Nand}(x, y)) \longleftrightarrow M(x) \& M(y)$
by (*simp add: Nand-def*)

definition

is-Forall :: $[i=>o,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 — because $\text{Forall}(x) \equiv \text{Inr}(\text{Inr}(p))$

is-Forall(M, p, Z) == $\exists u[M]. \text{is-Inr}(M, p, u) \& \text{is-Inr}(M, u, Z)$

lemma (in M -trivial) Forall-abs [simp]:
 $[[M(x); M(Z)]] ==> \text{is-Forall}(M, x, Z) \longleftrightarrow (Z = \text{Forall}(x))$
by (simp add: is-Forall-def Forall-def)

lemma (in M -trivial) Forall-in-M-iff [iff]: $M(\text{Forall}(x)) \longleftrightarrow M(x)$
by (simp add: Forall-def)

6.11 Absoluteness for formula-rec

definition

formula-rec-case :: $[[i, i] ==> i, [i, i] ==> i, [i, i, i, i] ==> i, [i, i] ==> i, i, i] ==> i$ **where**
— the instance of *formula-case* in *formula-rec*
formula-rec-case(a, b, c, d, h) ==
formula-case ($a, b,$
 $\lambda u. v. c(u, v, h \cdot \text{succ}(\text{depth}(u)) \cdot u,$
 $h \cdot \text{succ}(\text{depth}(v)) \cdot v),$
 $\lambda u. d(u, h \cdot \text{succ}(\text{depth}(u)) \cdot u))$

Unfold *formula-rec* to *formula-rec-case*. Express *formula-rec* without using *rank* or *Vset*, neither of which is absolute.

lemma (in M -trivial) formula-rec-eq:
 $p \in \text{formula} ==>$
formula-rec(a, b, c, d, p) =
transrec (*succ*(*depth*(p)),
 $\lambda x. \text{Lambda} (\text{formula}, \text{formula-rec-case}(a, b, c, d, h))) \cdot p$
apply (simp add: formula-rec-case-def)
apply (induct-tac p)

Base case for *Member*

apply (subst transrec, simp add: formula.intros)

Base case for *Equal*

apply (subst transrec, simp add: formula.intros)

Inductive step for *Nand*

apply (subst transrec)
apply (simp add: succ-Un-distrib formula.intros)

Inductive step for *Forall*

apply (subst transrec)
apply (simp add: formula-imp-formula-N formula.intros)
done

6.11.1 Absoluteness for the Formula Operator *depth*

definition

is-depth :: $[i ==> o, i, i] ==> o$ **where**

```

is-depth(M,p,n) ==
   $\exists sn[M]. \exists formula-n[M]. \exists formula-sn[M].$ 
     $is-formula-N(M,n,formula-n) \& p \notin formula-n \&$ 
     $successor(M,n,sn) \& is-formula-N(M,sn,formula-sn) \& p \in formula-sn$ 

```

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) depth-abs [simp]:
  [|  $p \in formula; n \in nat|] ==> is-depth(M,p,n) \longleftrightarrow n = depth(p)
apply (subgoal-tac M(p) \& M(n))
prefer 2 apply (blast dest: transM)
apply (simp add: is-depth-def)
apply (blast intro: formula-imp-formula-N nat-into-Ord formula-N-imp-eq-depth
  dest: formula-N-imp-depth-lt)
done$ 
```

Proof is trivial since *depth* returns natural numbers.

```

lemma (in M-trivial) depth-closed [intro,simp]:
   $p \in formula ==> M(depth(p))$ 
by (simp add: nat-into-M)

```

6.11.2 *is-formula-case*: relativization of *formula-case*

definition

```

is-formula-case ::

  [ $i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, [i,i]=>o, i, i] => o$  where
  — no constraint on non-formulas
  is-formula-case(M, is-a, is-b, is-c, is-d, p, z) ==
    ( $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. finite-ordinal(M,x) \longrightarrow finite-ordinal(M,y) \longrightarrow$ 
     is-Member(M,x,y,p)  $\longrightarrow is-a(x,y,z)) \&$ 
    ( $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. finite-ordinal(M,x) \longrightarrow finite-ordinal(M,y) \longrightarrow$ 
     is-Equal(M,x,y,p)  $\longrightarrow is-b(x,y,z)) \&$ 
    ( $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. mem-formula(M,x) \longrightarrow mem-formula(M,y) \longrightarrow$ 
     is-Nand(M,x,y,p)  $\longrightarrow is-c(x,y,z)) \&$ 
    ( $\forall x[M]. mem-formula(M,x) \longrightarrow is-Forall(M,x,p) \longrightarrow is-d(x,z))$ 

```

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) formula-case-abs [simp]:
  [| Relation2(M,nat,nat,is-a,a); Relation2(M,nat,nat,is-b,b);
     Relation2(M,formula,formula,is-c,c); Relation1(M,formula,is-d,d);
      $p \in formula; M(z) |]$ 
  ==> is-formula-case(M, is-a, is-b, is-c, is-d, p, z)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
     $z = formula-case(a,b,c,d,p)$ 
apply (simp add: formula-into-M is-formula-case-def)
apply (erule formula.cases)
  apply (simp-all add: Relation1-def Relation2-def)
done

```

```

lemma (in M-datatypes) formula-case-closed [intro,simp]:
  [|  $p \in formula;$ 
      $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. x \in nat \longrightarrow y \in nat \longrightarrow M(a(x,y));$ 
  ]]

```

```

 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. x \in \text{nat} \longrightarrow y \in \text{nat} \longrightarrow M(b(x,y));$ 
 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. x \in \text{formula} \longrightarrow y \in \text{formula} \longrightarrow M(c(x,y));$ 
 $\forall x[M]. x \in \text{formula} \longrightarrow M(d(x))[] ==> M(\text{formula-case}(a,b,c,d,p))$ 
by (erule formula.cases, simp-all)

```

6.11.3 Absoluteness for *formula-rec*: Final Results

definition

```

is-formula-rec :: [i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i, i] => o where
  — predicate to relativize the functional formula-rec
  is-formula-rec(M,MH,p,z) ==
     $\exists dp[M]. \exists i[M]. \exists f[M]. \text{finite-ordinal}(M,dp) \& \text{is-depth}(M,p,dp) \&$ 
     $\text{successor}(M,dp,i) \& \text{fun-apply}(M,f,p,z) \& \text{is-transrec}(M,MH,i,f)$ 

```

Sufficient conditions to relativize the instance of *formula-case* in *formula-rec*

lemma (in *M-datatypes*) Relation1-formula-rec-case:

```

[| Relation2(M, nat, nat, is-a, a);
  Relation2(M, nat, nat, is-b, b);
  Relation2(M, formula, formula,
    is-c,  $\lambda u v. c(u, v, h^{\text{'succ}}(\text{depth}(u))'u, h^{\text{'succ}}(\text{depth}(v))'v));$ 
  Relation1(M, formula,
    is-d,  $\lambda u. d(u, h^{\text{'succ}}(\text{depth}(u))'u));$ 
  M(h) [] ==> Relation1(M, formula,
    is-formula-case (M, is-a, is-b, is-c, is-d),
    formula-rec-case(a, b, c, d, h))

```

apply (simp (no-asm) add: formula-rec-case-def Relation1-def)

apply (simp)

done

This locale packages the premises of the following theorems, which is the normal purpose of locales. It doesn't accumulate constraints on the class *M*, as in most of this deveopment.

```

locale Formula-Rec = M-eclose +
  fixes a and is-a and b and is-b and c and is-c and d and is-d and MH
  defines

```

```

MH(u::i,f,z) ==
   $\forall fml[M]. \text{is-formula}(M,fml) \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{is-lambda}$ 
  (M, fml, is-formula-case (M, is-a, is-b, is-c(f), is-d(f)), z)

```

```

assumes a-closed: [|x∈nat; y∈nat|] ==> M(a(x,y))
  and a-rel: Relation2(M, nat, nat, is-a, a)
  and b-closed: [|x∈nat; y∈nat|] ==> M(b(x,y))
  and b-rel: Relation2(M, nat, nat, is-b, b)
  and c-closed: [|x ∈ formula; y ∈ formula; M(gx); M(gy)|]
    ==> M(c(x, y, gx, gy))
  and c-rel:
    M(f) ==>

```

```

Relation2 ( $M$ , formula, formula,  $\text{is-}c(f)$ ,
 $\lambda u v. c(u, v, f \cdot \text{succ}(\text{depth}(u)) \cdot u, f \cdot \text{succ}(\text{depth}(v)) \cdot v))$ )
and  $d$ -closed:  $[|x \in \text{formula}; M(gx)|] ==> M(d(x, gx))$ 
and  $d$ -rel:
 $M(f) ==>$ 
Relation1( $M$ , formula,  $\text{is-}d(f)$ ,  $\lambda u. d(u, f \cdot \text{succ}(\text{depth}(u)) \cdot u))$ )
and fr-replace:  $n \in \text{nat} ==> \text{transrec-replacement}(M, MH, n)$ 
and fr-lam-replace:
 $M(g) ==>$ 
strong-replacement
 $(M, \lambda x y. x \in \text{formula} \&$ 
 $y = \langle x, \text{formula-rec-case}(a, b, c, d, g, x) \rangle)$ 

```

lemma (in Formula-Rec) formula-rec-case-closed:
 $[|M(g); p \in \text{formula}|] ==> M(\text{formula-rec-case}(a, b, c, d, g, p))$
by (simp add: formula-rec-case-def a-closed b-closed c-closed d-closed)

lemma (in Formula-Rec) formula-rec-lam-closed:
 $M(g) ==> M(\text{Lambda}(\text{formula}, \text{formula-rec-case}(a, b, c, d, g)))$
by (simp add: lam-closed2 fr-lam-replace formula-rec-case-closed)

lemma (in Formula-Rec) MH-rel2:
relation2 (M , MH ,
 $\lambda x h. \text{Lambda}(\text{formula}, \text{formula-rec-case}(a, b, c, d, h)))$
apply (simp add: relation2-def MH-def, clarify)
apply (rule lambda-abs2)
apply (rule Relation1-formula-rec-case)
apply (simp-all add: a-rel b-rel c-rel d-rel formula-rec-case-closed)
done

lemma (in Formula-Rec) fr-transrec-closed:
 $n \in \text{nat}$
 $==> M(\text{transrec}$
 $(n, \lambda x h. \text{Lambda}(\text{formula}, \text{formula-rec-case}(a, b, c, d, h))))$
by (simp add: transrec-closed [OF fr-replace MH-rel2]
nat-into-M formula-rec-lam-closed)

The main two results: formula-rec is absolute for M .

theorem (in Formula-Rec) formula-rec-closed:
 $p \in \text{formula} ==> M(\text{formula-rec}(a, b, c, d, p))$
by (simp add: formula-rec-eq fr-transrec-closed
transM [OF - formula-closed])

theorem (in Formula-Rec) formula-rec-abs:
 $[| p \in \text{formula}; M(z)|]$
 $==> \text{is-formula-rec}(M, MH, p, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{formula-rec}(a, b, c, d, p)$
by (simp add: is-formula-rec-def formula-rec-eq transM [OF - formula-closed]
transrec-abs [OF fr-replace MH-rel2] depth-type
fr-transrec-closed formula-rec-lam-closed eq-commute)

```
end
```

```
theory Internalizations
imports
  ~~~/src/ZF/Constructible/Formula
  Relative Datatype-absolute
begin
```

6.12 Internalized Formulas for some Set-Theoretic Concepts

6.12.1 Some numbers to help write de Bruijn indices

```
abbreviation
```

```
digit3 :: i (3) where 3 == succ(2)
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
digit4 :: i (4) where 4 == succ(3)
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
digit5 :: i (5) where 5 == succ(4)
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
digit6 :: i (6) where 6 == succ(5)
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
digit7 :: i (7) where 7 == succ(6)
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
digit8 :: i (8) where 8 == succ(7)
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
digit9 :: i (9) where 9 == succ(8)
```

6.12.2 The Empty Set, Internalized

```
definition
```

```
empty-fm :: i=>i where
```

```
empty-fm(x) == Forall(Neg(Member(0,succ(x))))
```

```
lemma empty-type [TC]:
```

```
  x ∈ nat ==> empty-fm(x) ∈ formula
```

```
by (simp add: empty-fm-def)
```

```
lemma sats-empty-fm [simp]:
```

```
  [| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
```

```
  ==> sats(A, empty-fm(x), env) ←→ empty(#A, nth(x,env))
```

```
by (simp add: empty-fm-def empty-def)
```

```

lemma empty-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> empty(#A, x) ↔ sats(A, empty-fm(i), env)
by simp

```

Not used. But maybe useful?

```

lemma Transset-sats-empty-fm-eq-0:
  [| n ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A); Transset(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, empty-fm(n), env) ↔ nth(n,env) = 0
apply (simp add: empty-fm-def empty-def Transset-def, auto)
apply (case-tac n < length(env))
apply (frule nth-type, assumption+, blast)
apply (simp-all add: not-lt-iff-le nth-eq-0)
done

```

6.12.3 Unordered Pairs, Internalized

definition

```

upair-fm :: [i,i,i] => i where
  upair-fm(x,y,z) ==
    And(Member(x,z),
        And(Member(y,z),
            Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(z)),
                           Or(Equal(0,succ(x)), Equal(0,succ(y)))))))

```

```

lemma upair-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> upair-fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: upair-fm-def)

```

```

lemma sats-upair-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, upair-fm(x,y,z), env) ↔
    upair(#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: upair-fm-def upair-def)

```

```

lemma upair-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> upair(#A, x, y, z) ↔ sats(A, upair-fm(i,j,k), env)
by (simp)

```

Useful? At least it refers to "real" unordered pairs

```

lemma sats-upair-fm2 [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z < length(env); env ∈ list(A); Transset(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, upair-fm(x,y,z), env) ↔
    nth(z,env) = {nth(x,env), nth(y,env)}
apply (frule lt-length-in-nat, assumption)

```

```

apply (simp add: upair-fm-def Transset-def, auto)
apply (blast intro: nth-type)
done

```

6.12.4 Ordered pairs, Internalized

definition

```

pair-fm :: [i,i,i]=>i where
  pair-fm(x,y,z) ==
    Exists(And(upair-fm(succ(x),succ(x),0),
      Exists(And(upair-fm(succ(succ(x)),succ(succ(y)),0),
        upair-fm(1,0,succ(succ(z)))))))

```

lemma pair-type [TC]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> pair-fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: pair-fm-def)

```

lemma sats-pair-fm [simp]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> sats(A, pair-fm(x,y,z), env) ←→
  pair(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: pair-fm-def pair-def)

```

lemma pair-iff-sats:

```

[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
   i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> pair(##A, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, pair-fm(i,j,k), env)
by simp

```

6.12.5 Binary Unions, Internalized

definition

```

union-fm :: [i,i,i]=>i where
  union-fm(x,y,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      Or(Member(0,succ(x)),Member(0,succ(y)))))

```

lemma union-type [TC]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> union-fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: union-fm-def)

```

lemma sats-union-fm [simp]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> sats(A, union-fm(x,y,z), env) ←→
  union(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: union-fm-def union-def)

```

lemma union-iff-sats:

```

[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
   i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]

```

$\implies \text{union}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{union-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})$
by (*simp*)

6.12.6 Set “Cons,” Internalized

definition

cons-fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{cons-fm}(x,y,z) ==$
 $\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{upair-fm}(\text{succ}(x),\text{succ}(x),0),$
 $\text{union-fm}(0,\text{succ}(y),\text{succ}(z))))$

lemma *cons-type* [*TC*]:

$\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \| \implies \text{cons-fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: cons-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-cons-fm* [*simp*]:

$\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{cons-fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is-cons}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))$
by (*simp add: cons-fm-def is-cons-def*)

lemma *cons-iff-sats*:

$\| \text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y; \text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = z;$
 $i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|$
 $\implies \text{is-cons}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{cons-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.12.7 Successor Function, Internalized

definition

succ-fm :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{succ-fm}(x,y) == \text{cons-fm}(x,x,y)$

lemma *succ-type* [*TC*]:

$\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \| \implies \text{succ-fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: succ-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-succ-fm* [*simp*]:

$\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{succ-fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{successor}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}))$
by (*simp add: succ-fm-def successor-def*)

lemma *successor-iff-sats*:

$\| \text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y;$
 $i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|$
 $\implies \text{successor}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{succ-fm}(i,j), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.12.8 The Number 1, Internalized

definition

number1-fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{number1-fm}(a) == \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{empty-fm}(0), \text{succ-fm}(0, \text{succ}(a))))$

lemma *number1-type* [*TC*]:
 $x \in \text{nat} ==> \text{number1-fm}(x) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: number1-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-number1-fm* [*simp*]:
 $\text{[] } x \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \text{[]}$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{number1-fm}(x), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{number1}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}))$
by (*simp add: number1-fm-def number1-def*)

lemma *number1-iff-sats*:
 $\text{[] } \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y;$
 $i \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \text{[]}$
 $\implies \text{number1}(\#\#A, x) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{number1-fm}(i), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.12.9 Big Union, Internalized

definition

big-union-fm :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{big-union-fm}(A,z) ==$
 $\text{Forall}(\text{Iff}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(z)),$
 $\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(\text{succ}(A))), \text{Member}(1, 0)))))$

lemma *big-union-type* [*TC*]:
 $\text{[] } x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \text{[]} ==> \text{big-union-fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: big-union-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-big-union-fm* [*simp*]:
 $\text{[] } x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \text{[]}$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{big-union-fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{big-union}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
by (*simp add: big-union-fm-def big-union-def*)

lemma *big-union-iff-sats*:
 $\text{[] } \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y;$
 $i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \text{[]}$
 $\implies \text{big-union}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{big-union-fm}(i,j), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.12.10 Variants of Satisfaction Definitions for Ordinals, etc.

The *sats* theorems below are standard versions of the ones proved in theory *Formula*. They relate elements of type *formula* to relativized concepts such as *subset* or *ordinal* rather than to real concepts such as *Ord*. Now that

we have instantiated the locale $M\text{-trivial}$, we no longer require the earlier versions.

```

lemma sats-subset-fm':
  [|x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, subset-fm(x,y), env) ←→ subset(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))
by (simp add: subset-fm-def Relative.subset-def)

lemma sats-transset-fm':
  [|x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, transset-fm(x), env) ←→ transitive-set(##A, nth(x,env))
by (simp add: sats-subset-fm' transset-fm-def transitive-set-def)

lemma sats-ordinal-fm':
  [|x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, ordinal-fm(x), env) ←→ ordinal(##A, nth(x,env))
by (simp add: sats-transset-fm' ordinal-fm-def ordinal-def)

lemma ordinal-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> ordinal(##A, x) ←→ sats(A, ordinal-fm(i), env)
by (simp add: sats-ordinal-fm')

```

6.12.11 Membership Relation, Internalized

definition

```

Memrel-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
  Memrel-fm(A,r) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(r)),
      Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(A))),
        Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(succ(A))))),
          And(Member(1,0),
            pair-fm(1,0,2)))))))

```

```

lemma Memrel-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> Memrel-fm(x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: Memrel-fm-def)

```

```

lemma sats-Memrel-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Memrel-fm(x,y), env) ←→
    membership(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))
by (simp add: Memrel-fm-def membership-def)

```

```

lemma Memrel-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> membership(##A, x, y) ←→ sats(A, Memrel-fm(i,j), env)
by simp

```

6.12.12 Predecessor Set, Internalized

definition

```

pred-set-fm :: [i,i,i,i]=>i where
pred-set-fm(A,x,r,B) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(B)),
    Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
      And(Member(1,succ(succ(A))),
        pair-fm(1,succ(succ(x)),0)))))))

```

lemma *pred-set-type* [TC]:

```

[| A ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; r ∈ nat; B ∈ nat |]
==> pred-set-fm(A,x,r,B) ∈ formula
by (simp add: pred-set-fm-def)

```

lemma *sats-pred-set-fm* [simp]:

```

[| U ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; r ∈ nat; B ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> sats(A, pred-set-fm(U,x,r,B), env) ←→
  pred-set(##A, nth(U,env), nth(x,env), nth(r,env), nth(B,env))
by (simp add: pred-set-fm-def pred-set-def)

```

lemma *pred-set-iff-sats*:

```

[| nth(i,env) = U; nth(j,env) = x; nth(k,env) = r; nth(l,env) = B;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; l ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> pred-set(##A, U,x,r,B) ←→ sats(A, pred-set-fm(i,j,k,l), env)
by (simp)

```

6.12.13 Domain of a Relation, Internalized

definition

```

domain-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
domain-fm(r,z) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
    Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
      Exists(pair-fm(2,0,1))))))

```

lemma *domain-type* [TC]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> domain-fm(x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: domain-fm-def)

```

lemma *sats-domain-fm* [simp]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> sats(A, domain-fm(x,y), env) ←→
  is-domain(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))
by (simp add: domain-fm-def is-domain-def)

```

lemma *domain-iff-sats*:

```

[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]

```

$\implies \text{is-domain}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{domain-fm}(i,j), \text{env})$
by simp

6.12.14 Range of a Relation, Internalized

definition

$\text{range-fm} :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $\text{range-fm}(r,z) ==$
 $\quad \text{Forall}(\text{Iff}(\text{Member}(0,\text{succ}(z)),$
 $\quad \quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0,\text{succ}(\text{succ}(r))),$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{Exists}(\text{pair-fm}(0,2,1))))))$

lemma $\text{range-type} [\text{TC}]$:
 $\quad [| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} |] \implies \text{range-fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: range-fm-def*)

lemma $\text{sats-range-fm} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\quad [| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) |]$
 $\quad \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{range-fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\quad \quad \text{is-range}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}))$
by (*simp add: range-fm-def is-range-def*)

lemma range-iff-sats :
 $\quad [| \text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y;$
 $\quad \quad i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) |]$
 $\quad \implies \text{is-range}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{range-fm}(i,j), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.12.15 Field of a Relation, Internalized

definition

$\text{field-fm} :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $\text{field-fm}(r,z) ==$
 $\quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{domain-fm}(\text{succ}(r),0),$
 $\quad \quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{range-fm}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(r)),0),$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{union-fm}(1,0,\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)))))))$

lemma $\text{field-type} [\text{TC}]$:
 $\quad [| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} |] \implies \text{field-fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: field-fm-def*)

lemma $\text{sats-field-fm} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\quad [| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) |]$
 $\quad \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{field-fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\quad \quad \text{is-field}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}))$
by (*simp add: field-fm-def is-field-def*)

lemma field-iff-sats :
 $\quad [| \text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y;$
 $\quad \quad i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) |]$

$\implies \text{is-field}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{field-fm}(i,j), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.12.16 Image under a Relation, Internalized

definition

```
image-fm :: [i,i,i] => i where
  image-fm(r,A,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
        Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(succ(A))))),
          pair-fm(0,2,1)))))))
```

lemma *image-type* [*TC*]:

$\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \| \implies \text{image-fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: image-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-image-fm* [*simp*]:

```
 \| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|
  \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{image-fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow
    \text{image}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))
  by (simp add: image-fm-def Relative.image-def)
```

lemma *image-iff-sats*:

```
 \| nth(i,\text{env}) = x; nth(j,\text{env}) = y; nth(k,\text{env}) = z;
   i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|
  \implies \text{image}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{image-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})
  by (simp)
```

6.12.17 Pre-Image under a Relation, Internalized

definition

```
pre-image-fm :: [i,i,i] => i where
  pre-image-fm(r,A,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
        Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(succ(A))))),
          pair-fm(2,0,1)))))))
```

lemma *pre-image-type* [*TC*]:

$\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \| \implies \text{pre-image-fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: pre-image-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-pre-image-fm* [*simp*]:

```
 \| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|
  \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{pre-image-fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow
    \text{pre-image}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))
  by (simp add: pre-image-fm-def Relative.pre-image-def)
```

lemma *pre-image-iff-sats*:

```

[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
   i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> pre-image(##A, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, pre-image-fm(i,j,k), env)
by (simp)

```

6.12.18 Function Application, Internalized

definition

```

fun-apply-fm :: [i,i,i]=>i where
  fun-apply-fm(f,x,y) ==
    Exists(Exists(And(upair-fm(succ(succ(x))), succ(succ(x)), 1),
      And(image-fm(succ(succ(f))), 1, 0),
      big-union-fm(0,succ(succ(y))))))

```

lemma *fun-apply-type* [*TC*]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> fun-apply-fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: fun-apply-fm-def)

```

lemma *sats-fun-apply-fm* [*simp*]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> sats(A, fun-apply-fm(x,y,z), env) ←→
  fun-apply(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: fun-apply-fm-def fun-apply-def)

```

lemma *fun-apply-iff-sats*:

```

[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
   i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> fun-apply(##A, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, fun-apply-fm(i,j,k), env)
by simp

```

6.12.19 The Concept of Relation, Internalized

definition

```

relation-fm :: i=>i where
  relation-fm(r) ==
    Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(r)), Exists(Exists(pair-fm(1,0,2)))))

```

lemma *relation-type* [*TC*]:

```

[| x ∈ nat |] ==> relation-fm(x) ∈ formula
by (simp add: relation-fm-def)

```

lemma *sats-relation-fm* [*simp*]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> sats(A, relation-fm(x), env) ←→ is-relation(##A, nth(x,env))
by (simp add: relation-fm-def is-relation-def)

```

lemma *relation-iff-sats*:

```

[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
   i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> is-relation(##A, x) ←→ sats(A, relation-fm(i), env)

```

by *simp*

6.12.20 The Concept of Function, Internalized

definition

```
function-fm ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  function-fm( $r$ ) ==
    Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(
      Implies(pair-fm(4,3,1),
        Implies(pair-fm(4,2,0),
          Implies(Member(1,r#+5),
            Implies(Member(0,r#+5), Equal(3,2))))))))))
```

lemma *function-type* [TC]:

```
[|  $x \in \text{nat}$  |] ==> function-fm( $x$ )  $\in \text{formula}$ 
by (simp add: function-fm-def)
```

lemma *sats-function-fm* [simp]:

```
[|  $x \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$  |]
==> sats( $A$ , function-fm( $x$ ), env)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-function(# $\#A$ , nth( $x$ , env))
by (simp add: function-fm-def is-function-def)
```

lemma *is-function-iff-sats*:

```
[| nth( $i$ , env) =  $x$ ; nth( $j$ , env) =  $y$ ;
    $i \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$  |]
==> is-function(# $\#A$ ,  $x$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats( $A$ , function-fm( $i$ ), env)
```

by *simp*

6.12.21 Typed Functions, Internalized

definition

```
typed-function-fm ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  typed-function-fm( $A,B,r$ ) ==
    And(function-fm( $r$ ),
      And(relation-fm( $r$ ),
        And(domain-fm( $r,A$ ),
          Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ( $r$ )),
            Forall(Forall(Implies(pair-fm(1,0,2), Member(0,B#+3))))))))))
```

lemma *typed-function-type* [TC]:

```
[|  $x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}$  |] ==> typed-function-fm( $x,y,z$ )  $\in \text{formula}$ 
by (simp add: typed-function-def)
```

lemma *sats-typed-function-fm* [simp]:

```
[|  $x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$  |]
==> sats( $A$ , typed-function-fm( $x,y,z$ ), env)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  typed-function(# $\#A$ , nth( $x$ , env), nth( $y$ , env), nth( $z$ , env))
by (simp add: typed-function-fm-def typed-function-def)
```

lemma *typed-function-iff-sats*:

```

[] nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)]
==> typed-function(##A, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, typed-function-fm(i,j,k), env)
by simp

```

```

lemmas function-iff-sats =
empty-iff-sats number1-iff-sats
upair-iff-sats pair-iff-sats union-iff-sats
big-union-iff-sats cons-iff-sats successor-iff-sats
fun-apply-iff-sats Memrel-iff-sats
pred-set-iff-sats domain-iff-sats range-iff-sats field-iff-sats
image-iff-sats pre-image-iff-sats
relation-iff-sats is-function-iff-sats

```

6.12.22 Composition of Relations, Internalized

definition

```

composition-fm :: [i,i,i]=>i where
composition-fm(r,s,t) ==
Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(t)),
Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
And(pair-fm(4,2,5),
And(pair-fm(4,3,1),
And(pair-fm(3,2,0),
And(Member(1,s#+6), Member(0,r#+6)))))))))))

```

lemma composition-type [TC]:

```

[] x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat [] ==> composition-fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: composition-fm-def)

```

lemma sats-composition-fm [simp]:

```

[] x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)]
==> sats(A, composition-fm(x,y,z), env) ←→
composition(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: composition-fm-def composition-def)

```

lemma composition-iff-sats:

```

[] nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)]
==> composition(##A, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, composition-fm(i,j,k), env)
by simp

```

6.12.23 Injections, Internalized

definition

```

injection-fm :: [i,i,i]=>i where
injection-fm(A,B,f) ==
And(typed-function-fm(A,B,f),
Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(
Implies(pair-fm(4,2,1),

```

```


$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Implies}(\text{pair-fm}(3,2,0), \\ & \quad \text{Implies}(\text{Member}(1,f\#+5), \\ & \quad \quad \text{Implies}(\text{Member}(0,f\#+5), \text{Equal}(4,3)))))))))) \end{aligned}$$


```

lemma *injection-type* [*TC*]:

[| $x \in \text{nat}$; $y \in \text{nat}$; $z \in \text{nat}$ |] ==> *injection-fm*(x,y,z) $\in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: injection-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-injection-fm* [*simp*]:

[| $x \in \text{nat}$; $y \in \text{nat}$; $z \in \text{nat}$; $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ |]
==> *sats*(A , *injection-fm*(x,y,z), env) \longleftrightarrow
injection(## A , *nth*(x,env), *nth*(y,env), *nth*(z,env))
by (*simp add: injection-fm-def injection-def*)

lemma *injection-iff-sats*:

[| $\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x$; $\text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y$; $\text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = z$;
 $i \in \text{nat}$; $j \in \text{nat}$; $k \in \text{nat}$; $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ |]
==> *injection*(## A , x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow *sats*(A , *injection-fm*(i,j,k), env)
by *simp*

6.12.24 Surjections, Internalized

definition

surjection-fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
surjection-fm(A,B,f) ==
And(*typed-function-fm*(A,B,f),
Forall(*Implies*(*Member*(0,*succ*(B))),
Exists(*And*(*Member*(0,*succ*(*succ*(A))),
fun-apply-fm(*succ*(*succ*(f)),0,1))))))

lemma *surjection-type* [*TC*]:

[| $x \in \text{nat}$; $y \in \text{nat}$; $z \in \text{nat}$ |] ==> *surjection-fm*(x,y,z) $\in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: surjection-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-surjection-fm* [*simp*]:

[| $x \in \text{nat}$; $y \in \text{nat}$; $z \in \text{nat}$; $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ |]
==> *sats*(A , *surjection-fm*(x,y,z), env) \longleftrightarrow
surjection(## A , *nth*(x,env), *nth*(y,env), *nth*(z,env))
by (*simp add: surjection-fm-def surjection-def*)

lemma *surjection-iff-sats*:

[| $\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x$; $\text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y$; $\text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = z$;
 $i \in \text{nat}$; $j \in \text{nat}$; $k \in \text{nat}$; $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ |]
==> *surjection*(## A , x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow *sats*(A , *surjection-fm*(i,j,k), env)
by *simp*

6.12.25 Bijections, Internalized

definition

bijection-fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{bijection-fm}(A,B,f) == \text{And}(\text{injection-fm}(A,B,f), \text{surjection-fm}(A,B,f))$

lemma *bijection-type* [*TC*]:

$\text{[} x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \text{]} \Rightarrow \text{bijection-fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: bijection-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-bijection-fm* [*simp*]:

$\text{[} x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \text{]} \Rightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{bijection-fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{bijection}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))$
by (*simp add: bijection-fm-def bijection-def*)

lemma *bijection-iff-sats*:

$\text{[} \text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y; \text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = z;$
 $i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \text{]} \Rightarrow \text{bijection}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{bijection-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.12.26 Restriction of a Relation, Internalized

definition

restriction-fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{restriction-fm}(r,A,z) ==$
 $\text{Forall}(\text{Iff}(\text{Member}(0,\text{succ}(z)),$
 $\text{And}(\text{Member}(0,\text{succ}(r)),$
 $\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0,\text{succ}(\text{succ}(A))),$
 $\text{Exists}(\text{pair-fm}(1,0,2))))))$

lemma *restriction-type* [*TC*]:

$\text{[} x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \text{]} \Rightarrow \text{restriction-fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: restriction-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-restriction-fm* [*simp*]:

$\text{[} x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \text{]} \Rightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{restriction-fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{restriction}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))$
by (*simp add: restriction-fm-def restriction-def*)

lemma *restriction-iff-sats*:

$\text{[} \text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y; \text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = z;$
 $i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \text{]} \Rightarrow \text{restriction}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{restriction-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.12.27 Order-Isomorphisms, Internalized

definition

order-isomorphism-fm :: $[i,i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{order-isomorphism-fm}(A,r,B,s,f) ==$

```

And(bijection-fm(A,B,f),
Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(A)),
Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(succ(A))),
Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(
Implies(pair-fm(5,4,3),
Implies(fun-apply-fm(f#+6,5,2),
Implies(fun-apply-fm(f#+6,4,1),
Implies(pair-fm(2,1,0),
Iff(Member(3,r#+6), Member(0,s#+6)))))))))))))))

```

```

lemma order-isomorphism-type [TC]:
[] A ∈ nat; r ∈ nat; B ∈ nat; s ∈ nat; f ∈ nat []
==> order-isomorphism-fm(A,r,B,s,f) ∈ formula
by (simp add: order-isomorphism-fm-def)

lemma sats-order-isomorphism-fm [simp]:
[] U ∈ nat; r ∈ nat; B ∈ nat; s ∈ nat; f ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)[]
==> sats(A, order-isomorphism-fm(U,r,B,s,f), env) ←→
order-isomorphism(##A, nth(U,env), nth(r,env), nth(B,env),
nth(s,env), nth(f,env))
by (simp add: order-isomorphism-fm-def order-isomorphism-def)

lemma order-isomorphism-iff-sats:
[] nth(i,env) = U; nth(j,env) = r; nth(k,env) = B; nth(j',env) = s;
nth(k',env) = f;
i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; j' ∈ nat; k' ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)[]
==> order-isomorphism(##A,U,r,B,s,f) ←→
sats(A, order-isomorphism-fm(i,j,k,j',k'), env)
by simp

```

6.12.28 Limit Ordinals, Internalized

A limit ordinal is a non-empty, successor-closed ordinal

definition

```

limit-ordinal-fm :: i=>i where
limit-ordinal-fm(x) ==
And(ordinal-fm(x),
And(Neg(empty-fm(x)),
Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)),
Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(x))),
succ-fm(1,0)))))))

```

```

lemma limit-ordinal-type [TC]:
x ∈ nat ==> limit-ordinal-fm(x) ∈ formula
by (simp add: limit-ordinal-fm-def)

lemma sats-limit-ordinal-fm [simp]:
[] x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)[]
==> sats(A, limit-ordinal-fm(x), env) ←→ limit-ordinal(##A, nth(x,env))

```

```

by (simp add: limit-ordinal-fm-def limit-ordinal-def sats-ordinal-fm')

lemma limit-ordinal-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> limit-ordinal(##A, x) ←→ sats(A, limit-ordinal-fm(i), env)
by simp

```

6.12.29 Finite Ordinals: The Predicate “Is A Natural Number”

definition

```

finite-ordinal-fm :: i=>i where
  finite-ordinal-fm(x) ==
    And(ordinal-fm(x),
        And(Neg(limit-ordinal-fm(x)),
            Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)),
                           Neg(limit-ordinal-fm(0))))))

```

```

lemma finite-ordinal-type [TC]:
  x ∈ nat ==> finite-ordinal-fm(x) ∈ formula
by (simp add: finite-ordinal-fm-def)

```

```

lemma sats-finite-ordinal-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, finite-ordinal-fm(x), env) ←→ finite-ordinal(##A, nth(x,env))
by (simp add: finite-ordinal-fm-def sats-ordinal-fm' finite-ordinal-def)

```

```

lemma finite-ordinal-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> finite-ordinal(##A, x) ←→ sats(A, finite-ordinal-fm(i), env)
by simp

```

6.12.30 Omega: The Set of Natural Numbers

definition

```

omega-fm :: i=>i where
  omega-fm(x) ==
    And(limit-ordinal-fm(x),
        Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)),
                       Neg(limit-ordinal-fm(0)))))

```

```

lemma omega-type [TC]:
  x ∈ nat ==> omega-fm(x) ∈ formula
by (simp add: omega-fm-def)

```

```

lemma sats-omega-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, omega-fm(x), env) ←→ omega(##A, nth(x,env))
by (simp add: omega-fm-def omega-def)

```

```

lemma omega-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> omega(##A, x) ←→ sats(A, omega-fm(i), env)
by simp

```

```

lemmas fun-plus-iff-sats =
  typed-function-iff-sats composition-iff-sats
  injection-iff-sats surjection-iff-sats
  bijection-iff-sats restriction-iff-sats
  order-isomorphism-iff-sats finite-ordinal-iff-sats
  ordinal-iff-sats limit-ordinal-iff-sats omega-iff-sats

```

6.13 Internalized Forms of Data Structuring Operators

6.13.1 The Formula *is-Inl*, Internalized

definition

```

Inl-fm :: [i,i] => i where
  Inl-fm(a,z) == Exists(And(empty-fm(0), pair-fm(0,succ(a),succ(z))))

```

```

lemma Inl-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> Inl-fm(x,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: Inl-fm-def)

```

```

lemma sats-Inl-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Inl-fm(x,z), env) ←→ is-Inl(##A, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: Inl-fm-def is-Inl-def)

```

```

lemma Inl-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
     i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is-Inl(##A, x, z) ←→ sats(A, Inl-fm(i,k), env)
by simp

```

6.13.2 The Formula *is-Inr*, Internalized

definition

```

Inr-fm :: [i,i] => i where
  Inr-fm(a,z) == Exists(And(number1-fm(0), pair-fm(0,succ(a),succ(z))))

```

```

lemma Inr-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> Inr-fm(x,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: Inr-fm-def)

```

```

lemma sats-Inr-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]

```

$\implies sats(A, Inr-fm(x,z), env) \longleftrightarrow is-Inr(\#A, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))$
by (*simp add: Inr-fm-def is-Inr-def*)

lemma *Inr-iff-sats*:

$\begin{aligned} & [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z; \\ & \quad i \in nat; k \in nat; env \in list(A) |] \\ \implies & is-Inr(\#A, x, z) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, Inr-fm(i,k), env) \end{aligned}$
by *simp*

6.13.3 The Formula *is-Nil*, Internalized

definition

Nil-fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Nil-fm(x) == \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{empty-fm}(0), \text{Inl-fm}(0, \text{succ}(x))))$

lemma *Nil-type* [*TC*]: $x \in nat \implies Nil-fm(x) \in formula$
by (*simp add: Nil-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-Nil-fm* [*simp*]:

$\begin{aligned} & [| x \in nat; env \in list(A) |] \\ \implies & sats(A, Nil-fm(x), env) \longleftrightarrow is-Nil(\#A, nth(x,env)) \end{aligned}$
by (*simp add: Nil-fm-def is-Nil-def*)

lemma *Nil-iff-sats*:

$\begin{aligned} & [| nth(i,env) = x; i \in nat; env \in list(A) |] \\ \implies & is-Nil(\#A, x) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, Nil-fm(i), env) \end{aligned}$
by *simp*

6.13.4 The Formula *is-Cons*, Internalized

definition

Cons-fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Cons-fm(a,l,Z) == \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair-fm}(\text{succ}(a), \text{succ}(l), 0), \text{Inr-fm}(0, \text{succ}(Z))))$

lemma *Cons-type* [*TC*]:

$[| x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat |] \implies Cons-fm(x,y,z) \in formula$
by (*simp add: Cons-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-Cons-fm* [*simp*]:

$\begin{aligned} & [| x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat; env \in list(A) |] \\ \implies & sats(A, Cons-fm(x,y,z), env) \longleftrightarrow \\ & \quad is-Cons(\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env)) \end{aligned}$
by (*simp add: Cons-fm-def is-Cons-def*)

lemma *Cons-iff-sats*:

$\begin{aligned} & [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z; \\ & \quad i \in nat; j \in nat; k \in nat; env \in list(A) |] \\ \implies & is-Cons(\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, Cons-fm(i,j,k), env) \end{aligned}$
by *simp*

6.13.5 The Formula *is-quasilist*, Internalized

definition

```
quasilist-fm :: i=>i where
  quasilist-fm(x) ==
    Or(Nil-fm(x), Exists(Exists(Exists(Cons-fm(1,0,succ(succ(x)))))))
```

lemma *quasilist-type* [TC]: $x \in \text{nat} \implies \text{quasilist-fm}(x) \in \text{formula}$
by (simp add: *quasilist-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-quasilist-fm* [simp]:
 $\| x \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \| \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{quasilist-fm}(x), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-quasilist}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}))$
by (simp add: *quasilist-fm-def is-quasilist-def*)

lemma *quasilist-iff-sats*:
 $\| \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; i \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \| \implies \text{is-quasilist}(\#\# A, x) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{quasilist-fm}(i), \text{env})$
by simp

6.14 Absoluteness for the Function *nth*

6.14.1 The Formula *is-hd*, Internalized

definition

```
hd-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
  hd-fm(xs,H) ==
    And(Implies(Nil-fm(xs), empty-fm(H)),
        And(Forall(Forall(Or(Neg(Cons-fm(1,0,xs#+2)), Equal(H#+2,1))), Or(quasilist-fm(xs), empty-fm(H)))))
```

lemma *hd-type* [TC]:
 $\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \| \implies \text{hd-fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$
by (simp add: *hd-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-hd-fm* [simp]:
 $\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \| \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{hd-fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-hd}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
by (simp add: *hd-fm-def is-hd-def*)

lemma *hd-iff-sats*:
 $\| \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \| \implies \text{is-hd}(\#\# A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{hd-fm}(i,j), \text{env})$
by simp

6.14.2 The Formula *is-tl*, Internalized

definition

```
tl-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
```

```

tl-fm(xs,T) ==
  And(Implies(Nil-fm(xs), Equal(T,xs)),
    And(Forall(Forall(Or(Neg(Cons-fm(1,0,xs#+2)), Equal(T#+2,0)))),
      Or(quasilist-fm(xs), empty-fm(T))))

```

lemma *tl-type* [*TC*]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> tl-fm(x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: tl-fm-def)

```

lemma *sats-tl-fm* [simp]:

```

[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> sats(A, tl-fm(x,y), env) ←→ is-tl(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))
by (simp add: tl-fm-def is-tl-def)

```

lemma *tl-iff-sats*:

```

[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
   i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> is-tl(##A, x, y) ←→ sats(A, tl-fm(i,j), env)
by simp

```

6.14.3 The Operator *is-bool-of-o*

The formula *p* has no free variables.

definition

```

bool-of-o-fm :: [i, i] => i where
bool-of-o-fm(p,z) ==
  Or(And(p,number1-fm(z)),
    And(Neg(p),empty-fm(z)))

```

lemma *is-bool-of-o-type* [*TC*]:

```

[| p ∈ formula; z ∈ nat |] ==> bool-of-o-fm(p,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: bool-of-o-fm-def)

```

lemma *sats-bool-of-o-fm*:

```

assumes p-iff-sats: P ←→ sats(A, p, env)
shows

```

```

[| z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> sats(A, bool-of-o-fm(p,z), env) ←→
  is-bool-of-o(##A, P, nth(z,env))

```

by (simp add: bool-of-o-fm-def is-bool-of-o-def p-iff-sats [THEN iff-sym])

lemma *is-bool-of-o-iff-sats*:

```

[| P ←→ sats(A, p, env); nth(k,env) = z; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
==> is-bool-of-o(##A, P, z) ←→ sats(A, bool-of-o-fm(p,k), env)
by (simp add: sats-bool-of-o-fm)

```

6.15 More Internalizations

6.15.1 The Operator *is-lambda*

The two arguments of p are always 1, 0. Remember that p will be enclosed by three quantifiers.

definition

```
lambda-fm :: [i, i, i] => i where
lambda-fm(p,A,z) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
    Exists(Exists(And(Member(1,A#+3),
      And(pair-fm(1,0,2), p))))))
```

We call p with arguments x, y by equating them with the corresponding quantified variables with de Bruijn indices 1, 0.

```
lemma is-lambda-type [TC]:
[] p ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat []
==> lambda-fm(p,x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: lambda-fm-def)
```

```
lemma sats-lambda-fm:
assumes is-b-iff-sats:
!!a0 a1 a2.
[] a0 ∈ A; a1 ∈ A; a2 ∈ A []
==> is-b(a1, a0) ↔ sats(A, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, env))))
shows
[] x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) []
==> sats(A, lambda-fm(p,x,y), env) ↔
  is-lambda(##A, nth(x,env), is-b, nth(y,env))
by (simp add: lambda-fm-def is-lambda-def is-b-iff-sats [THEN iff-sym])
```

6.15.2 The Operator *is-Member*, Internalized

definition

```
Member-fm :: [i,i,i] => i where
Member-fm(x,y,Z) ==
  Exists(Exists(And(pair-fm(x#+2,y#+2,1),
    And(Inl-fm(1,0), Inl-fm(0,Z#+2)))))
```

```
lemma is-Member-type [TC]:
[] x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat [] ==> Member-fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: Member-fm-def)
```

```
lemma sats-Member-fm [simp]:
[] x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) []
==> sats(A, Member-fm(x,y,z), env) ↔
  is-Member(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: Member-fm-def is-Member-def)
```

lemma Member-iff-sats:
 $\| nth(i, env) = x; nth(j, env) = y; nth(k, env) = z;$
 $i \in nat; j \in nat; k \in nat; env \in list(A)\|$
 $\implies is-Member(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, Member-fm(i,j,k), env)$
by (simp add: sats-Member-fm)

6.15.3 The Operator *is-Equal*, Internalized

definition

Equal-fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Equal-fm(x,y,Z) ==$
 $Exists(Exists(And(pair-fm(x\#+2,y\#+2,1),$
 $And(Inr-fm(1,0), Inl-fm(0,Z\#+2))))))$

lemma *is-Equal-type* [TC]:
 $\| x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat \| \implies Equal-fm(x,y,z) \in formula$
by (simp add: Equal-fm-def)

lemma *sats-Equal-fm* [simp]:
 $\| x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat; env \in list(A)\|$
 $\implies sats(A, Equal-fm(x,y,z), env) \longleftrightarrow$
 $is-Equal(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))$
by (simp add: Equal-fm-def is-Equal-def)

lemma *Equal-iff-sats*:
 $\| nth(i, env) = x; nth(j, env) = y; nth(k, env) = z;$
 $i \in nat; j \in nat; k \in nat; env \in list(A)\|$
 $\implies is-Equal(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, Equal-fm(i,j,k), env)$
by (simp add: sats-Equal-fm)

6.15.4 The Operator *is-Nand*, Internalized

definition

Nand-fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Nand-fm(x,y,Z) ==$
 $Exists(Exists(And(pair-fm(x\#+2,y\#+2,1),$
 $And(Inl-fm(1,0), Inr-fm(0,Z\#+2))))))$

lemma *is-Nand-type* [TC]:
 $\| x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat \| \implies Nand-fm(x,y,z) \in formula$
by (simp add: Nand-fm-def)

lemma *sats-Nand-fm* [simp]:
 $\| x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat; env \in list(A)\|$
 $\implies sats(A, Nand-fm(x,y,z), env) \longleftrightarrow$
 $is-Nand(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))$
by (simp add: Nand-fm-def is-Nand-def)

lemma *Nand-iff-sats*:
 $\| nth(i, env) = x; nth(j, env) = y; nth(k, env) = z;$

$i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)[]$
 $\implies \text{is-Nand}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Nand-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})$
by (*simp add: sats-Nand-fm*)

6.15.5 The Operator *is-Forall*, Internalized

definition

Forall-fm :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{Forall-fm}(x, Z) ==$
 $\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Inr-fm}(\text{succ}(x), 0), \text{Inr-fm}(0, \text{succ}(Z))))$

lemma *is-Forall-type* [*TC*]:
 $[\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \|] \implies \text{Forall-fm}(x, y) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: Forall-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-Forall-fm* [*simp*]:
 $[\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|]$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{Forall-fm}(x, y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is-Forall}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
by (*simp add: Forall-fm-def is-Forall-def*)

lemma *Forall-iff-sats*:
 $[\| \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y;$
 $i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|]$
 $\implies \text{is-Forall}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Forall-fm}(i, j), \text{env})$
by (*simp add: sats-Forall-fm*)

6.15.6 The Operator *is-and*, Internalized

definition

and-fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{and-fm}(a, b, z) ==$
 $\text{Or}(\text{And}(\text{number1-fm}(a), \text{Equal}(z, b)),$
 $\text{And}(\text{Neg}(\text{number1-fm}(a)), \text{empty-fm}(z)))$

lemma *is-and-type* [*TC*]:
 $[\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \|] \implies \text{and-fm}(x, y, z) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: and-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-and-fm* [*simp*]:
 $[\| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|]$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{and-fm}(x, y, z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is-and}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$
by (*simp add: and-fm-def is-and-def*)

lemma *is-and-iff-sats*:
 $[\| \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; \text{nth}(k, \text{env}) = z;$
 $i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|]$
 $\implies \text{is-and}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{and-fm}(i, j, k), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.15.7 The Operator *is-or*, Internalized

definition

```
or-fm :: [i,i,i]=>i where
  or-fm(a,b,z) ==
    Or(And(number1-fm(a), number1-fm(z)),
      And(Neg(number1-fm(a)), Equal(z,b)))
```

lemma *is-or-type* [*TC*]:

```
|| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat || ==> or-fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: or-fm-def)
```

lemma *sats-or-fm* [*simp*]:

```
|| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) ||
==> sats(A, or-fm(x,y,z), env) ←→
  is-or(#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: or-fm-def is-or-def)
```

lemma *is-or-iff-sats*:

```
|| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) ||
==> is-or(#A, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, or-fm(i,j,k), env)
by simp
```

6.15.8 The Operator *is-not*, Internalized

definition

```
not-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
  not-fm(a,z) ==
    Or(And(number1-fm(a), empty-fm(z)),
      And(Neg(number1-fm(a)), number1-fm(z)))
```

lemma *is-not-type* [*TC*]:

```
|| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat || ==> not-fm(x,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: not-fm-def)
```

lemma *sats-is-not-fm* [*simp*]:

```
|| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) ||
==> sats(A, not-fm(x,z), env) ←→ is-not(#A, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: not-fm-def is-not-def)
```

lemma *is-not-iff-sats*:

```
|| nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) ||
==> is-not(#A, x, z) ←→ sats(A, not-fm(i,k), env)
by simp
```

6.16 Well-Founded Recursion!

6.16.1 The Operator $M\text{-}is\text{-}recfun$

Alternative definition, minimizing nesting of quantifiers around MH

lemma $M\text{-}is\text{-}recfun\text{-}iff$:

$$\begin{aligned} M\text{-}is\text{-}recfun(M, MH, r, a, f) \longleftrightarrow & \\ (\forall z[M]. z \in f \longleftrightarrow & \\ (\exists x[M]. \exists f\text{-}r\text{-}sx[M]. \exists y[M]. & \\ MH(x, f\text{-}r\text{-}sx, y) \& pair(M, x, y, z) \& \\ (\exists xa[M]. \exists sx[M]. \exists r\text{-}sx[M]. & \\ pair(M, x, a, xa) \& upair(M, x, x, sx) \& \\ pre\text{-}image(M, r, sx, r\text{-}sx) \& restriction(M, f, r\text{-}sx, f\text{-}r\text{-}sx) \& \\ xa \in r))) & \end{aligned}$$

apply (simp add: $M\text{-}is\text{-}recfun\text{-}def$)

apply (rule rall-cong, blast)

done

The three arguments of p are always 2, 1, 0 and z

definition

$is\text{-}recfun\text{-}fm :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$is\text{-}recfun\text{-}fm(p, r, a, f) ==$

$\text{Forall}(\text{Iff}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(f)),$

$\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}($

$\text{And}(p,$

$\text{And}(\text{pair}\text{-}fm(2, 0, 3),$

$\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}($

$\text{And}(\text{pair}\text{-}fm(5, a\#\# 7, 2),$

$\text{And}(\text{upair}\text{-}fm(5, 5, 1),$

$\text{And}(\text{pre}\text{-}image}\text{-}fm(r\#\# 7, 1, 0),$

$\text{And}(\text{restriction}\text{-}fm(f\#\# 7, 0, 4), \text{Member}(2, r\#\# 7))))))))))))))$

lemma $is\text{-}recfun\text{-}type$ [TC]:

$[\mid p \in formula; x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat \mid]$

$\implies is\text{-}recfun\text{-}fm(p, x, y, z) \in formula$

by (simp add: $is\text{-}recfun\text{-}fm\text{-}def$)

lemma $sats\text{-}is\text{-}recfun\text{-}fm$:

assumes $MH\text{-}iff\text{-}sats$:

$!!a0\ a1\ a2\ a3.$

$[\mid a0 \in A; a1 \in A; a2 \in A; a3 \in A \mid]$

$\implies MH(a2, a1, a0) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3, env))))))$

shows

$[\mid x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat; env \in list(A) \mid]$

$\implies sats(A, is\text{-}recfun\text{-}fm(p, x, y, z), env) \longleftrightarrow$

$M\text{-}is\text{-}recfun(\#\#A, MH, nth(x, env), nth(y, env), nth(z, env))$

by (simp add: $is\text{-}recfun\text{-}fm\text{-}def$ $M\text{-}is\text{-}recfun\text{-}iff$ $MH\text{-}iff\text{-}sats$ [THEN iff-sym])

```

lemma is-recfun-iff-sats:
  assumes MH-iff-sats:
    !!a0 a1 a2 a3.
    [| a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A|]
    ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) ←→ sats(A, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3, env))))))
  shows
    [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
       i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> M-is-recfun(##A, MH, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, is-recfun-fm(p,i,j,k), env)
  by (simp add: sats-is-recfun-fm [OF MH-iff-sats])

```

The additional variable in the premise, namely f' , is essential. It lets MH depend upon x , which seems often necessary. The same thing occurs in *is-wfrec-reflection*.

6.16.2 The Operator *is-wfrec*

The three arguments of p are always 2, 1, 0; p is enclosed by 5 quantifiers.

definition

```

is-wfrec-fm :: [i, i, i, i] => i where
is-wfrec-fm(p,r,a,z) ==
  Exists(And(is-recfun-fm(p, succ(r), succ(a), 0),
  Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
    And(Equal(2,a#+5), And(Equal(1,4), And(Equal(0,z#+5), p))))))))

```

We call p with arguments a, f, z by equating them with the corresponding quantified variables with de Bruijn indices 2, 1, 0.

There's an additional existential quantifier to ensure that the environments in both calls to MH have the same length.

lemma is-wfrec-type [TC]:

```

[| p ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
==> is-wfrec-fm(p,x,y,z) ∈ formula
  by (simp add: is-wfrec-fm-def)

```

lemma sats-is-wfrec-fm:

```

assumes MH-iff-sats:
  !!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4.
  [| a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A|]
  ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) ←→ sats(A, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3, Cons(a4, env))))))
  shows
    [| x ∈ nat; y < length(env); z < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> sats(A, is-wfrec-fm(p,x,y,z), env) ←→
      is-wfrec(##A, MH, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
  apply (frule-tac x=z in lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
  apply (frule lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
  apply (simp add: is-wfrec-fm-def sats-is-recfun-fm is-wfrec-def MH-iff-sats [THEN iff-sym], blast)

```

done

```

lemma is-wfrec-iff-sats:
  assumes MH-iff-sats:
    !!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4.
    [| a0 ∈ A; a1 ∈ A; a2 ∈ A; a3 ∈ A; a4 ∈ A |]
    ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) ←→ sats(A, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3, Cons(a4, env)))))))
  shows
    [| nth(i, env) = x; nth(j, env) = y; nth(k, env) = z;
       i ∈ nat; j < length(env); k < length(env); env ∈ list(A) |]
    ==> is-wfrec(##A, MH, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, is-wfrec-fm(p, i, j, k), env)
  by (simp add: sats-is-wfrec-fm [OF MH-iff-sats])

```

6.17 For Datatypes

6.17.1 Binary Products, Internalized

definition

cartprod-fm :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cartprod-fm}(A, B, z) = &= \\ &\text{Forall}(\text{Iff}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(z)), \\ &\quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(\text{succ}(A))), \\ &\quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(B)))), \\ &\quad \text{pair-fm}(1, 0, 2)))))) \end{aligned}$$

```

lemma cartprod-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> cartprod-fm(x, y, z) ∈ formula
  by (simp add: cartprod-fm-def)

```

lemma sats-cartprod-fm [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |] \\ ==> sats(A, \text{cartprod-fm}(x, y, z), env) \longleftrightarrow \\ \text{cartprod}(##A, \text{nth}(x, env), \text{nth}(y, env), \text{nth}(z, env)) \end{aligned}$$

by (simp add: cartprod-fm-def cartprod-def)

lemma cartprod-iff-sats:

$$\begin{aligned} [| \text{nth}(i, env) = x; \text{nth}(j, env) = y; \text{nth}(k, env) = z; \\ i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |] \\ ==> \text{cartprod}(##A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, \text{cartprod-fm}(i, j, k), env) \end{aligned}$$

by (simp add: sats-cartprod-fm)

6.17.2 Binary Sums, Internalized

definition

sum-fm :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sum-fm}(A, B, Z) = &= \\ &\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\\ &\quad \text{And}(\text{number1-fm}(2), \end{aligned}$$

```

And(cartprod-fm(2,A#+4,3),
  And(upair-fm(2,2,1),
    And(cartprod-fm(1,B#+4,0), union-fm(3,0,Z#+4)))))))

```

lemma *sum-type* [*TC*]:

$\boxed{x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}} \implies \text{sum-fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$

by (*simp add: sum-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-sum-fm* [*simp*]:

$\boxed{x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)}$

$\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{sum-fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-sum}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))$

by (*simp add: sum-fm-def is-sum-def*)

lemma *sum-iff-sats*:

$\boxed{\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y; \text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = z;}$

$i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

$\implies \text{is-sum}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{sum-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})$

by *simp*

6.17.3 The Operator *quasinat*

definition

quasinat-fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{quasinat-fm}(z) = \text{Or}(\text{empty-fm}(z), \text{Exists}(\text{succ-fm}(0, \text{succ}(z))))$

lemma *quasinat-type* [*TC*]:

$x \in \text{nat} \implies \text{quasinat-fm}(x) \in \text{formula}$

by (*simp add: quasinat-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-quasinat-fm* [*simp*]:

$\boxed{x \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)}$

$\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{quasinat-fm}(x), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-quasinat}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}))$

by (*simp add: quasinat-fm-def is-quasinat-def*)

lemma *quasinat-iff-sats*:

$\boxed{\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y;}$

$i \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

$\implies \text{is-quasinat}(\#\#A, x) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{quasinat-fm}(i), \text{env})$

by *simp*

6.17.4 The Operator *is-nat-case*

I could not get it to work with the more natural assumption that *is-b* takes two arguments. Instead it must be a formula where 1 and 0 stand for *m* and *b*, respectively.

The formula *is-b* has free variables 1 and 0.

definition

```

is-nat-case-fm :: [i, i, i, i] => i where
is-nat-case-fm(a, is-b, k, z) ==
  And(Implies(empty-fm(k), Equal(z, a)),
       And(Forall(Implies(succ-fm(0, succ(k)),
                           Forall(Implies(Equal(0, succ(succ(z))), is-b))),
                  Or(quasinat-fm(k), empty-fm(z))))))

lemma is-nat-case-type [TC]:
  [| is-b ∈ formula;
     x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
  ==> is-nat-case-fm(x, is-b, y, z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: is-nat-case-fm-def)

lemma sats-is-nat-case-fm:
assumes is-b-iff-sats:
  !!a. a ∈ A ==> is-b(a, nth(z, env)) ↔
      sats(A, p, Cons(nth(z, env), Cons(a, env)))
shows
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z < length(env); env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, is-nat-case-fm(x, p, y, z), env) ↔
      is-nat-case(##A, nth(x, env), is-b, nth(y, env), nth(z, env))
apply (frule lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: is-nat-case-fm-def is-nat-case-def is-b-iff-sats [THEN iff-sym])
done

lemma is-nat-case-iff-sats:
  [| (!!a. a ∈ A ==> is-b(a, z) ↔
        sats(A, p, Cons(z, Cons(a, env))))];
     nth(i, env) = x; nth(j, env) = y; nth(k, env) = z;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k < length(env); env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is-nat-case(##A, x, is-b, y, z) ↔ sats(A, is-nat-case-fm(i, p, j, k), env)
by (simp add: sats-is-nat-case-fm [of A is-b])

```

The second argument of *is-b* gives it direct access to *x*, which is essential for handling free variable references. Without this argument, we cannot prove reflection for *iterates-MH*.

6.18 The Operator *iterates-MH*, Needed for Iteration

definition

```

iterates-MH-fm :: [i, i, i, i, i] => i where
iterates-MH-fm(isF, v, n, g, z) ==
  is-nat-case-fm(v,
    Exists(And(fun-apply-fm(succ(succ(succ(g)))), 2, 0),
            Forall(Implies(Equal(0, 2), isF)))),
    n, z)

```

```

lemma iterates-MH-type [TC]:
  [| p ∈ formula; |

```

```

 $v \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} []$ 
 $\implies \text{iterates-MH-fm}(p, v, x, y, z) \in \text{formula}$ 
by (simp add: iterates-MH-fm-def)

```

lemma *sats-iterates-MH-fm*:

assumes *is-F-iff-sats*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall a b c d. [| a \in A; b \in A; c \in A; d \in A |] \\ & \implies \text{is-F}(a, b) \longleftrightarrow \\ & \quad \text{sats}(A, p, \text{Cons}(b, \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(c, \text{Cons}(d, \text{env}))))) \end{aligned}$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & [| v \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z < \text{length}(\text{env}); \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) |] \\ & \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{iterates-MH-fm}(p, v, x, y, z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \\ & \quad \text{iterates-MH}(\#\#A, \text{is-F}, \text{nth}(v, \text{env}), \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env})) \end{aligned}$$

apply (*frule lt-length-in-nat, assumption*)

apply (*simp add: iterates-MH-fm-def iterates-MH-def sats-is-nat-case-fm is-F-iff-sats [symmetric]*)

apply (*rule is-nat-case-cong*)

apply (*simp-all add: setclass-def*)

done

lemma *iterates-MH-iff-sats*:

assumes *is-F-iff-sats*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall a b c d. [| a \in A; b \in A; c \in A; d \in A |] \\ & \implies \text{is-F}(a, b) \longleftrightarrow \\ & \quad \text{sats}(A, p, \text{Cons}(b, \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(c, \text{Cons}(d, \text{env}))))) \end{aligned}$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \text{nth}(i', \text{env}) = v; \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; \text{nth}(k, \text{env}) = z; \\ & \quad i' \in \text{nat}; i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k < \text{length}(\text{env}); \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) |] \\ & \implies \text{iterates-MH}(\#\#A, \text{is-F}, v, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \\ & \quad \text{sats}(A, \text{iterates-MH-fm}(p, i', i, j, k), \text{env}) \end{aligned}$$

by (*simp add: sats-iterates-MH-fm [OF is-F-iff-sats]*)

The second argument of *p* gives it direct access to *x*, which is essential for handling free variable references. Without this argument, we cannot prove reflection for *list-N*.

6.18.1 The Operator *is-iterates*

The three arguments of *p* are always 2, 1, 0; *p* is enclosed by 9 (??) quantifiers.

definition

```

is-iterates-fm :: [i, i, i, i] => i where
is-iterates-fm(p, v, n, Z) ==
  Exists(Exists(
    And(succ-fm(n#+2, 1),
    And(Memrel-fm(1, 0),
      is-wfrec-fm(iterates-MH-fm(p, v#+7, 2, 1, 0),
      0, n#+2, Z#+2)))))
```

We call p with arguments a, f, z by equating them with the corresponding quantified variables with de Bruijn indices 2, 1, 0.

```

lemma is-iterates-type [TC]:
  [|  $p \in formula; x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat |]
  ==> is-iterates-fm( $p, x, y, z$ )  $\in formula$ 
by (simp add: is-iterates-fm-def)
lemma sats-is-iterates-fm:
assumes is-F-iff-sats:
  !! $a b c d e f g h i j k$ .
    [|  $a \in A; b \in A; c \in A; d \in A; e \in A; f \in A;$ 
      $g \in A; h \in A; i \in A; j \in A; k \in A |]
    ==> is-F( $a, b$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
      sats( $A, p, Cons(b, Cons(a, Cons(c, Cons(d, Cons(e, Cons(f,$ 
       $Cons(g, Cons(h, Cons(i, Cons(j, Cons(k, env)))))))))))$ )
shows
  [|  $x \in nat; y < length(env); z < length(env); env \in list(A) |]
  ==> sats( $A, is-iterates-fm(p, x, y, z), env$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    is-iterates(## $A, is-F, nth(x, env), nth(y, env), nth(z, env))$ 
apply (frule-tac x=z in lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
apply (frule lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: is-iterates-fm-def is-iterates-def sats-is-nat-case-fm
          is-F-iff-sats [symmetric] sats-is-wfrec-fm sats-iterates-MH-fm)
done$$$ 
```

```

lemma is-iterates-iff-sats:
assumes is-F-iff-sats:
  !! $a b c d e f g h i j k$ .
    [|  $a \in A; b \in A; c \in A; d \in A; e \in A; f \in A;$ 
      $g \in A; h \in A; i \in A; j \in A; k \in A |]
    ==> is-F( $a, b$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
      sats( $A, p, Cons(b, Cons(a, Cons(c, Cons(d, Cons(e, Cons(f,$ 
       $Cons(g, Cons(h, Cons(i, Cons(j, Cons(k, env)))))))))))$ )
shows
  [|  $nth(i, env) = x; nth(j, env) = y; nth(k, env) = z;$ 
    $i \in nat; j < length(env); k < length(env); env \in list(A) |]
  ==> is-iterates(## $A, is-F, x, y, z$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    sats( $A, is-iterates-fm(p, i, j, k), env$ )
by (simp add: sats-is-iterates-fm [OF is-F-iff-sats])$$ 
```

The second argument of p gives it direct access to x , which is essential for handling free variable references. Without this argument, we cannot prove reflection for $list\text{-}N$.

6.18.2 The Formula *is-eclose-n*, Internalized

definition

eclose-n-fm :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

eclose-n-fm(A,n,Z) ==> is-iterates-fm(big-union-fm(1,0), A, n, Z)

lemma *eclose-n-fm-type* [TC]:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{eclose-n-fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: eclose-n-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-eclose-n-fm* [simp]:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y < \text{length}(\text{env}); z < \text{length}(\text{env}); \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{eclose-n-fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-eclose-n}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))$
apply (*frule-tac x=z in lt-length-in-nat, assumption*)
apply (*frule-tac x=y in lt-length-in-nat, assumption*)
apply (*simp add: eclose-n-fm-def is-eclose-n-def sats-is-iterates-fm*)
done

lemma *eclose-n-iff-sats*:
 $\llbracket \text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y; \text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = z; i \in \text{nat}; j < \text{length}(\text{env}); k < \text{length}(\text{env}); \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{is-eclose-n}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{eclose-n-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})$
by (*simp add: sats-eclose-n-fm*)

6.18.3 Membership in $\text{eclose}(A)$

definition

mem-eclose-fm :: [i,i] => i where
mem-eclose-fm(x,y) ==
Exists(Exists(
And(finite-ordinal-fm(1),
And(eclose-n-fm(x#+2,1,0), Member(y#+2,0))))

lemma *mem-eclose-type* [TC]:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{mem-eclose-fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: mem-eclose-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-mem-eclose-fm* [simp]:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{mem-eclose-fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem-eclose}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}))$
by (*simp add: mem-eclose-fm-def mem-eclose-def*)

lemma *mem-eclose-iff-sats*:
 $\llbracket \text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y; i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{mem-eclose}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{mem-eclose-fm}(i,j), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.18.4 The Predicate “Is $\text{eclose}(A)$ ”

definition

```

is-eclose-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
  is-eclose-fm(A,Z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(Z)), mem-eclose-fm(succ(A),0)))

lemma is-eclose-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> is-eclose-fm(x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: is-eclose-fm-def)

lemma sats-is-eclose-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, is-eclose-fm(x,y), env) ←→ is-eclose(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))
by (simp add: is-eclose-fm-def is-eclose-def)

lemma is-eclose-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is-eclose(##A, x, y) ←→ sats(A, is-eclose-fm(i,j), env)
by simp

```

6.18.5 The List Functor, Internalized

definition

```

list-functor-fm :: [i,i,i]=>i where

  list-functor-fm(A,X,Z) ==
    Exists(Exists(
      And(number1-fm(1),
           And(cartprod-fm(A#+2,X#+2,0), sum-fm(1,0,Z#+2)))))

lemma list-functor-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> list-functor-fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula
by (simp add: list-functor-fm-def)

lemma sats-list-functor-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, list-functor-fm(x,y,z), env) ←→
    is-list-functor(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))
by (simp add: list-functor-fm-def is-list-functor-def)

lemma list-functor-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is-list-functor(##A, x, y, z) ←→ sats(A, list-functor-fm(i,j,k), env)
by simp

```

6.18.6 The Formula *is-list-N*, Internalized

definition

```

list-N-fm :: [i,i,i]=>i where
  list-N-fm(A,n,Z) ==

```

```

Exists(
  And(empty-fm(0),
    is-iterates-fm(list-functor-fm(A#+9#+3,1,0), 0, n#+1, Z#+1)))

```

lemma *list-N-fm-type* [*TC*]:
 $\boxed{[x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}]} \implies \text{list-N-fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: list-N-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-list-N-fm* [*simp*]:
 $\boxed{[x \in \text{nat}; y < \text{length}(\text{env}); z < \text{length}(\text{env}); \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)]} \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{list-N-fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is-list-N}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))$
apply (*frule-tac x=z in lt-length-in-nat, assumption*)
apply (*frule-tac x=y in lt-length-in-nat, assumption*)
apply (*simp add: list-N-fm-def is-list-N-def sats-is-iterates-fm*)
done

lemma *list-N-iff-sats*:
 $\boxed{[\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y; \text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = z; i \in \text{nat}; j < \text{length}(\text{env}); k < \text{length}(\text{env}); \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)]} \implies \text{is-list-N}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{list-N-fm}(i,j,k), \text{env})$
by (*simp add: sats-list-N-fm*)

6.18.7 The Predicate “Is A List”

definition

```

mem-list-fm ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
mem-list-fm(x,y) ===
  Exists(Exists(
    And(finite-ordinal-fm(1),
      And(list-N-fm(x#+2,1,0), Member(y#+2,0)))))


```

lemma *mem-list-type* [*TC*]:
 $\boxed{[x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}]} \implies \text{mem-list-fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$
by (*simp add: mem-list-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-mem-list-fm* [*simp*]:
 $\boxed{[x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)]} \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{mem-list-fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem-list}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}))$
by (*simp add: mem-list-fm-def mem-list-def*)

lemma *mem-list-iff-sats*:
 $\boxed{[\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = y; i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)]} \implies \text{mem-list}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{mem-list-fm}(i,j), \text{env})$
by *simp*

6.18.8 The Predicate “Is $\text{list}(A)$ ”

definition

```

is-list-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
  is-list-fm(A,Z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(Z)), mem-list-fm(succ(A),0)))

lemma is-list-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> is-list-fm(x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: is-list-fm-def)

lemma sats-is-list-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, is-list-fm(x,y), env) ←→ is-list(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))
by (simp add: is-list-fm-def is-list-def)

lemma is-list-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is-list(##A, x, y) ←→ sats(A, is-list-fm(i,j), env)
by simp

```

6.18.9 The Formula Functor, Internalized

```

definition formula-functor-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
  formula-functor-fm(X,Z) ==
    Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
      And(omega-fm(4),
      And(cartprod-fm(4,4,3),
      And(sum-fm(3,3,2),
      And(cartprod-fm(X#+5,X#+5,1),
      And(sum-fm(1,X#+5,0), sum-fm(2,0,Z#+5))))))))))

lemma formula-functor-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> formula-functor-fm(x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: formula-functor-fm-def)

lemma sats-formula-functor-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, formula-functor-fm(x,y), env) ←→
    is-formula-functor(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))
by (simp add: formula-functor-fm-def is-formula-functor-def)

lemma formula-functor-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is-formula-functor(##A, x, y) ←→ sats(A, formula-functor-fm(i,j), env)
by simp

```

6.18.10 The Formula *is-formula-N*, Internalized

definition

```

formula-N-fm :: [i,i]=>i where
formula-N-fm(n,Z) ==
  Exists(
    And(empty-fm(0),
        is-iterates-fm(formula-functor-fm(1,0), 0, n#+1, Z#+1)))
lemma formula-N-fm-type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> formula-N-fm(x,y) ∈ formula
by (simp add: formula-N-fm-def)

lemma sats-formula-N-fm [simp]:
  [| x < length(env); y < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, formula-N-fm(x,y), env) ←→
    is-formula-N(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))
apply (frule-tac x=y in lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
apply (frule lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: formula-N-fm-def is-formula-N-def sats-is-iterates-fm)
done

lemma formula-N-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i < length(env); j < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is-formula-N(##A, x, y) ←→ sats(A, formula-N-fm(i,j), env)
by (simp add: sats-formula-N-fm)

```

6.18.11 The Predicate “Is A Formula”

definition

```

mem-formula-fm :: i=>i where
mem-formula-fm(x) ==
  Exists(Exists(
    And(finite-ordinal-fm(1),
        And(formula-N-fm(1,0), Member(x#+2,0)))))

```

```

lemma mem-formula-type [TC]:
  x ∈ nat ==> mem-formula-fm(x) ∈ formula
by (simp add: mem-formula-fm-def)

```

```

lemma sats-mem-formula-fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, mem-formula-fm(x), env) ←→ mem-formula(##A, nth(x,env))
by (simp add: mem-formula-fm-def mem-formula-def)

```

```

lemma mem-formula-iff-sats:
  [| nth(i,env) = x; i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> mem-formula(##A, x) ←→ sats(A, mem-formula-fm(i), env)
by simp

```

6.18.12 The Predicate “Is formula”

definition

is-formula-fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $is\text{-}formula\text{-}fm(Z) == \text{Forall}(\text{Iff}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(Z)), \text{mem}\text{-}formula\text{-}fm(0)))$

lemma *is-formula-type* [TC]:
 $x \in \text{nat} ==> is\text{-}formula\text{-}fm(x) \in formula$
by (*simp add: is-formula-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-is-formula-fm* [simp]:
 $\| x \in \text{nat}; env \in list(A) \|$
 $\implies sats(A, is\text{-}formula\text{-}fm(x), env) \longleftrightarrow is\text{-}formula(\#\#A, nth(x, env))$
by (*simp add: is-formula-fm-def is-formula-def*)

lemma *is-formula-iff-sats*:
 $\| nth(i, env) = x; i \in \text{nat}; env \in list(A) \|$
 $\implies is\text{-}formula(\#\#A, x) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, is\text{-}formula\text{-}fm(i), env)$
by *simp*

6.18.13 The Operator *is-transrec*

The three arguments of p are always 2, 1, 0. It is buried within eight quantifiers! We call p with arguments a, f, z by equating them with the corresponding quantified variables with de Bruijn indices 2, 1, 0.

definition

is-transrec-fm :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $is\text{-}transrec\text{-}fm(p, a, z) ==$
 $Exists(Exists(Exists($
 $And(upair\text{-}fm(a\#\#+3, a\#\#+3, 2),$
 $And(is\text{-}eclose\text{-}fm(2, 1),$
 $And(Memrel\text{-}fm(1, 0), is\text{-}wfreq\text{-}fm(p, 0, a\#\#+3, z\#\#+3))))))$

lemma *is-transrec-type* [TC]:
 $\| p \in formula; x \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \|$
 $\implies is\text{-}transrec\text{-}fm(p, x, z) \in formula$
by (*simp add: is-transrec-fm-def*)

lemma *sats-is-transrec-fm*:
assumes *MH-iff-sats*:
 $\| a0 \in A; a1 \in A; a2 \in A; a3 \in A; a4 \in A; a5 \in A; a6 \in A; a7 \in A \|$
 $\implies MH(a2, a1, a0) \longleftrightarrow$
 $sats(A, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3, Cons(a4, Cons(a5, Cons(a6, Cons(a7, env))))))))))$

shows

$\| x < length(env); z < length(env); env \in list(A) \|$
 $\implies sats(A, is\text{-}transrec\text{-}fm(p, x, z), env) \longleftrightarrow$

```

is-transrec(##A, MH, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))
apply (frule-tac x=z in lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
apply (frule-tac x=x in lt-length-in-nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: is-transrec-fm-def sats-is-wfrec-fm is-transrec-def MH-iff-sats [THEN
iff-sym])
done

lemma is-transrec-iff-sats:
assumes MH-iff-sats:
!!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7.
[| a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A; a5∈A; a6∈A; a7∈A|]
==> MH(a2, a1, a0) ←→
      sats(A, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3,
      Cons(a4, Cons(a5, Cons(a6, Cons(a7, env))))))))))

shows
[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
   i < length(env); k < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
==> is-transrec(##A, MH, x, z) ←→ sats(A, is-transrec-fm(p,i,k), env)
by (simp add: sats-is-transrec-fm [OF MH-iff-sats])

end
theory Nat-Miscellanea imports ZF begin

```

7 Auxiliary results

```

lemmas nat-succI = Ord-succ-mem-iff [THEN iffD2, OF nat-into-Ord]

lemma nat-succD : m ∈ nat ==> succ(n) ∈ succ(m) ==> n ∈ m
  by (drule-tac j=succ(m) in ltI, auto elim: ltD)

lemmas zero-in = ltD [OF nat-0-le]

lemma in-n-in-nat : m ∈ nat ==> n ∈ m ==> n ∈ nat
  by (drule ltI[of n], auto simp add: lt-nat-in-nat)

lemma in-succ-in-nat : m ∈ nat ==> n ∈ succ(m) ==> n ∈ nat
  by (auto simp add: in-n-in-nat)

lemma ltI-neg : x ∈ nat ==> j ≤ x ==> j ≠ x ==> j < x
  by (simp add: le-iff)

lemma succ-pred-eq : m ∈ nat ==> m ≠ 0 ==> succ(pred(m)) = m
  by (auto elim: natE)

lemma succ-ltI : n ∈ nat ==> succ(j) < n ==> j < n
  apply (rule-tac j=succ(j) in lt-trans, rule le-refl, rule Ord-succD)
  apply (rule nat-into-Ord, erule in-n-in-nat, erule ltD, simp)

```

done

lemma *succ-In* : $n \in \text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(j) \in n \implies j \in n$
by (*rule succ-ltI[THEN ltD]*, *auto intro: ltI*)

lemmas *succ-leD* = *succ-leE[OF leI]*

lemma *succpred-leI* : $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq \text{succ}(\text{pred}(n))$
by (*auto elim: natE*)

lemma *succpred-n0* : $p \in \text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(n) \in p \implies p \neq 0$
by (*auto elim: natE*)

lemma *funcI* : $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies a \in A \implies b = f ` a \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in f$
by (*simp-all add: apply-Pair*)

lemmas *natEin* = *natE [OF lt-nat-in-nat]*

lemma *succ-in* : $\text{succ}(x) \leq y \implies x \in y$
by (*auto dest:ltD*)

lemmas *Un-least-lt-iffn* = *Un-least-lt-iff [OF nat-into-Ord nat-into-Ord]*

lemma *pred-le2* : $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies \text{pred}(n) \leq m \implies n \leq \text{succ}(m)$
by (*subgoal-tac n=nat,rule-tac n=n in natE,auto*)

lemma *pred-le* : $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq \text{succ}(m) \implies \text{pred}(n) \leq m$
by (*subgoal-tac pred(n)=nat,rule-tac n=n in natE,auto*)

lemma *un-leD1* : $i \in \text{nat} \implies j \in \text{nat} \implies k \in \text{nat} \implies i \cup j \leq k \implies i \leq k$
by (*rule Un-least-lt-iff[THEN iffD1[THEN conjunct1]],simp-all*)

lemma *un-leD2* : $i \in \text{nat} \implies j \in \text{nat} \implies k \in \text{nat} \implies i \cup j \leq k \implies j \leq k$
by (*rule Un-least-lt-iff[THEN iffD1[THEN conjunct2]],simp-all*)

lemma *gt1* : $n \in \text{nat} \implies i \in n \implies i \neq 0 \implies i \neq 1 \implies 1 < i$
by (*rule-tac n=i in natE,erule in-n-in-nat,auto intro: Ord-0-lt*)

lemma *pred-mono* : $m \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq m \implies \text{pred}(n) \leq \text{pred}(m)$
by (*rule-tac n=n in natE,auto simp add:le-in-nat,erule-tac n=m in natE,auto*)

lemma *pred2-Un*:
assumes $j \in \text{nat}$ $m \leq j$ $n \leq j$
shows $\text{pred}(\text{pred}(m \cup n)) \leq \text{pred}(\text{pred}(j))$
using *assms pred-mono[of j] le-in-nat Un-least-lt pred-mono* **by** *simp*

lemma *nat-union-abs1* :
 $\llbracket \text{Ord}(i) ; \text{Ord}(j) ; i \leq j \rrbracket \implies i \cup j = j$

```

by (rule Un-absorb1,erule le-imp-subset)

lemma nat-union-abs2 :
  [ Ord(i) ; Ord(j) ; i ≤ j ] ==> j ∪ i = j
  by (rule Un-absorb2,erule le-imp-subset)

lemma nat-un-max : i ∈ nat ==> j ∈ nat ==> i ∪ j = max(i,j)
  apply(auto simp add:max-def nat-union-abs1)
  apply(auto simp add: not-lt-iff-le leI nat-union-abs2)
done

lemma nat-un-ty : i ∈ nat ==> j ∈ nat ==> i ∪ j ∈ nat
  by simp

lemma nat-max-ty : i ∈ nat ==> j ∈ nat ==> max(i,j) ∈ nat
  unfolding max-def by simp

lemmas nat-simp-union = nat-un-max nat-un-ty nat-max-ty max-def

end

theory Renaming
imports
  Nat-Miscellanea
  ~~/src/ZF/Constructible/Formula
begin

```

8 Auxiliary results

```

lemma app-nm : n ∈ nat ==> m ∈ nat ==> f ∈ n → m ==> x ∈ nat ==> f'x ∈ nat
  apply(case-tac x ∈ n,rule-tac m = m in in-n-in-nat,(simp add:apply-type)+)
  apply(subst apply-0,subst domain-of-fun,assumption+,auto)
done

```

9 Renaming of free variables

definition

```

sum-id :: [i,i] ⇒ i where
  sum-id(m,f) ==> λj ∈ succ(m) . if j = 0 then 0 else succ(f'pred(j))

```

```

lemma sum-id0 : sum-id(m,f) '0 = 0
  by(unfold sum-id-def,simp)

```

```

lemma sum-idS : succ(x) ∈ succ(m) ==> sum-id(m,f) 'succ(x) = succ(f'x)
  by(unfold sum-id-def,simp)

```

```

lemma sum-id-tc :
  n ∈ nat ==> m ∈ nat ==> f ∈ n → m ==> sum-id(n,f) ∈ succ(n) → succ(m)
  apply (rule Pi-iff [THEN iffD2],rule conjI)

```

```

apply (unfold sum-id-def,rule function-lam)
apply (rule conjI,auto)
apply (erule-tac p=x and A=succ(n) and
    b=λ i. if i = 0 then 0 else succ(f'pred(i)) and
    P=x∈succ(n)×succ(m) in lamE)
apply (rename-tac j,case-tac j=0,simp,simp add:zero-in)
apply (subgoal-tac f'pred(j) ∈ m,simp)
apply (rule nat-succI,assumption+)
apply (erule-tac A=n in apply-type)
apply (rule Ord-success-mem-iff [THEN iffD1],simp)
apply (subst succ-pred-eq,rule-tac A=succ(n) in subsetD,rule naturals-subset-nat)
    apply (simp+)
done

```

10 Renaming of formulas

```

consts ren :: i=>i
primrec
ren(Member(x,y)) =
    
$$(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat}. \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m. \text{Member}(f'x, f'y))$$

ren(Equal(x,y)) =
    
$$(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat}. \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m. \text{Equal}(f'x, f'y))$$

ren(Nand(p,q)) =
    
$$(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat}. \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m. \text{Nand}(\text{ren}(p)`n`m`f, \text{ren}(q)`n`m`f))$$

ren(Forall(p)) =
    
$$(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat}. \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m. \text{Forall}(\text{ren}(p)`\text{succ}(n)`\text{succ}(m)`\text{sum-id}(n,f)))$$

lemma arity-meml : l ∈ nat ⇒ Member(x,y) ∈ formula ⇒ arity(Member(x,y))

$$\leq l \Rightarrow x \in l$$

by (simp,rule subsetD,rule le-imp-subset,assumption,simp)
lemma arity-memr : l ∈ nat ⇒ Member(x,y) ∈ formula ⇒ arity(Member(x,y))

$$\leq l \Rightarrow y \in l$$

by (simp,rule subsetD,rule le-imp-subset,assumption,simp)
lemma arity-eql : l ∈ nat ⇒ Equal(x,y) ∈ formula ⇒ arity(Equal(x,y)) ≤ l

$$\Rightarrow x \in l$$

by (simp,rule subsetD,rule le-imp-subset,assumption,simp)
lemma arity-eqr : l ∈ nat ⇒ Equal(x,y) ∈ formula ⇒ arity(Equal(x,y)) ≤ l

$$\Rightarrow y \in l$$

by (simp,rule subsetD,rule le-imp-subset,assumption,simp)
lemma nand-ar1 : p ∈ formula ⇒ q ∈ formula ⇒ arity(p) ≤ arity(Nand(p,q))
by (simp,rule Un-upper1-le,simp+)
lemma nand-ar2 : p ∈ formula ⇒ q ∈ formula ⇒ arity(q) ≤ arity(Nand(p,q))
by (simp,rule Un-upper2-le,simp+)
lemma nand-ar1D : p ∈ formula ⇒ q ∈ formula ⇒ arity(Nand(p,q)) ≤ n ⇒

$$\text{arity}(p) \leq n$$


```

```

by(auto simp add: le-trans[OF Un-upper1-le[of arity(p) arity(q)]])
lemma nand-ar2D : p ∈ formula  $\Rightarrow$  q ∈ formula  $\Rightarrow$  arity(Nand(p,q)) ≤ n  $\Rightarrow$ 
arity(q) ≤ n
by(auto simp add: le-trans[OF Un-upper2-le[of arity(p) arity(q)]])

lemma ren-tc : p ∈ formula  $\Rightarrow$ 
(Λ n m f . n ∈ nat  $\Rightarrow$  m ∈ nat  $\Rightarrow$  f ∈ n → m  $\Rightarrow$  ren(p) `n `m `f ∈ formula)
by (induct set:formula,auto simp add: app-nm sum-id-tc)

lemma ren-arity :
fixes p
assumes p ∈ formula
shows Λ n m f . n ∈ nat  $\Rightarrow$  m ∈ nat  $\Rightarrow$  f ∈ n → m  $\Rightarrow$  arity(p) ≤ n  $\Rightarrow$ 
arity(ren(p) `n `m `f) ≤ m
using assms
proof (induct set:formula)
case (Member x y)
then have f `x ∈ m f `y ∈ m
using Member assms by (simp add: arity-meml apply-funtype,simp add:arity-memr
apply-funtype)
then show ?case using Member by (simp add: Un-least-lt ltI)
next
case (Equal x y)
then have f `x ∈ m f `y ∈ m
using Equal assms by (simp add: arity-eql apply-funtype,simp add:arity-eqr
apply-funtype)
then show ?case using Equal by (simp add: Un-least-lt ltI)
next
case (Nand p q)
then have arity(p) ≤ arity(Nand(p,q))
arity(q) ≤ arity(Nand(p,q))
by (subst nand-ar1,simp,simp,simp,subst nand-ar2,simp+)
then have arity(p) ≤ n
and arity(q) ≤ n using Nand
by (rule-tac j=arity(Nand(p,q)) in le-trans,simp,simp)+
then have arity(ren(p) `n `m `f) ≤ m and arity(ren(q) `n `m `f) ≤ m
using Nand by auto
then show ?case using Nand by (simp add:Un-least-lt)
next
case (Forall p)
from Forall have succ(n) ∈ nat succ(m) ∈ nat by auto
from Forall have 2: sum-id(n,f) ∈ succ(n) → succ(m) by (simp add:sum-id-tc)
from Forall have 3:arity(p) ≤ succ(n) by (rule-tac n=arity(p) in natE,simp+)
then have arity(ren(p) `succ(n) `succ(m) `sum-id(n,f)) ≤ succ(m) using
Forall ⟨succ(n) ∈ nat⟩ ⟨succ(m) ∈ nat⟩ 2 by force
then show ?case using Forall 2 3 ren-tc arity-type pred-le by auto
qed

```

```

lemma forall-arityE :  $p \in formula \implies m \in nat \implies arity(Forall(p)) \leq m \implies$ 
 $arity(p) \leq succ(m)$ 
by(rule-tac n=arity(p) in natE,erule arity-type,simp+)

lemma env-coincidence-sum-id :
assumes  $m \in nat$   $n \in nat$ 
 $\varrho \in list(A)$   $\varrho' \in list(A)$ 
 $f \in n \rightarrow m$ 
 $\bigwedge i . i < n \implies nth(i,\varrho) = nth(f^i,\varrho')$ 
 $a \in A$   $j \in succ(n)$ 
shows  $nth(j,Cons(a,\varrho)) = nth(sum-id(n,f)^j,Cons(a,\varrho'))$ 
proof -
  let ?g=sum-id(n,f)
  have succ(n) ∈ nat using ⟨n∈nat⟩ by simp
  then have j ∈ nat using ⟨j∈succ(n)⟩ in-n-in-nat by blast
  then have nth(j,Cons(a,ρ)) = nth(?g^j,Cons(a,ρ')) by simp
  proof (cases rule:natE[OF ⟨j∈nat⟩])
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using assms sum-id0 by simp
  next
    case (2 i)
    with ⟨j∈succ(n)⟩ have succ(i)∈succ(n) by simp
    with ⟨n∈nat⟩ have i ∈ n using nat-succD assms by simp
    have f^i ∈ m using ⟨f∈n→m⟩ apply-type ⟨i∈n⟩ by simp
    then have f^i ∈ nat using in-n-in-nat ⟨m∈nat⟩ by simp
    have nth(succ(i),Cons(a,ρ)) = nth(i,ρ) using ⟨i∈nat⟩ by simp
    also have ... = nth(f^i,ρ') using assms ⟨i∈n⟩ ltI by simp
    also have ... = nth(succ(f^i),Cons(a,ρ')) using ⟨f^i∈nat⟩ by simp
    also have ... = nth(?g^succ(i),Cons(a,ρ'))
      using sum-idsS ⟨succ(i)∈succ(n)⟩ cases by simp
    finally have nth(succ(i),Cons(a,ρ)) = nth(?g^succ(i),Cons(a,ρ')) .
    then show ?thesis using ⟨j=succ(i)⟩ by simp
  qed
  then show ?thesis .
qed

lemma sats-iff-sats-ren :
fixes  $\varphi$ 
assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
shows  $\llbracket n \in nat ; m \in nat ; \varrho \in list(M) ; \varrho' \in list(M) ; f \in n \rightarrow m ;$ 
 $arity(\varphi) \leq n ;$ 
 $\bigwedge i . i < n \implies nth(i,\varrho) = nth(f^i,\varrho') \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $sats(M,\varphi,\varrho) \longleftrightarrow sats(M,ren(\varphi)^n m^f,\varrho')$ 
using ⟨ $\varphi \in formulaproof(induct  $\varphi$  arbitrary:n m ρ ρ' f)
  case (Member x y)
  have 0:  $ren(Member(x,y))^n m^f = Member(f^x, f^y)$  using Member assms arity-type
  by force$ 
```

```

have 1:  $x \in n$  using Member arity-meml by simp
have  $y \in n$  using Member arity-memr by simp
then show ?case using Member 1 0 ltI by simp
next
  case (Equal x y)
    have 0:  $\text{ren}(\text{Equal}(x,y)) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f = \text{Equal}(f \cdot x, f \cdot y)$  using Equal assms arity-type
    by force
    have 1:  $x \in n$  using Equal arity-eql by simp
    have  $y \in n$  using Equal arity-eqr by simp
    then show ?case using Equal 1 0 ltI by simp
next
  case (Nand p q)
    have 0:  $\text{ren}(\text{Nand}(p,q)) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f = \text{Nand}(\text{ren}(p) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f, \text{ren}(q) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f)$  using Nand
    by simp
    have  $\text{arity}(p) \leq n$  using Nand nand-ar1D by simp
    then have 1:  $i \in \text{arity}(p) \implies i \in n$  for i using subsetD[OF le-imp-subset[OF
    <math>\text{arity}(p) \leq n</math>]] by simp
    then have  $i \in \text{arity}(p) \implies \text{nth}(i, \varrho) = \text{nth}(f \cdot i, \varrho')$  for i using Nand ltI by simp
    then have 2:  $\text{sats}(M, p, \varrho) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{ren}(p) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f, \varrho')$  using <math>\text{arity}(p) \leq n</math>
    1 Nand by simp
    have  $\text{arity}(q) \leq n$  using Nand nand-ar2D by simp
    then have 3:  $i \in \text{arity}(q) \implies i \in n$  for i using subsetD[OF le-imp-subset[OF
    <math>\text{arity}(q) \leq n</math>]] by simp
    then have  $i \in \text{arity}(q) \implies \text{nth}(i, \varrho) = \text{nth}(f \cdot i, \varrho')$  for i using Nand ltI by simp
    then have 4:  $\text{sats}(M, q, \varrho) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{ren}(q) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f, \varrho')$  using assms <math>\text{arity}(q) \leq n</math>
    3 Nand by simp
    then show ?case using Nand 0 2 4 by simp
next
  case (Forall p)
    have 0:  $\text{ren}(\text{Forall}(p)) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f = \text{Forall}(\text{ren}(p) \cdot \text{succ}(n) \cdot \text{succ}(m) \cdot \text{sum-id}(n, f))$ 
    using Forall by simp
    have 1:  $\text{sum-id}(n, f) \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \text{succ}(m)$  (is ?g ∈ -) using sum-id-tc Forall by
    simp
    then have 2:  $\text{arity}(p) \leq \text{succ}(n)$ 
    using Forall le-trans[of - succ(pred(arity(p)))] succpred-leI by simp
    have  $\text{succ}(n) \in \text{nat}$   $\text{succ}(m) \in \text{nat}$  using Forall by auto
    then have  $A: \bigwedge j . j < \text{succ}(n) \implies \text{nth}(j, \text{Cons}(a, \varrho)) = \text{nth}(\text{?g} \cdot j, \text{Cons}(a, \varrho'))$ 
if  $a \in M$  for a
  using that env-coincidence-sum-id Forall ltD by force
  have 4:
     $\text{sats}(M, p, \text{Cons}(a, \varrho)) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{ren}(p) \cdot \text{succ}(n) \cdot \text{succ}(m) \cdot \text{?g}, \text{Cons}(a, \varrho'))$  if
 $a \in M$  for a
  proof -
    have  $C: \text{Cons}(a, \varrho) \in \text{list}(M)$   $\text{Cons}(a, \varrho') \in \text{list}(M)$  using Forall that by auto
    have  $\text{sats}(M, p, \text{Cons}(a, \varrho)) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{ren}(p) \cdot \text{succ}(n) \cdot \text{succ}(m) \cdot \text{?g}, \text{Cons}(a, \varrho'))$ 
    using Forall(2)[OF <math>\text{succ}(n) \in \text{nat}</math> <math>\text{succ}(m) \in \text{nat}</math> C(1) C(2) 1 2 A[OF
    <math>a \in M</math>]] by simp
    then show ?thesis .

```

```

qed
then show ?case using Forall 0 1 2 4 by simp
qed

end
theory Interface
imports Forcing-Data Relative Internalizations Renaming
begin

lemma Transset-intf :
  Transset(M) ==> y ∈ x ==> x ∈ M ==> y ∈ M
  by (simp add: Transset-def,auto)

lemma TranssetI :
  (A y x. y ∈ x ==> x ∈ M ==> y ∈ M) ==> Transset(M)
  by (auto simp add: Transset-def)

lemma empty-intf :
  infinity-ax(M) ==>
  (exists z[M]. empty(M,z))
  by (auto simp add: empty-def infinity-ax-def)

lemma (in forcing-data) zero-in-M: 0 ∈ M
proof -
  from infinity-ax have
    (exists z[##M]. empty(##M,z))
  by (rule empty-intf)
  then obtain z where
    zm: empty(##M,z) z ∈ M
  by auto
  with trans-M have z=0
  by (simp add: empty-def, blast intro: Transset-intf )
  with zm show ?thesis
  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in forcing-data) mtriv :
  M-trivial(##M)
  apply (insert trans-M upair-ax Union-ax)
  apply (rule M-trivial.intro)
  apply (simp-all add: zero-in-M)
  apply (rule Transset-intf,simp+)
done

sublocale forcing-data ⊆ M-trivial ##M
  by (rule mtriv)

```

abbreviation
 $dec10 :: i \ (10) \text{ where } 10 == succ(9)$

abbreviation
 $dec11 :: i \ (11) \text{ where } 11 == succ(10)$

abbreviation
 $dec12 :: i \ (12) \text{ where } 12 == succ(11)$

abbreviation
 $dec13 :: i \ (13) \text{ where } 13 == succ(12)$

lemma $uniq-dec-2p: \langle C, D \rangle \in M \implies$
 $\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \langle C, D \rangle = \langle A, B \rangle \longrightarrow P(x, A, B)$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $P(x, C, D)$
by *simp*

lemma (in forcing-data) tupling-sep-2p :
 $(\forall v \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. (\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. pair(\#\#M, A, B, v) \longrightarrow Q(x, A, B))))$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. Q(x, A, B)))$
apply (*simp add: separation-def*)
proof (*intro ballI iffI*)
fix $A B z$
assume
 $Eq1: \forall v \in M. \forall z \in M. \exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow$
 $x \in z \wedge (\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. v = \langle A, B \rangle \longrightarrow Q(x, A, B))$
and
 $Eq2: A \in M B \in M z \in M$
then have
 $Eq3: \langle A, B \rangle \in M$
by (*simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric]*)
with *Eq1* **have**
 $\forall z \in M. \exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow$
 $x \in z \wedge (\forall C \in M. \forall D \in M. \langle A, B \rangle = \langle C, D \rangle \longrightarrow Q(x, C, D))$
by (*rule bspec*)
with *uniq-dec-2p* **and** *Eq3* **and** *Eq2* **show**
 $\exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow$
 $x \in z \wedge Q(x, A, B)$
by *simp*
next
fix $v z$
assume
asms: $v \in M \ z \in M$
 $\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \forall z \in M. \exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge Q(x, A, B)$
consider (a) $\exists A \in M. \exists B \in M. v = \langle A, B \rangle$ | (b) $\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. v \neq \langle A, B \rangle$ **by**

```

auto
then show
   $\exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge$ 
   $(\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. v = \langle A, B \rangle \longrightarrow Q(x, A, B))$ 
proof cases
  case a
  then obtain A B where
    Eq4:  $A \in M B \in M v = \langle A, B \rangle$ 
    by auto
  then have
     $\exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge Q(x, A, B)$ 
    using asms by simp
  then show ?thesis using Eq4 and uniq-dec-2p by simp
next
  case b
  then have
     $\forall x \in M. x \in z \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge (\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. v = \langle A, B \rangle \longrightarrow Q(x, A, B))$ 
    by simp
  then show ?thesis using b and asms by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma (in forcing-data) tuples-in-M: $A \in M \implies B \in M \implies \langle A, B \rangle \in M$
by (simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])

lemma uniq-dec-5p: $\langle A', B', C', D', E' \rangle \in M \implies$
 $\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \forall C \in M. \forall D \in M. \forall E \in M. \langle A', B', C', D', E' \rangle =$
 $\langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $P(x, A, B, C, D, E)$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $P(x, A', B', C', D', E')$
by simp

lemma (in forcing-data) tupling-sep-5p-aux :
 $(\forall A_1 \in M. \forall A_5 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M.$
 $\langle A_4, A_5 \rangle \in M \wedge \langle A_3, A_4, A_5 \rangle \in M \wedge \langle A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 \rangle \in M \wedge$
 $v = \langle A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $Q(x, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5))$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall A_1 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_5 \in M.$
 $v = \langle A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $Q(x, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5))$ **for** x v
by (auto simp add:tuples-in-M)

lemma (in forcing-data) tupling-sep-5p :
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. (\forall A1 \in M. \forall A2 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A5 \in M.$

$$v = \langle A1, \langle A2, \langle A3, \langle A4, A5 \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \longrightarrow Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5)))$$

\longleftrightarrow

$$(\forall A1 \in M. \forall A2 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A5 \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5)))$$

proof (simp add: separation-def, intro ballI iffI)

fix A B C D E z

assume

$$Eq1: \forall v \in M. \forall z \in M. \exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow$$

$$x \in z \wedge (\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \forall C \in M. \forall D \in M. \forall E \in M. v = \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle$$

$$\longrightarrow Q(x, A, B, C, D, E))$$

and

$$Eq2: A \in M B \in M C \in M D \in M E \in M z \in M$$

then have

$$Eq3: \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle \in M$$

by (simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])

with Eq1 have

$$\forall z \in M. \exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow$$

$$x \in z \wedge (\forall A' \in M. \forall B' \in M. \forall C' \in M. \forall D' \in M. \forall E' \in M. \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle$$

$$= \langle A', B', C', D', E' \rangle$$

$$\longrightarrow Q(x, A', B', C', D', E'))$$

by (rule bspec)

with uniq-dec-5p and Eq3 and Eq2 show

$$\exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow$$

$$x \in z \wedge Q(x, A, B, C, D, E)$$

by simp

next

fix v z

assume

$$asms: v \in M z \in M$$

$$\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \forall C \in M. \forall D \in M. \forall E \in M. \forall z \in M. \exists y \in M.$$

$$\forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge Q(x, A, B, C, D, E)$$

consider (a) $\exists A \in M. \exists B \in M. \exists C \in M. \exists D \in M. \exists E \in M. v = \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle$ |

(b) $\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \forall C \in M. \forall D \in M. \forall E \in M. v \neq \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle$ by blast

then show

$$\exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge$$

$$(\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \forall C \in M. \forall D \in M. \forall E \in M. v = \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle$$

$$\longrightarrow Q(x, A, B, C, D, E))$$

proof cases

case a

then obtain A B C D E where

$$Eq4: A \in M B \in M C \in M D \in M E \in M v = \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle$$

by auto

then have

$$\exists y \in M. \forall x \in M. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge Q(x, A, B, C, D, E)$$

using asms by simp

then show ?thesis using Eq4 by simp

```

next
  case b
    then have
       $\forall x \in M. x \in z \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge$ 
       $(\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \forall C \in M. \forall D \in M. \forall E \in M. v = \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle \longrightarrow$ 
       $Q(x, A, B, C, D, E))$ 
      by simp
      then show ?thesis using b and asms by auto
    qed
  qed

```

lemma (in forcing-data) tupling-sep-5p-rel :
 $(\forall v \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. (\forall A1 \in M. \forall A5 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A2 \in M.$

 $\forall B1 \in M. \forall B2 \in M. \forall B3 \in M.$
 $pair(\#\#M, A4, A5, B1) \&$
 $pair(\#\#M, A3, B1, B2) \&$
 $pair(\#\#M, A2, B2, B3) \&$
 $pair(\#\#M, A1, B3, v)$
 $\longrightarrow Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5))))$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall A1 \in M. \forall A5 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A2 \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5)))$
proof (simp)
 have
 $(\forall A1 \in M. \forall A5 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A2 \in M.$
 $\langle A4, A5 \rangle \in M \wedge \langle A3, A4, A5 \rangle \in M \wedge \langle A2, A3, A4, A5 \rangle \in M \wedge v =$
 $\langle A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5))$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall A1 \in M. \forall A2 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A5 \in M.$
 $v = \langle A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5))$ **for** x v
 by (rule tupling-sep-5p-aux)
then have
 $(\forall v \in M. separation$
 $(\#\#M,$
 $\lambda x. \forall A1 \in M. \forall A5 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A2 \in M.$
 $\langle A4, A5 \rangle \in M \wedge \langle A3, A4, A5 \rangle \in M \wedge \langle A2, A3, A4, A5 \rangle \in M \wedge v =$
 $\langle A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5)))$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall v \in M. separation$
 $(\#\#M,$
 $\lambda x. \forall A1 \in M. \forall A2 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A5 \in M.$
 $v = \langle A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5)))$
by simp
 also have

```

...   $\longleftrightarrow$ 
( $\forall A_1 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_5 \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. Q(x, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5))$ )
  using tupling-sep-5p by simp
finally show
  ( $\forall v \in M. separation(\#\#M,$ 
    $\lambda x. \forall A_1 \in M. \forall A_5 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M.$ 
    $\langle A_4, A_5 \rangle \in M \wedge \langle A_3, A_4, A_5 \rangle \in M \wedge \langle A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 \rangle \in M \wedge v = \langle A_1, A_2,$ 
    $A_3, A_4, A_5 \rangle \longrightarrow$ 
    $Q(x, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   ( $\forall A_1 \in M. \forall A_5 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. Q(x,$ 
    $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5))$ )
  by auto
qed

lemma (in forcing-data) tupling-sep-5p-rel2 :
( $\forall v \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. (\forall B_3 \in M. \forall B_2 \in M. \forall B_1 \in M.$ 
    $\forall A_5 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M. \forall A_1 \in M.$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_4, A_5, B_1) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_3, B_1, B_2) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_2, B_2, B_3) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_1, B_3, v)$ 
    $\longrightarrow Q(x, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5)))$ )
 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
( $\forall A_5 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M. \forall A_1 \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. Q(x, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5))$ )
proof -
have
  ( $\forall B_3 \in M. \forall B_2 \in M. \forall B_1 \in M.$ 
    $\forall A_5 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M. \forall A_1 \in M.$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_4, A_5, B_1) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_3, B_1, B_2) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_2, B_2, B_3) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_1, B_3, v)$ 
    $\longrightarrow Q(x, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5))$ )
 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall A_1 \in M. \forall A_5 \in M. \forall A_4 \in M. \forall A_3 \in M. \forall A_2 \in M.$ 
    $\forall B_1 \in M. \forall B_2 \in M. \forall B_3 \in M.$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_4, A_5, B_1) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_3, B_1, B_2) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_2, B_2, B_3) \&$ 
    $pair(\#\#M, A_1, B_3, v)$ 
    $\longrightarrow Q(x, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5))$ )
  (is ?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?Q) for x v
by auto
then have
   $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. ?P(x, v)) \longleftrightarrow separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. ?Q(x, v)) \text{ for } v$ 
by auto
then have
  ( $\forall v \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. ?P(x, v))$ )

```

```

 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. ?Q(x, v)))$ 
by blast
also have
...  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall A1 \in M. \forall A5 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A2 \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. Q(x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5)))$ 
using tupling-sep-5p-rel by simp
finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

definition

```

tupling-fm-2p ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
tupling-fm-2p( $\varphi$ ) =  $\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Implies}(\text{pair-fm}(1, 0, 3), \varphi)))$ 

```

```

lemma [TC] :  $\llbracket \varphi \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \text{tupling-fm-2p}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$ 
by (simp add: tupling-fm-2p-def)

```

lemma **arity-tup2p** :

```

 $\llbracket \varphi \in \text{formula} ; \text{arity}(\varphi) = 3 \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{tupling-fm-2p}(\varphi)) = 2$ 
by (simp add: tupling-fm-2p-def arity-incr-bv-lemma pair-fm-def
upair-fm-def Un-commute nat-union-abs1)

```

definition

```

tupling-fm-5p ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
tupling-fm-5p( $\varphi$ ) =
 $\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Forall}(\text{Implies}(\text{And}(\text{pair-fm}(3, 4, 5),
 $\text{And}(\text{pair-fm}(2, 5, 6),
 $\text{And}(\text{pair-fm}(1, 6, 7),
 $\text{pair-fm}(0, 7, 9))), \varphi))))))), \varphi))))))$$$$ 
```

```

lemma [TC] :  $\llbracket \varphi \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \text{tupling-fm-5p}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$ 
by (simp add: tupling-fm-5p-def)

```

lemma **arity-tup5p** :

```

 $\llbracket \varphi \in \text{formula} ; \text{arity}(\varphi) = 9 \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{tupling-fm-5p}(\varphi)) = 2$ 
by (simp add: tupling-fm-5p-def arity-incr-bv-lemma pair-fm-def
upair-fm-def Un-commute nat-union-abs1)

```

lemma **leq-9**:

```

 $n \leq 9 \implies n=0 \mid n=1 \mid n=2 \mid n=3 \mid n=4 \mid n=5 \mid n=6 \mid n=7 \mid n=8 \mid n=9$ 
by (clarify simp add: not_lt_iff_le, auto simp add: lt_def)

```

lemma **arity-tup5p-leq** :

```

 $\llbracket \varphi \in \text{formula} ; \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 9 \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{tupling-fm-5p}(\varphi)) = 2$ 
by (drule leq-9, elim disjE, simp_all add: tupling-fm-5p-def arity-incr-bv-lemma
pair-fm-def)

```

```
upair-fm-def Un-commute nat-union-abs1)
```

```
lemma arity-inter-fm :  
  arity(Forall(Implies(Member(0,2),Member(1,0)))) = 2  
  by (simp add: Un-commute nat-union-abs1)  
  
lemma (in forcing-data) inter-sep-intf :  
  assumes  
    A ∈ M  
  shows  
    separation(##M, λx . ∀ y ∈ M . y ∈ A → x ∈ y)  
  proof –  
    from separation-ax arity-inter-fm have  
      ∀ a ∈ M . separation(##M, λx . sats(M, Forall(Implies(Member(0,2),Member(1,0))),  
      [x, a]))  
    by simp  
    with ⟨A ∈ M⟩ have  
      separation(##M, λx . sats(M, Forall(Implies(Member(0,2),Member(1,0))),  
      [x, A]))  
    by simp  
    with ⟨A ∈ M⟩ show ?thesis unfolding separation-def by simp  
  qed
```

```
lemma arity-diff-fm:  
  arity(Neg(Member(0,1))) = 2  
  by (simp add: nat-union-abs1)  
  
lemma (in forcing-data) diff-sep-intf :  
  assumes  
    B ∈ M  
  shows  
    separation(##M, λx . x ∉ B)  
  proof –  
    from separation-ax arity-diff-fm have  
      ∀ a ∈ M . separation(##M, λx . sats(M, Neg(Member(0,1)), [x, a]))  
    by simp  
    with ⟨B ∈ M⟩ have  
      separation(##M, λx . sats(M, Neg(Member(0,1)), [x, B]))  
    by simp  
    with ⟨B ∈ M⟩ show ?thesis unfolding separation-def by simp  
  qed
```

```

definition
 $\text{cartprod-sep-fm} :: i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{cartprod-sep-fm} ==$ 
 $\quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0,2),$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0,2),\text{pair-fm}(1,0,4)))))$ 

lemma  $\text{cartprof-sep-fm-type} [TC] :$ 
 $\text{cartprod-sep-fm} \in \text{formula}$ 
by (simp add: cartprod-sep-fm-def)

lemma  $\text{arity-cartprod-fm} [\text{simp}] : \text{arity}(\text{cartprod-sep-fm}) = 3$ 
by (simp add: cartprod-sep-fm-def pair-fm-def upair-fm-def
      Un-commute nat-union-abs1)

lemma (in forcing-data)  $\text{cartprod-sep-intf} :$ 
assumes
 $A \in M$ 
and
 $B \in M$ 
shows
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. x \in A \wedge (\exists y \in M. y \in B \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, z)))$ 
proof -
from  $\text{separation-ax arity-tup2p have}$ 
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{tupling-fm-2p}(\text{cartprod-sep-fm}), [x, v])))$ 
by simp
then have
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, A, B, v) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\quad (\exists xa \in M. xa \in A \wedge (\exists y \in M. y \in B \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, xa, y, x)))))$ 
unfolding  $\text{separation-def tupling-fm-2p-def cartprod-sep-fm-def}$  by (simp del: pair-abs)
with  $\text{tupling-sep-2p have}$ 
 $(\forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. x \in A \wedge (\exists y \in M. y \in B \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, z))))$ 
by simp
with  $\langle A \in M \rangle \langle B \in M \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

definition
 $\text{image-sep-fm} :: i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{image-sep-fm} ==$ 
 $\quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0,1),$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0,3),\text{pair-fm}(0,4,1)))))$ 

lemma  $\text{image-sep-fm-type} [TC] :$ 
 $\text{image-sep-fm} \in \text{formula}$ 

```

by (*simp add: image-sep-fm-def*)

lemma [*simp*] : *arity(image-sep-fm) = 3*
by (*simp add: image-sep-fm-def pair-fm-def upair-fm-def
Un-commute nat-union-abs1*)

lemma (*in forcing-data*) *image-sep-intf* :
assumes
A ∈ M
and
r ∈ M
shows
separation(##M, λy. ∃ p ∈ M. p ∈ r & (∃ x ∈ M. x ∈ A & pair(##M, x, y, p)))

proof –
from *separation-ax arity-tup2p have*
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\##M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{tupling-fm-2p}(image-sep-fm), [x, v])))$
by *simp*
then have
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\##M, \lambda x. \forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \text{pair}(\##M, A, B, v) \rightarrow (\exists p \in M. p \in B \wedge (\exists xa \in M. xa \in A \wedge \text{pair}(\##M, xa, x, p)))))$
unfolding *separation-def tupling-fm-2p-def image-sep-fm-def by (simp del: pair-abs)*
with *tupling-sep-2p have*
 $(\forall A \in M. \forall r \in M. \text{separation}(\##M, \lambda y. \exists p \in M. p \in r \wedge (\exists x \in M. x \in A \wedge \text{pair}(\##M, x, y, p)))$
by *simp*
with *⟨A ∈ M⟩ ⟨r ∈ M⟩ show ?thesis by simp*
qed

definition

converse-sep-fm :: i where
converse-sep-fm ==
Exists(And(Member(0,2),
Exists(Exists(And(pair-fm(1,0,2),pair-fm(0,1,3))))))

lemma *converse-sep-fm-type [TC]* : *converse-sep-fm ∈ formula*
by (*simp add: converse-sep-fm-def*)

lemma [*simp*] : *arity(converse-sep-fm) = 2*
by (*simp add: converse-sep-fm-def pair-fm-def upair-fm-def
Un-commute nat-union-abs1*)

lemma (*in forcing-data*) *converse-sep-intf* :
assumes
R ∈ M
shows
separation(##M, λz. ∃ p ∈ M. p ∈ R & (∃ x ∈ M. ∃ y ∈ M. pair(##M, x, y, p) & pair(##M, y, x, z)))

proof –

```

from separation-ax have
   $\forall r \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{converse-sep-fm}, [x, r]))$ 
  by simp
with  $\langle R \in M \rangle$  have
   $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{converse-sep-fm}, [x, R]))$ 
  by simp
with  $\langle R \in M \rangle$  show ?thesis unfolding separation-def converse-sep-fm-def by
  (simp del: pair-abs)
qed

```

```

definition
  restrict-sep-fm :: i where
    restrict-sep-fm == Exists(And(Member(0,2),Exists(pair-fm(1,0,2))))
lemma restrict-sep-fm-type [TC] : restrict-sep-fm ∈ formula
  by (simp add: restrict-sep-fm-def)

lemma [simp] : arity(restrict-sep-fm) = 2
  by (simp add: restrict-sep-fm-def pair-fm-def upair-fm-def
        Un-commute nat-union-abs1)

lemma (in forcing-data) restrict-sep-intf :
assumes
  A ∈ M
shows
  separation(\#\#M, λz. ∃ x ∈ M. x ∈ A & (∃ y ∈ M. pair(\#\#M, x, y, z)))
proof –
  from separation-ax have
     $\forall a \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{restrict-sep-fm}, [x, a]))$ 
    by simp
  with  $\langle A \in M \rangle$  have
     $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{restrict-sep-fm}, [x, A]))$ 
    by simp
  with  $\langle A \in M \rangle$  show ?thesis unfolding separation-def restrict-sep-fm-def by (simp
    del: pair-abs)
  qed

```

```

definition
  comp-sep-fm :: i where
  comp-sep-fm ==
    Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
      (And(pair-fm(4,2,7), And(pair-fm(4,3,1),
        And(pair-fm(3,2,0), And(Member(1,5), Member(0,6)))))))))))

```

lemma comp-sep-fm-type [TC] : comp-sep-fm ∈ formula
 by (simp add: comp-sep-fm-def)

lemma [*simp*] : $\text{arity}(\text{comp-sep-fm}) = 3$
by (*simp add: comp-sep-fm-def pair-fm-def upair-fm-def Un-commute nat-union-abs1*)

lemma (in forcing-data) comp-sep-intf :

assumes
 $R \in M$
and
 $S \in M$
shows
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda xz. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \exists z \in M. \exists xy \in M. \exists yz \in M.$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#M, x, z, xz) \& \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, xy) \& \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, z, yz) \& xy \in S$
 $\& yz \in R)$
proof –
from *separation-ax arity-tup2p* **have**
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{tupling-fm-2p}(comp-sep-fm), [x, v])))$
by *simp*
then have
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda x. \forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, A, B, v) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists xa \in M. \exists y \in M. \exists z \in M. \exists xy \in M. \exists yz \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M,$
 $xa, z, x) \wedge$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#M, xa, y, xy) \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, z, yz) \wedge xy \in B \wedge yz \in A))$
unfolding *separation-def tupling-fm-2p-def comp-sep-fm-def* **by** (*simp del: pair-abs*)
with tupling-sep-2p have
 $(\forall r \in M. \forall s \in M. \text{separation}$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda xz. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \exists z \in M. \exists xy \in M. \exists yz \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, z,$
 $xz) \wedge$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, xy) \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, z, yz) \wedge xy \in$
 $s \wedge yz \in r))$
by *simp*
with $\langle S \in M \rangle \langle R \in M \rangle$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *arity-pred-fm* [*simp*] :
 $\text{arity}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0, 2), \text{pair-fm}(3, 1, 0)))) = 3$
by (*simp add: pair-fm-def upair-fm-def Un-commute nat-union-abs1*)

lemma (in forcing-data) pred-sep-intf:

assumes
 $R \in M$
and
 $X \in M$
shows
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists p \in M. p \in R \& \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, X, p))$
proof –
from *separation-ax arity-tup2p arity-pred-fm* **have**
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{tupling-fm-2p}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0, 2),$

```

pair-fm(3,1,0))),[x,v])))

by simp
then have
  ( $\forall v \in M. \ separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. \forall A \in M. \forall B \in M. \ pair(\#\#M, A, B, v) \longrightarrow$ 
    $(\exists p \in M. \ p \in A \wedge pair(\#\#M, x, B, p)))$ )
  unfolding separation-def tupling-fm-2p-def by (simp del: pair-abs)
  with tupling-sep-2p have
     $\forall r \in M. \forall x \in M. \ separation(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists p \in M. \ p \in r \wedge pair(\#\#M, y, x, p))$ 
  by simp
  with {R} in M {X} in M show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

definition

```

memrel-fm :: i where
memrel-fm == Exists(Exists(And(pair-fm(1,0,2),Member(1,0))))

```

```

lemma [TC] : memrel-fm ∈ formula
by (simp add: memrel-fm-def)

```

```

lemma [simp] : arity(memrel-fm) = 1
by (simp add: memrel-fm-def pair-fm-def upair-fm-def Un-commute nat-union-abs1)

```

```

lemma (in forcing-data) memrel-sep-intf:
separation(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \ pair(\#\#M, x, y, z) \& x \in y)

```

proof –

```

from separation-ax have
  ( $\forall v \in M. \ separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. \ sats(M, memrel-fm, [x, v])))$ )
  by simp
then have
  ( $\forall v \in M. \ separation(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \ pair(\#\#M, x, y, z) \& x \in y))$ )
  unfolding separation-def memrel-fm-def by (simp del: pair-abs)
  with zero-in-M show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

definition

```

is-recfun-sep-fm :: i where
is-recfun-sep-fm ==
Exists(Exists(Exists(And(pair-fm(10,3,1),And(Member(1,6),And(pair-fm(10,2,0),And(Member(0,6),
Exists(Exists(And(fun-apply-fm(7,12,1),
And(fun-apply-fm(6,12,0),Neg(Equal(1,0)))))))))))

```

```

lemma is-recfun-sep-fm [TC] : is-recfun-sep-fm ∈ formula
by (simp add: is-recfun-sep-fm-def)

```

lemma [simp] : $\text{arity}(\text{is-recfun-sep-fm}) = 9$
by (simp add: is-recfun-sep-fm-def fun-apply-fm-def upair-fm-def
image-fm-def big-union-fm-def pair-fm-def Un-commute nat-union-abs1)

lemma (in forcing-data) is-recfun-sep-intf :
assumes
 $r \in M \ f \in M \ g \in M \ a \in M \ b \in M$
shows
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \exists xa \in M. \exists xb \in M.$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#M, x, a, xa) \ \& \ xa \in r \ \& \ \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, b, xb) \ \& \ xb \in r \ \&$
 $(\exists fx \in M. \exists gx \in M. \text{fun-apply}(\#\#M, f, x, fx) \ \& \ \text{fun-apply}(\#\#M, g, x, gx)$
&
 $fx \neq gx))$
proof –
from separation-ax arity-tup5p **have**
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \text{tupling-fm-5p}(is-recfun-sep-fm), [x, v])))$
by simp
then have
 $(\forall v \in M. \text{separation}$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda x. \forall B3 \in M. \forall B2 \in M. \forall B1 \in M. \forall A5 \in M. \forall A4 \in M. \forall A3 \in M.$
 $\forall A2 \in M.$
 $\forall A1 \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, A4, A5, B1) \ \& \ \text{pair}(\#\#M, A3, B1, B2)$
 $\wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, A2, B2, B3) \ \&$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#M, A1, B3, v) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists xa \in M. \exists xb \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, A2, xa) \ \& \ xa \in A5 \ \& \ \text{pair}(\#\#M, x,$
 $A1, xb) \ \& \ xb \in A5 \ \wedge$
 $(\exists fx \in M. \exists gx \in M. \text{fun-apply}(\#\#M, A4, x, fx) \ \& \ \text{fun-apply}(\#\#M, A3,$
 $x, gx) \ \wedge \ fx \neq gx)))$
unfolding separation-def tupling-fm-5p-def is-recfun-sep-fm-def **by** (simp del:
pair-abs)
with tupling-sep-5p-rel2 **have**
 $(\forall r \in M. \forall f \in M. \forall g \in M. \forall a \in M. \forall b \in M.$
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \exists xa \in M. \exists xb \in M.$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#M, x, a, xa) \ \& \ xa \in r \ \& \ \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, b, xb) \ \& \ xb \in r \ \&$
 $(\exists fx \in M. \exists gx \in M. \text{fun-apply}(\#\#M, f, x, fx) \ \& \ \text{fun-apply}(\#\#M, g, x, gx)$
&
 $fx \neq gx)))$
by simp
with ⟨r ∈ M⟩ ⟨f ∈ M⟩ ⟨g ∈ M⟩ ⟨a ∈ M⟩ ⟨b ∈ M⟩ **show** ?thesis **by** simp
qed

definition

sixp-sep-perm :: *i* **where**
sixp-sep-perm == {<0,8>,<1,0>,<2,1>,<3,2>,<4,3>,<5,4>}

lemma sixp-perm-ftc : *sixp-sep-perm* ∈ 6 –||> 9
by(unfold sixp-sep-perm-def,(rule consI,auto)+,rule emptyI)

```

lemma dom-sixp-perm : domain(sixp-sep-perm) = 6
  by(unfold sixp-sep-perm-def,auto)

lemma sixp-perm-tc : sixp-sep-perm ∈ 6 → 9
  by(subst dom-sixp-perm[symmetric],rule FiniteFun-is-fun,rule sixp-perm-ftc)

lemma apply-fun: f ∈ Pi(A,B) ==> <a,b>: f ==> f'a = b
  by(auto simp add: apply-iff)

lemma sixp-perm-env :
  {x,a1,a2,a3,a4,a5} ⊆ A ==> j<6 ==>
  nth(j,[x,a1,a2,a3,a4,a5]) = nth(sixp-sep-perm'j,[a1,a2,a3,a4,a5,b1,b2,b3,x,v])
  apply(subgoal-tac j∈nat)
  apply(rule natE,simp,subst apply-fun,rule sixp-perm-tc,simp add:sixp-sep-perm-def,simp+)+
  apply(subst apply-fun,rule sixp-perm-tc,simp add:sixp-sep-perm-def,simp+,drule
ltD,auto)
  done

lemma (in forcing-data) sixp-sep:
  assumes
    φ ∈ formula arity(φ)≤6 a1∈M a2∈M a3∈M a4∈M a5∈M
  shows
    separation(##M,λx. sats(M,φ,[x,a1,a2,a3,a4,a5]))
  proof –
    let
      ?f=sixp-sep-perm
    let
      ?φ'=ren(φ)‘6‘9‘?f
    from assms have
      arity(?φ')≤9 ?φ' ∈ formula
      using sixp-perm-tc ren-arity ren-tc by simp-all
    then have
      (∀ v∈M. separation(##M,λx. sats(M,tupling-fm-5p(?φ'),[x,v])))
      using separation-ax arity-tup5p-leq by simp
    then have
      Eq1: (∀ v∈M. separation
        (##M, λx. ∀ B3∈M. ∀ B2∈M. ∀ B1∈M. ∀ A5∈M. ∀ A4∈M. ∀ A3∈M.
        ∀ A2∈M.
          ∀ A1∈M. pair(##M, A4, A5, B1) ∧ pair(##M, A3, B1, B2)
          ∧ pair(##M, A2, B2, B3) ∧
            pair(##M, A1, B3, v) —→
            sats(M,?φ',[A1,A2,A3,A4,A5,B1,B2,B3,x,v]))))
      (is ∀ v∈M. separation(-, λx. ?P(x,v)))
      unfolding separation-def tupling-fm-5p-def by (simp del: pair-abs)
    {
      fix B1 B2 B3 A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 x v
      assume
        x∈M v∈M

```

$B3 \in M$ $B2 \in M$ $B1 \in M$ $A5 \in M$ $A4 \in M$ $A3 \in M$ $A2 \in M$ $A1 \in M$
with assms have
 $sats(M, ?\varphi', [A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, B1, B2, B3, x, v]) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, \varphi, [x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5])$

(is $sats(_, _, ?env1) \longleftrightarrow sats(_, _, ?env2)$)
using $sats\text{-}iff\text{-}sats\text{-}ren[of \varphi 6 9 ?env2 M ?env1 ?f]$ $sixp\text{-}perm\text{-}tc$ $sixp\text{-}perm\text{-}env$
 $[of _ _ _ _ _ M]$
by *auto*
 $\}$
then have
 $Eq2: x \in M \implies v \in M \implies ?P(x, v) \longleftrightarrow (\forall B3 \in M. \forall B2 \in M. \forall B1 \in M. \forall A5 \in M.$
 $\forall A4 \in M. \forall A3 \in M. \forall A2 \in M.$
 $\quad \forall A1 \in M. pair(\#\#M, A4, A5, B1) \wedge pair(\#\#M, A3, B1, B2)$
 $\wedge pair(\#\#M, A2, B2, B3) \wedge$
 $\quad pair(\#\#M, A1, B3, v) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad sats(M, \varphi, [x, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5]))$ (**is** $_ \implies _ \implies _ \longleftrightarrow ?Q(x, v))$ **for** x
 v
by (*simp del: pair-abs*)
define PP **where** $PP \equiv ?P$
define QQ **where** $QQ \equiv ?Q$
from $Eq2$ **have**
 $x \in M \implies v \in M \implies PP(x, v) \longleftrightarrow QQ(x, v)$ **for** $x v$
unfolding $PP\text{-}def$ $QQ\text{-}def$.
then have
 $v \in M \implies$
 $(\forall z[\#\#M]. \exists y[\#\#M]. \forall x[\#\#M]. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge PP(x, v)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall z[\#\#M]. \exists y[\#\#M]. \forall x[\#\#M]. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge QQ(x, v))$ **for** v **by**
(*simp del: pair-abs*)
with $Eq1$ **have**
 $(\forall v \in M. separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. QQ(x, v)))$
unfolding $separation\text{-}def$ $PP\text{-}def$ **by** (*simp del: pair-abs*)
with assms show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $QQ\text{-}def$ **using** $tupling\text{-}sep\text{-}5p\text{-}rel2$ **by** *simp*
qed

definition

$is\text{-}cons\text{-}fm :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $is\text{-}cons\text{-}fm(a, b, z) == Exists(And(upair\text{-}fm(succ(a), succ(a), 0), union\text{-}fm(0, succ(b), succ(z))))$

lemma $is\text{-}cons\text{-}type$ [*TC*]:

$\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; z \in nat \rrbracket ==> is\text{-}cons\text{-}fm(x, y, z) \in formula$
by (*simp add: is-cons-fm-def*)

lemma $is\text{-}cons\text{-}fm$ [*simp*]:

$\llbracket a \in nat; b \in nat; z \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket \implies$
 $sats(A, is\text{-}cons\text{-}fm(a, b, z), env) \longleftrightarrow$
 $is\text{-}cons(\#\#A, nth(a, env), nth(b, env), nth(z, env))$

```

by (simp add: is-cons-fm-def is-cons-def)

definition
funspace-succ-fm :: i where
funspace-succ-fm ==
Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(And(pair-fm(3,2,4),And(pair-fm(6,2,1),
And(is-cons-fm(1,3,0),upair-fm(0,0,5)))))))

lemma funspace-succ-fm-type [TC] :
funspace-succ-fm ∈ formula
by (simp add: funspace-succ-fm-def)

lemma [simp] : arity(funspace-succ-fm) = 3
by (simp add: funspace-succ-fm-def pair-fm-def upair-fm-def is-cons-fm-def
union-fm-def Un-commute nat-union-abs1)

lemma (in forcing-data) funspace-succ-rep-intf :
assumes
n ∈ M
shows
strong-replacement(##M,
λp z. ∃f ∈ M. ∃b ∈ M. ∃nb ∈ M. ∃cnbf ∈ M.
pair(##M,f,b,p) & pair(##M,n,b,nb) & is-cons(##M,nb,f,cnbf)
&
upair(##M,cnbf,cnbf,z))
proof –
from replacement-ax have
(∀a ∈ M. strong-replacement(##M,λx y. sats(M,funspace-succ-fm,[x,y,a])))
by simp
then have
(∀n ∈ M. strong-replacement(##M,
λp z. ∃f ∈ M. ∃b ∈ M. ∃nb ∈ M. ∃cnbf ∈ M.
pair(##M,f,b,p) & pair(##M,n,b,nb) & is-cons(##M,nb,f,cnbf)
&
upair(##M,cnbf,cnbf,z)))
unfolding funspace-succ-fm-def strong-replacement-def univalent-def by (simp
del: pair-abs)
with ⟨n ∈ M⟩ show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemmas (in forcing-data) M-basic-sep-instances =
inter-sep-intf diff-sep-intf cartprod-sep-intf
image-sep-intf converse-sep-intf restrict-sep-intf
pred-sep-intf memrel-sep-intf comp-sep-intf is-recfun-sep-intf

```

```

sublocale forcing-data ⊆ M-basic ##M
  apply (insert trans-M zero-in-M power-ax)
  apply (rule M-basic.intro,rule mtriv)
  apply (rule M-basic-axioms.intro)
  apply (insert M-basic-sep-instances funspace-succ-rep-intf)
  apply (simp-all)
done

end

theory Recursion-Thms imports ZF.WF begin

lemma fld-restrict-eq : a ∈ A ==> (r ∩ A * A) - ``{a} = (r - ``{a} ∩ A)
  by(force)

lemma fld-restrict-mono : relation(r) ==> A ⊆ B ==> r ∩ A * A ⊆ r ∩ B * B
  by(auto)

lemma fld-restrict-dom :
  assumes relation(r) domain(r) ⊆ A range(r) ⊆ A
  shows r ∩ A * A = r
  proof (rule equalityI,blast,rule subsetI)
    { fix x
      assume xr: x ∈ r
      from xr assms have ∃ a b . x = <a,b> by (simp add: relation-def)
      then obtain a b where <a,b> ∈ r <a,b> ∈ r ∩ A * A x ∈ r ∩ A * A
        using assms xr
        by force
      then have x ∈ r ∩ A * A by simp
    }
    then show x ∈ r ==> x ∈ r ∩ A * A for x .
  qed

definition tr-down :: [i,i] ⇒ i
  where tr-down(r,a) = (r ^+) - ``{a}

lemma tr-downD : x ∈ tr-down(r,a) ==> <x,a> ∈ r ^+
  by (simp add: tr-down-def vimage-singleton-iff)

lemma pred-down : relation(r) ==> r - ``{a} ⊆ tr-down(r,a)
  by(simp add: tr-down-def vimage-mono r-subset-trancl)

lemma tr-down-mono : relation(r) ==> x ∈ r - ``{a} ==> tr-down(r,x) ⊆ tr-down(r,a)
  by(rule subsetI,simp add:tr-down-def,auto dest: underD,force simp add: underI
    r-into-trancl trancl-trans)

lemma rest-eq :
  assumes relation(r) and r - ``{a} ⊆ B and a ∈ B

```

```

shows  $r - ``\{a\} = (r \cap B * B) - ``\{a\}$ 
proof
{ fix x
  assume  $x \in r - ``\{a\}$ 
  then have  $x \in B$  using assms by (simp add: subsetD)
  from  $\langle x \in r - ``\{a\} \rangle$  underD have  $\langle x, a \rangle \in r$  by simp
  then have  $x \in (r \cap B * B) - ``\{a\}$  using  $\langle x \in B \rangle \langle a \in B \rangle$  underI by simp
}
then show  $r - ``\{a\} \subseteq (r \cap B * B) - ``\{a\}$  by auto
next
from vimage-mono assms
show  $(r \cap B * B) - ``\{a\} \subseteq r - ``\{a\}$  by auto
qed

lemma wfrec-restr-eq :  $r' = r \cap A * A \implies wfrec[A](r, a, H) = wfrec(r', a, H)$ 
by(simp add:wfrec-on-def)

lemma wfrec-restr :
assumes rr: relation(r) and wfr: wf(r)
shows  $a \in A \implies tr\text{-}down(r, a) \subseteq A \implies wfrec(r, a, H) = wfrec[A](r, a, H)$ 
proof (induct a arbitrary:A rule:wf-induct-raw[OF wfr] )
case (1 a)
from wf-subset wfr wf-on-def Int-lower1 have wfRa : wf[A](r) by simp
from pred-down rr have  $r - ``\{a\} \subseteq tr\text{-}down(r, a)$  .
then have  $r - ``\{a\} \subseteq A$  using 1 by (force simp add: subset-trans)
{
  fix x
  assume  $x-a : x \in r - ``\{a\}$ 
  with  $\langle r - ``\{a\} \subseteq A \rangle$  have  $x \in A$  ..
  from pred-down rr have b :  $r - ``\{x\} \subseteq tr\text{-}down(r, x)$  .
  then have  $tr\text{-}down(r, x) \subseteq tr\text{-}down(r, a)$ 
    using tr-down-mono x-a rr by simp
  then have  $tr\text{-}down(r, x) \subseteq A$  using 1 subset-trans by force
  have  $\langle x, a \rangle \in r$  using x-a underD by simp
  then have  $wfrec(r, x, H) = wfrec[A](r, x, H)$ 
    using 1  $\langle tr\text{-}down(r, x) \subseteq A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle$  by simp
}
then have  $x \in r - ``\{a\} \implies wfrec(r, x, H) = wfrec[A](r, x, H)$  for x .
then have Eq1 :  $(\lambda x \in r - ``\{a\} . wfrec(r, x, H)) = (\lambda x \in r - ``\{a\} . wfrec[A](r, x, H))$ 
using lam-cong by simp

from assms have
 $wfrec(r, a, H) = H(a, \lambda x \in r - ``\{a\} . wfrec(r, x, H))$  by (simp add:wfrec)
also have ... =  $H(a, \lambda x \in r - ``\{a\} . wfrec[A](r, x, H))$ 
  using assms Eq1 by simp
also have ... =  $H(a, \lambda x \in (r \cap A * A) - ``\{a\} . wfrec[A](r, x, H))$ 
  using 1 assms rest-eq  $\langle r - ``\{a\} \subseteq A \rangle$  by simp
also have ... =  $H(a, \lambda x \in (r - ``\{a\}) \cap A . wfrec[A](r, x, H))$ 

```

```

using `a∈A` fld-restrict-eq by simp
also have ... = wfrec[A](r,a,H) using `wf[A](r)` `a∈A` wfrec-on by simp
finally show ?case .
qed

lemmas wfrec-tr-down = wfrec-restr[OF --- subset-refl]

lemma wfrec-trans-restr : relation(r) ==> wf(r) ==> trans(r) ==> r - ``{a} ⊆ A ==>
a ∈ A ==>
wfrec(r, a, H) = wfrec[A](r, a, H)
by(subgoal-tac tr-down(r,a) ⊆ A,auto simp add : wfrec-restr tr-down-def trancl-eq-r)

```

end

theory Names imports Forcing-Data Interface Recursion-Thms begin

```

lemma transD : Transset(M) ==> y ∈ M ==> y ⊆ M
by (unfold Transset-def, blast)

```

definition

```

SepReplace :: [i, i⇒i, i⇒ o] ⇒ i where
SepReplace(A,b,Q) == {y . x∈A, y=b(x) ∧ Q(x)}

```

syntax

```
-SepReplace :: [i, pttrn, i, o] => i ((1{- .. / - ∈ -, -}))
```

translations

```
{b .. x∈A, Q} => CONST SepReplace(A, λx. b, λx. Q)
```

```

lemma Sep-and-Replace: {b(x) .. x∈A, P(x)} = {b(x) . x∈{y∈A. P(y)}}
by (auto simp add:SepReplace-def)

```

```

lemma SepReplace-subset : A ⊆ A' ==> {b .. x∈A, Q} ⊆ {b .. x∈A', Q}
by (auto simp add:SepReplace-def)

```

```

lemma SepReplace-iff [simp]: y ∈ {b(x) .. x∈A, P(x)} ←→ (∃ x∈A. y=b(x) &
P(x))
by (auto simp add:SepReplace-def)

```

```

lemma SepReplace-dom-implies :
(∀ x . x ∈ A ==> b(x) = b'(x)) ==> {b(x) .. x∈A, Q(x)} = {b'(x) .. x∈A, Q(x)}
by (simp add:SepReplace-def)

```

```

lemma SepReplace-pred-implies :
∀ x. Q(x) → b(x) = b'(x) ==> {b(x) .. x∈A, Q(x)} = {b'(x) .. x∈A, Q(x)}
by (force simp add:SepReplace-def)

```

11 eclose properties

```

lemma eclose-sing :  $x \in \text{eclose}(a) \implies x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\})$ 
  by(rule subsetD[OF mem-eclose-subset],simp+)

lemma ecloseE :  $x \in \text{eclose}(A) \implies x \in A \vee (\exists B \in A . x \in \text{eclose}(B))$ 
  apply(erule eclose-induct-down,simp,erule disjE,rule disjI2,simp add:arg-into-eclose)
  apply(subgoal-tac z ∈ eclose(y),blast,simp add: arg-into-eclose)
  apply(rule disjI2,erule bexE,subgoal-tac z ∈ eclose(B),blast,simp add:ecloseD)
  done

lemma eclose-singE :  $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\}) \implies x = a \vee x \in \text{eclose}(a)$ 
  by(blast dest: ecloseE)

lemma in-eclose-sing :  $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\}) \implies a \in \text{eclose}(z) \implies x \in \text{eclose}(\{z\})$ 
  apply(drule eclose-singE,erule disjE,simp add: eclose-sing)
  apply(rule eclose-sing,erule mem-eclose-trans,assumption)
  done

lemma in-dom-in-eclose :  $x \in \text{domain}(z) \implies x \in \text{eclose}(z)$ 
  apply(auto simp add:domain-def)
  apply(rule-tac A={x} in ecloseD)
  apply(subst (asm) Pair-def)
  apply(rule-tac A={{x,x},{x,y}} in ecloseD,auto simp add:arg-into-eclose)
  done

```

The well founded relation on which *val* is defined

```

definition
  ed ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    ed(x,y) ==  $x \in \text{domain}(y)$ 

definition
  edrel ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    edrel(A) ==  $\{\langle x,y \rangle \in A*A . x \in \text{domain}(y)\}$ 

lemma edrel-dest [dest]:  $x \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a,b \rangle$ 
  by(auto simp add:edrel-def)

lemma edrelD :  $x \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a,b \rangle \wedge a \in \text{domain}(b)$ 
  by(auto simp add:edrel-def)

lemma edrelI [intro!]:  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A)$ 
  by(simp add:edrel-def)

lemma edrel-trans:  $\text{Transset}(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A)$ 
  by(rule edrelI, auto simp add: Transset-def domain-def Pair-def)

lemma domain-trans:  $\text{Transset}(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies x \in A$ 
  by(auto simp add: Transset-def domain-def Pair-def)

```

```

lemma relation-edrel : relation(edrel(A))
  by(auto simp add: relation-def)

lemma edrel-sub-memrel: edrel(A) ⊆ trancl(Memrel(eclose(A)))
proof
  fix z
  assume
     $z \in \text{edrel}(A)$ 
  then obtain x y where
    Eq1:  $x \in A \ y \in A \ z = \langle x, y \rangle \ x \in \text{domain}(y)$ 
    by (auto simp add: edrel-def)
  then obtain u v where
    Eq2:  $x \in u \ u \in v \ v \in y$ 
    unfolding domain-def Pair-def by auto
  with Eq1 have
    Eq3:  $x \in \text{eclose}(A) \ y \in \text{eclose}(A) \ u \in \text{eclose}(A) \ v \in \text{eclose}(A)$ 
    by (auto, rule-tac [3–4] ecloseD, rule-tac [3] ecloseD, simp-all add:arg-into-eclose)
  let
    ?r=trancl(Memrel(eclose(A)))
  from Eq2 and Eq3 have
     $\langle x, u \rangle \in ?r \ \langle u, v \rangle \in ?r \ \langle v, y \rangle \in ?r$ 
    by (auto simp add: r-into-trancl)
  then have
     $\langle x, y \rangle \in ?r$ 
    by (rule-tac trancl-trans, rule-tac [2] trancl-trans, simp)
  with Eq1 show  $z \in ?r$  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma wf-edrel : wf(edrel(A))
  apply (rule-tac wf-subset [of trancl(Memrel(eclose(A))))]
  apply (auto simp add:edrel-sub-memrel wf-trancl wf-Memrel)
  done

lemma dom-under-edrel-eclose: edrel(eclose({x})) – “ {x} = domain(x)
  apply(simp add:edrel-def,rule,rule,drule underD,simp,rule,rule underI)
  apply(auto simp add:in-dom-in-eclose eclose-sing arg-into-eclose)
  done

lemma ed-eclose :  $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies y \in \text{eclose}(z)$ 
  by(drule edrelD,auto simp add:domain-def in-dom-in-eclose)

lemma tr-edrel-eclose :  $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))^+ \implies y \in \text{eclose}(z)$ 
  by(rule trancl-induct,(simp add: ed-eclose mem-eclose-trans)+)

```

```

lemma restrict-edrel-eq :
  assumes z ∈ domain(x)
  shows edrel(eclose({x})) ∩ eclose({z}) * eclose({z}) = edrel(eclose({z}))
proof
  let ?ec=λ y . edrel(eclose({y}))
  let ?ez=eclose({z})
  let ?rr=?ec(x) ∩ ?ez * ?ez
  { fix y
    assume yr:y ∈ ?rr
    with yr obtain a b where 1:<a,b> ∈ ?rr ∩ ?ez * ?ez
      a ∈ ?ez b ∈ ?ez <a,b> ∈ ?ec(x) y=<a,b> by blast
    then have a ∈ domain(b) using edrelD by blast
    with 1 have y ∈ edrel(eclose({z})) by blast
  }
  then show ?rr ⊆ edrel(?ez) using subsetI by auto
next
  let ?ec=λ y . edrel(eclose({y}))
  let ?ez=eclose({z})
  let ?rr=?ec(x) ∩ ?ez * ?ez
  { fix y
    assume yr:y ∈ edrel(?ez)
    then obtain a b where 1: a ∈ ?ez b ∈ ?ez y=<a,b> a ∈ domain(b)
      using edrelD by blast
    with assms have z ∈ eclose(x) using in-dom-in-eclose by simp
    with assms 1 have a ∈ eclose({x}) b ∈ eclose({x}) using in-eclose-sing by
      simp-all
    with <a∈domain(b)> have <a,b> ∈ edrel(eclose({x})) by blast
    with 1 have y ∈ ?rr by simp
  }
  then show edrel(eclose({z})) ⊆ ?rr by blast
qed

lemma tr-edrel-subset :
  assumes z ∈ domain(x)
  shows tr-down(edrel(eclose({x})),z) ⊆ eclose({z})
proof -
  let ?r=λ x . edrel(eclose({x}))
  {fix y
    assume y ∈ tr-down(?r(x),z)
    then have <y,z> ∈ ?r(x) ^+ using tr-downD by simp
    with assms have y ∈ eclose({z}) using tr-edrel-eclose eclose-sing by simp
  }
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

context forcing-data
begin

```

lemma upairM :  $x \in M \implies y \in M \implies \{x,y\} \in M$ 
by (simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])

lemma singletonM :  $a \in M \implies \{a\} \in M$ 
by (simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])

lemma pairM :  $x \in M \implies y \in M \implies \langle x,y \rangle \in M$ 
by (simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])

lemma P-sub-M :  $P \subseteq M$ 
by (simp add: P-in-M trans-M transD)

lemma Rep-simp : Replace( $u, \lambda y z . z = f(y)$ ) = { $f(y) . y \in u$ }
by(auto)

definition
Hcheck ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
Hcheck( $z,f$ ) == { $\langle f^*y, \text{one} \rangle . y \in z$ }

definition
check ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
check( $x$ ) == transrec( $x$ , Hcheck)

lemma checkD:
check( $x$ ) = wfrec(Memrel(eclose({ $x$ })),  $x$ , Hcheck)
unfolding check-def transrec-def ..

lemma aux-def-check:  $x \in y \implies$ 
wfrec(Memrel(eclose({ $y$ })),  $x$ , Hcheck) =
wfrec(Memrel(eclose({ $x$ })),  $x$ , Hcheck)
by (rule wfrec-eclose-eq, auto simp add: arg-into-eclose eclose-sing)

lemma def-check : check( $y$ ) = { $\langle \text{check}(w), \text{one} \rangle . w \in y$ }
proof -
let
?r= $\lambda y. \text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{y\}))$ 
from wf-Memrel have
wfr:  $\forall w . \text{wf}(\text{?r}(w)) ..$ 
with wfrec [of ?r(y) y Hcheck] have
check( $y$ ) = Hcheck( $y, \lambda x \in ?r(y) - `` \{y\}. \text{wfrec}(\text{?r}(y), x, \text{Hcheck})$ )
using checkD by simp
also have
... = Hcheck( $y, \lambda x \in y. \text{wfrec}(\text{?r}(y), x, \text{Hcheck})$ )
using under-Memrel-eclose arg-into-eclose by simp
also have
... = Hcheck( $y, \lambda x \in y. \text{check}(x)$ )
using aux-def-check checkD by simp
finally show ?thesis using Hcheck-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma def-checkS :
  fixes n
  assumes n ∈ nat
  shows check(succ(n)) = check(n) ∪ {<check(n),one>}
proof –
  have check(succ(n)) = {<check(i),one> . i ∈ succ(n)}
    using def-check by blast
  also have ... = {<check(i),one> . i ∈ n} ∪ {<check(n),one>}
    by blast
  also have ... = check(n) ∪ {<check(n),one>}
    using def-check[of n,symmetric] by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma field-Memrel : x ∈ M  $\implies$  field(Memrel(eclose({x}))) ⊆ M
  apply(rule subset-trans,rule field-rel-subset,rule Ordinal.Memrel-type)
  apply(rule eclose-least,rule trans-M,auto)
  done

```

definition

```

Hv :: i $\Rightarrow$ i $\Rightarrow$ i where
Hv(G,x,f) == { f'y .. y ∈ domain(x), ∃ p ∈ P. <y,p> ∈ x ∧ p ∈ G }

```

definition

```

val :: i $\Rightarrow$ i $\Rightarrow$ i where
val(G,τ) == wfrec(edrel(eclose({τ})), τ ,Hv(G))

```

lemma aux-def-val:

```

assumes z ∈ domain(x)

```

```

shows wfrec(edrel(eclose({x})),z,Hv(G)) = wfrec(edrel(eclose({z})),z,Hv(G))

```

proof –

```

let ?r=λx . edrel(eclose({x}))

```

```

have z $\in$ eclose({z}) using arg-in-eclose-sing .

```

```

moreover have relation(?r(x)) using relation-edrel .

```

```

moreover have wf(?r(x)) using wf-edrel .

```

```

moreover from assms have tr-down(?r(x),z) ⊆ eclose({z}) using tr-edrel-subset
by simp

```

```

ultimately have

```

```

wfrec(?r(x),z,Hv(G)) = wfrec[eclose({z}])(?r(x),z,Hv(G))

```

```

using wfrec-restr by simp

```

```

also from <z $\in$ domain(x)> have ... = wfrec(?r(z),z,Hv(G))

```

```

using restrict-edrel-eq wfrec-restr-eq by simp

```

```

finally show ?thesis .

```

```

qed

```

```

lemma def-val: val(G,x) = {val(G,t) .. t $\in$ domain(x) , ∃ p ∈ P . <t,p> $\in$ x ∧ p ∈ G }

```

```

proof -
  let
     $?r = \lambda \tau . \text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{\tau\}))$ 
  let
     $?f = \lambda z \in ?r(x) - \{x\} . \text{wfrec}(\text{?r}(x), z, \text{Hv}(G))$ 
  have  $\forall \tau . \text{wf}(\text{?r}(\tau))$  using  $\text{wf-edrel}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  with  $\text{wfrec}[\text{of } - x]$  have
     $\text{val}(G, x) = \text{Hv}(G, x, ?f)$  using  $\text{val-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  also have
     $\dots = \text{Hv}(G, x, \lambda z \in \text{domain}(x) . \text{wfrec}(\text{?r}(x), z, \text{Hv}(G)))$ 
    using  $\text{dom-under-edrel-eclose}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  also have
     $\dots = \text{Hv}(G, x, \lambda z \in \text{domain}(x) . \text{val}(G, z))$ 
    using  $\text{aux-def-val val-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  finally show  $\text{?thesis}$  using  $\text{Hv-def SepReplace-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed

lemma  $\text{val-mono} : x \subseteq y \implies \text{val}(G, x) \subseteq \text{val}(G, y)$ 
  by  $(\text{subst } (1 \ 2) \text{ def-val}, \text{force})$ 

lemma  $\text{valcheck} : \text{one} \in G \implies \text{one} \in P \implies \text{val}(G, \text{check}(y)) = y$ 
proof (induct rule:eps-induct)
  case  $(1 y)$ 
  then show  $\text{?case}$ 
  proof -
    from  $\text{def-check}$  have
       $\text{Eq1: } \text{check}(y) = \{ \langle \text{check}(w), \text{one} \rangle . w \in y \}$  (is  $- = ?C$ ) .
    from  $\text{Eq1}$  have
       $\text{val}(G, \text{check}(y)) = \text{val}(G, \{ \langle \text{check}(w), \text{one} \rangle . w \in y \})$ 
      by  $\text{simp}$ 
    also have
       $\dots = \{ \text{val}(G, t) .. t \in \text{domain}(?C) , \exists p \in P . \langle t, p \rangle \in ?C \wedge p \in G \}$ 
      using  $\text{def-val}$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
    also have
       $\dots = \{ \text{val}(G, t) .. t \in \text{domain}(?C) , \exists w \in y . t = \text{check}(w) \}$ 
      using  $1$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    also have
       $\dots = \{ \text{val}(G, \text{check}(w)) . w \in y \}$ 
      by  $\text{force}$ 
    finally show
       $\text{val}(G, \text{check}(y)) = y$ 
      using  $1$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
qed

lemma  $\text{val-of-name} :$ 
   $\text{val}(G, \{x \in A \times P . Q(x)\}) = \{ \text{val}(G, t) .. t \in A , \exists p \in P . Q(\langle t, p \rangle) \wedge p \in G \}$ 
proof -
  let

```

```

? $n$ = $\{x \in A \times P . Q(x)\}$  and
? $r$ = $\lambda\tau . edrel(eclose(\{\tau\}))$ 
let
? $f$ = $\lambda z \in ?r(?n) - ``\{?n\} . val(G, z)$ 
have
   $wfR : wf(?r(\tau))$  for  $\tau$ 
  by (simp add: wf-edrel)
have  $domain(?n) \subseteq A$  by auto
  { fix  $t$ 
    assume  $H: t \in domain(\{x \in A \times P . Q(x)\})$ 
    then have  $?f`t = (if t \in ?r(?n) - ``\{?n\} then val(G, t) else 0)$ 
      by simp
    moreover have ... =  $val(G, t)$ 
      using dom-under-edrel-eclose H if-P by auto
  }
then have  $Eq1: t \in domain(\{x \in A \times P . Q(x)\}) \implies$ 
   $val(G, t) = ?f`t$  for  $t$ 
  by simp
have
   $val(G, ?n) = \{val(G, t) \dots t \in domain(?n), \exists p \in P . \langle t, p \rangle \in ?n \wedge p \in G\}$ 
  by (subst def-val,simp)
also have
  ... =  $\{?f`t \dots t \in domain(?n), \exists p \in P . \langle t, p \rangle \in ?n \wedge p \in G\}$ 
  unfolding Hv-def
  by (subst SepReplace-dom-implies,auto simp add:Eq1)
also have
  ... =  $\{(if t \in ?r(?n) - ``\{?n\} then val(G, t) else 0) \dots t \in domain(?n), \exists p \in P .$ 
   $\langle t, p \rangle \in ?n \wedge p \in G\}$ 
  by (simp)
also have
   $Eq2: \dots = \{val(G, t) \dots t \in domain(?n), \exists p \in P . \langle t, p \rangle \in ?n \wedge p \in G\}$ 
proof -
  from dom-under-edrel-eclose have
     $domain(?n) \subseteq ?r(?n) - ``\{?n\}$ 
    by simp
then have
   $\forall t \in domain(?n). (if t \in ?r(?n) - ``\{?n\} then val(G, t) else 0) = val(G, t)$ 
  by auto
then show
  {  $(if t \in ?r(?n) - ``\{?n\} then val(G, t) else 0) \dots t \in domain(?n), \exists p \in P .$ 
   $\langle t, p \rangle \in ?n \wedge p \in G\} =$ 
    {  $val(G, t) \dots t \in domain(?n), \exists p \in P . \langle t, p \rangle \in ?n \wedge p \in G\}$ 
  by auto
qed
also have
  ... = {  $val(G, t) \dots t \in A, \exists p \in P . \langle t, p \rangle \in ?n \wedge p \in G\}$ 
  by force
finally show
   $val(G, ?n) = \{val(G, t) \dots t \in A, \exists p \in P . Q(\langle t, p \rangle) \wedge p \in G\}$ 

```

by auto
qed

lemma *val-of-name-alt* :
 $\text{val}(G, \{x \in A \times P. Q(x)\}) = \{\text{val}(G, t) \dots t \in A, \exists p \in P \cap G. Q(\langle t, p \rangle)\}$
using *val-of-name* **by force**

definition

GenExt :: $i \Rightarrow i$ ($M[-]$)
where $\text{GenExt}(G) == \{\text{val}(G, \tau). \tau \in M\}$

lemma *val-of-elem*: $\langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \implies p \in G \implies p \in P \implies \text{val}(G, \vartheta) \in \text{val}(G, \pi)$

proof –

assume
 $\langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi$
then have $\vartheta \in \text{domain}(\pi)$ **by auto**
assume
 $p \in G, p \in P$
with $\langle \vartheta \in \text{domain}(\pi), \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \rangle$ **have**
 $\text{val}(G, \vartheta) \in \{\text{val}(G, t) \dots t \in \text{domain}(\pi), \exists p \in P. \langle t, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge p \in G\}$
by auto
then show ?thesis **by** (subst def-val)
qed

lemma *elem-of-val*: $x \in \text{val}(G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta \in \text{domain}(\pi). \text{val}(G, \vartheta) = x$
by (subst (asm) def-val, auto)

lemma *elem-of-val-pair*: $x \in \text{val}(G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta. \exists p \in G. \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge \text{val}(G, \vartheta) = x$
by (subst (asm) def-val, auto)

lemma *GenExtD*:

$x \in M[G] \implies \exists \tau \in M. x = \text{val}(G, \tau)$
by (simp add: GenExt-def)

lemma *GenExtI*:

$x \in M \implies \text{val}(G, x) \in M[G]$
by (auto simp add: GenExt-def)

lemma *Transset-MG* : *Transset*($M[G]$)

proof –

{ fix vc y
assume $vc \in M[G]$ **and** $y \in vc$
from $\langle vc \in M[G] \rangle$ **and** $\langle y \in vc \rangle$ **obtain** c **where**
 $c \in M \text{ val}(G, c) \in M[G] \text{ } y \in \text{val}(G, c)$
using *GenExtD* **by auto**
from $\langle y \in \text{val}(G, c) \rangle$ **obtain** ϑ **where**
 $\vartheta \in \text{domain}(c) \text{ } \text{val}(G, \vartheta) = y$ **using** *elem-of-val* **by blast**
with *trans-M* $\langle c \in M \rangle$

```

have  $y \in M[G]$  using domain-trans GenExtI by blast
}
then show ?thesis using Transset-def by auto
qed

lemma check-n-M :
  fixes n
  assumes n ∈ nat
  shows check(n) ∈ M
  using ⟨n∈nat⟩ proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case using zero-in-M by (subst def-check,simp)
next
  case (succ x)
  have one ∈ M using one-in-P P-sub-M subsetD by simp
  with ⟨check(x)∈M⟩ have <check(x),one> ∈ M using pairM by simp
  then have {<check(x),one>} ∈ M using singletonM by simp
  with ⟨check(x)∈M⟩ have check(x) ∪ {<check(x),one>} ∈ M using Un-closed
  by simp
  then show ?case using ⟨x∈nat⟩ def-checkS by simp
qed

end

locale M-extra-assms = forcing-data +
assumes
  check-in-M :  $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies \text{check}(x) \in M$ 
  and repl-check-pair : strong-replacement(##M,  $\lambda p. y. y = \langle \text{check}(p), p \rangle$ )
begin
definition
  G-dot :: i where
  G-dot == {<check(p),p> . p ∈ P}

lemma G-dot-in-M :
  G-dot ∈ M
proof -
  have 0:G-dot = { y . p ∈ P , y = <check(p),p> }
  unfolding G-dot-def by auto
  from P-in-M check-in-M pairM P-sub-M have
    1: p ∈ P  $\implies \langle \text{check}(p), p \rangle \in M$  for p
    by auto
  with 1 repl-check-pair P-in-M strong-replacement-closed have
    { y . p ∈ P , y = <check(p),p> } ∈ M by simp
  then show ?thesis using 0 by simp
qed

lemma val-G-dot :

```

```

assumes  $G \subseteq P$ 
       $\text{one} \in G$ 
shows  $\text{val}(G, G\text{-dot}) = G$ 
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \in \text{val}(G, G\text{-dot})$ 
then obtain  $\vartheta p$  where
 $p \in G \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in G\text{-dot}$   $\text{val}(G, \vartheta) = x$   $\vartheta = \text{check}(p)$ 
unfolding  $G\text{-dot-def}$  using elem-of-val-pair  $G\text{-dot-in-}M$ 
by force
with  $\langle \text{one} \in G \rangle \langle G \subseteq P \rangle$  show
 $x \in G$ 
using valcheck  $P\text{-sub-}M$  by auto
next
fix  $p$ 
assume  $p \in G$ 
have  $q \in P \implies \langle \text{check}(q), q \rangle \in G\text{-dot}$  for  $q$ 
unfolding  $G\text{-dot-def}$  by simp
with  $\langle p \in G \rangle \langle G \subseteq P \rangle$  have
 $\text{val}(G, \text{check}(p)) \in \text{val}(G, G\text{-dot})$ 
using val-of-elem  $G\text{-dot-in-}M$  by blast
with  $\langle p \in G \rangle \langle G \subseteq P \rangle \langle \text{one} \in G \rangle$  show
 $p \in \text{val}(G, G\text{-dot})$ 
using  $P\text{-sub-}M$  valcheck by auto
qed

```

```

lemma  $G\text{-in-Gen-Ext} :$ 
assumes  $G \subseteq P$  and  $\text{one} \in G$ 
shows  $G \in M[G]$ 
using assms val-G-dot GenExtI[of -  $G$ ]  $G\text{-dot-in-}M$ 
by force

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

```
theory Extensionality-Axiom
```

```
imports
```

```
Names
```

```
begin
```

```
context forcing-data
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma extensionality-in-MG : extensionality(#(M[G]))
```

```
proof -
```

```
{
```

```
fix  $x y z$ 
```

```
assume
```

```

asms:  $x \in M[G] \ y \in M[G] \ (\forall w \in M[G] . \ w \in x \longleftrightarrow w \in y)$ 
from  $\langle x \in M[G] \rangle$  have
 $z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in M[G] \wedge z \in x$ 
using Transset-MG Transset-intf by auto
also have
 $\dots \longleftrightarrow z \in y$ 
using asms Transset-MG Transset-intf by auto
finally have
 $z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y .$ 
}
then have
 $\forall x \in M[G] . \forall y \in M[G] . (\forall z \in M[G] . z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y) \longrightarrow x = y$ 
by blast
then show ?thesis unfolding extensionality-def by simp
qed

end
end
theory Foundation-Axiom
imports
Names
begin

context forcing-data
begin

lemma foundation-in-MG : foundation-ax(#(M[G]))
unfolding foundation-ax-def
by (rule rallI, cut-tac A=x in foundation, auto intro: Transset-M [OF Transset-MG])

lemma foundation-ax(#(M[G]))
proof -
{
fix x
assume
 $x \in M[G] \ \exists y \in M[G] . \ y \in x$ 
then have
 $\exists y \in M[G] . \ y \in x \cap M[G]$ 
by simp
then obtain y where
 $y \in x \cap M[G] \ \forall z \in y. \ z \notin x \cap M[G]$ 
using foundation[of x ∩ M[G]] by blast
then have
 $\exists y \in M[G] . \ y \in x \wedge (\forall z \in M[G] . z \notin x \vee z \notin y)$ 
by auto
}
then show ?thesis

```

```

unfolded foundation-ax-def by auto
qed

end
end
theory Forcing-Theorems imports Interface Names begin

locale forcing-thms = forcing-data +
  fixes forces ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
  assumes definition-of-forces:  $p \in P \Rightarrow \varphi \in formula \Rightarrow env \in list(M) \Rightarrow$ 
     $sats(M, forces(\varphi), [P, leq, one, p] @ env) \leftrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall G. (M\text{-generic}(G) \wedge p \in G) \rightarrow sats(M[G], \varphi, map(val(G), env)))$ 
  and definability[TC]:  $\varphi \in formula \Rightarrow forces(\varphi) \in formula$ 
  and arity-forces:  $\varphi \in formula \Rightarrow arity(forces(\varphi)) = arity(\varphi) \# + 4$ 
  and truth-lemma:  $\varphi \in formula \Rightarrow env \in list(M) \Rightarrow M\text{-generic}(G) \Rightarrow$ 
     $(\exists p \in G. (sats(M, forces(\varphi), [P, leq, one, p] @ env)) \leftrightarrow$ 
     $sats(M[G], \varphi, map(val(G), env)))$ 
  and strengthening:  $p \in P \Rightarrow \varphi \in formula \Rightarrow env \in list(M) \Rightarrow q \in P \Rightarrow$ 
 $< q, p > \in leq \Rightarrow$ 
     $sats(M, forces(\varphi), [P, leq, one, p] @ env) \Rightarrow$ 
     $sats(M, forces(\varphi), [P, leq, one, q] @ env)$ 
  and density-lemma:  $p \in P \Rightarrow \varphi \in formula \Rightarrow env \in list(M) \Rightarrow$ 
     $sats(M, forces(\varphi), [P, leq, one, p] @ env) \leftrightarrow$ 
     $dense\_below(\{q \in P. sats(M, forces(\varphi), [P, leq, one, q] @ env)\}, p)$ 

begin
end

locale G-generic = forcing-thms +
  fixes G ::  $i$ 
  assumes generic :  $M\text{-generic}(G)$ 
begin

lemma zero-in-MG :
   $0 \in M[G]$ 
proof -
  from zero-in-M and elem-of-val have
   $0 = val(G, 0)$ 
  by auto
  also from GenExtI and zero-in-M have
   $\dots \in M[G]$ 
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma G-nonempty:  $G \neq 0$ 
proof -
  have  $P \subseteq P$  ..

```

```

with  $P$ -in- $M$   $P$ -dense  $\langle P \subseteq P \rangle$  show
   $G \neq 0$ 
  using generic unfolding  $M$ -generic-def by auto
qed

end

end
theory Separation-Axiom
imports Forcing-Theorems Renaming
begin

definition
  perm-sep-forces :: i where
  perm-sep-forces == {<0,3>,<1,4>,<2,5>,<3,1>,<4,0>,<5,6>,<6,7>,<7,2>}

lemma perm-sep-ftc : perm-sep-forces ∈ 8 -||> 8
  by(unfold perm-sep-forces-def,(rule consI,auto)+,rule emptyI)

lemma dom-perm-sep : domain(perm-sep-forces) = 8
  by(unfold perm-sep-forces-def,auto)

lemma perm-sep-tc : perm-sep-forces ∈ 8 → 8
  by(subst dom-perm-sep[symmetric],rule FiniteFun-is-fun,rule perm-sep-ftc)

lemma perm-sep-env :
  {p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w} ⊆ A ⇒ j < 8 ⇒
  nth(j,[t,s,w,p,q,r,u,v]) = nth(perm-sep-forces‘j,[q,p,v,t,s,w,r,u])
  apply(subgoal-tac j ∈ nat)
  apply(rule natE,simp,subst apply-fun,rule perm-sep-tc,simp add:perm-sep-forces-def,simp-all)+
  apply(subst apply-fun,rule perm-sep-tc,simp add:perm-sep-forces-def,simp-all,drule
ltD,auto)
  done

context G-generic begin

lemmas transitivity = Transset-intf trans-M

lemma one-in-M: one ∈ M
  by (insert one-in-P P-in-M, simp add: transitivity)

lemma six-sep-aux:
  assumes
    b ∈ M [σ, π] ∈ list(M) ψ ∈ formula arity(ψ) ≤ 6
  shows
    {u ∈ b. sats(M,ψ,[u] @ [P, leq, one] @ [σ, π])} ∈ M
proof -
  from assms P-in-M leq-in-M one-in-M have

```

```


$$(\forall u \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \text{sats}(M, \psi, [x] @ [P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ [\sigma, \pi])))$$

using sixp-sep by simp
with  $\langle b \in M \rangle$  show ?thesis
using separation-iff by auto
qed

lemma Collect-sats-in-MG :
assumes

$$\pi \in M \quad \sigma \in M \quad \text{val}(G, \pi) = c \quad \text{val}(G, \sigma) = w$$


$$\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2$$

shows

$$\{x \in c. \text{sats}(M[G], \varphi, [x, w])\} \in M[G]$$

proof –
let

$$?\chi = \text{And}(\text{Member}(0, 2), \varphi)$$

and

$$?Pl1 = [P, \text{leq}, \text{one}]$$

let

$$?\text{new-form} = \text{ren}(\text{forces}(?\chi)) \cdot 8 \cdot 8 \cdot \text{perm-sep-forces}$$

let

$$?\psi = \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair-fm}(0, 1, 2), ?\text{new-form})))$$

have  $8 \in \text{nat}$  by simp
note  $\text{phi} = \langle \varphi \in \text{formula} \rangle \langle \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \rangle$ 
then have

$$\text{arity}(?\chi) \leq 3$$

using nat-simp-union leI by simp
with phi have

$$\text{arity}(\text{forces}(?\chi)) \leq 8$$

using nat-simp-union arity-forces leI by simp
with phi definability[of ?\chi] arity-forces have

$$?\text{new-form} \in \text{formula}$$

using ren-tc[of forces(?\chi) 8 8 perm-sep-forces] perm-sep-te by simp
then have

$$?\psi \in \text{formula}$$

by simp
from  $\langle \varphi \in \text{formula} \rangle$  have

$$\text{forces}(?\chi) \in \text{formula}$$

using definability by simp
with  $\langle \text{arity}(\text{forces}(?\chi)) \leq 8 \rangle$  have

$$\text{arity}(?\text{new-form}) \leq 8$$

using ren-arity perm-sep-tc definability by simp
then have

$$\text{arity}(?\psi) \leq 6$$

unfolding pair-fm-def upair-fm-def
using nat-simp-union pred2-Un[of 8] by simp
from  $\langle \pi \in M \rangle \langle \sigma \in M \rangle P\text{-in-}M$  have

$$\text{domain}(\pi) \in M \quad \text{domain}(\pi) \times P \in M$$

by (simp-all del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])

```

```

note in-M =  $\langle \pi \in M \rangle \langle \sigma \in M \rangle \langle \text{domain}(\pi) \times P \in M \rangle \quad P\text{-in-}M \text{ one-in-}M \text{ leq-in-}M$ 
{
  fix u
  assume
    u  $\in \text{domain}(\pi) \times P$  u  $\in M$ 
  with in-M  $\langle ?\text{new-form} \in \text{formula} \rangle \langle ?\psi \in \text{formula} \rangle$  have
    Eq1: sats(M,  $? \psi, [u]$  @  $? \text{Pl1} @ [\sigma, \pi]$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
       $(\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. u = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$ 
      sats(M,  $? \text{new-form}, [\vartheta, p, u]$  @  $? \text{Pl1} @ [\sigma, \pi]$ ))
    by (auto simp add: transitivity)
  have
    Eq3:  $\vartheta \in M \implies p \in P \implies$ 
    sats(M,  $? \text{new-form}, [\vartheta, p, u]$  @  $? \text{Pl1} @ [\sigma, \pi]$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p \in F \longrightarrow \text{sats}(M[F], ?\chi, [\text{val}(F, \vartheta), \text{val}(F, \sigma),$ 
    val(F,  $\pi)]))$ 
    for  $\vartheta$  p
  proof -
    fix p  $\vartheta$ 
    assume
       $\vartheta \in M$   $p \in P$ 
    with P-in-M have p  $\in M$  by (simp add: transitivity)
  note
    in-M' = in-M  $\langle \vartheta \in M \rangle \langle p \in M \rangle \langle u \in \text{domain}(\pi) \times P \rangle \langle u \in M \rangle$ 
  then have
     $[\vartheta, \sigma, u] \in \text{list}(M)$  by simp
  let
     $? \text{env} = ? \text{Pl1} @ [p, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi, u]$ 
  let
     $? \text{new-env} = [\vartheta, p, u, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, \sigma, \pi]$ 
  let
     $? \psi = \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair-fm}(0, 1, 2), ? \text{new-form})))$ 
  have
     $? \chi \in \text{formula} \text{ arity}(? \chi) \leq 3 \text{ forces}(? \chi) \in \text{formula}$ 
    using phi nat-simp-union leI by auto
  with arity-forces have
     $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(? \chi)) \leq 7$ 
    by simp
  then have  $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(? \chi)) \leq 8$  using le-trans by simp
  from in-M' have
     $? \text{Pl1} \in \text{list}(M)$  by simp
  from in-M' have  $? \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$  by simp
  have
    Eq1':  $? \text{new-env} \in \text{list}(M)$  using in-M' by simp
  then have
    sats(M,  $? \text{new-form}, [\vartheta, p, u]$  @  $? \text{Pl1} @ [\sigma, \pi]$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(M,  $? \text{new-form}, ? \text{new-env}$ )
    by simp
  also from  $\langle \text{forces}(? \chi) \in \text{formula} \rangle \langle 8 \in \text{nat} \rangle \langle ? \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \rangle$ 
     $\langle ? \text{new-env} \in \text{list}(M) \rangle \langle \text{perm-sep-tc} \langle \text{arity}(\text{forces}(? \chi)) \leq 8 \rangle$ 
  have

```

$\dots \longleftrightarrow sats(M, forces(\chi), ?env)$
using $sats\text{-}iff\text{-}sats\text{-}ren[of - 8 8 ?env M ?new-env]$ *perm-sep-env*
by auto
also have
 $\dots \longleftrightarrow sats(M, forces(\chi), [P, leq, one, p, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi] @ [u])$ **by simp**
also from $\langle \text{arity}(forces(\chi)) \leq 7 \rangle \langle forces(\chi) \in formula \rangle \text{ in-}M'$ **phi have**
 $\dots \longleftrightarrow sats(M, forces(\chi), [P, leq, one, p, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi])$
by (rule-tac arity-sats-iff, auto)
also from $\langle \text{arity}(forces(\chi)) \leq 7 \rangle \langle forces(\chi) \in formula \rangle \text{ in-}M'$ **phi have**
 $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p \in F \longrightarrow$
 $\quad sats(M[F], \chi, [val(F, \vartheta), val(F, \sigma), val(F, \pi)]))$
using definition-of-forces
proof (intro iffI)
assume
 $a1: sats(M, forces(\chi), [P, leq, one, p, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi])$
note definition-of-forces
then have
 $p \in P \implies \chi \in formula \implies [\vartheta, \sigma, \pi] \in list(M) \implies$
 $\quad sats(M, forces(\chi), [P, leq, one, p] @ [\vartheta, \sigma, \pi]) \implies$
 $\quad \forall G. M\text{-generic}(G) \wedge p \in G \longrightarrow sats(M[G], \chi, map(val(G), [\vartheta, \sigma, \pi]))$
 \dots
then show
 $\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p \in F \longrightarrow$
 $\quad sats(M[F], \chi, [val(F, \vartheta), val(F, \sigma), val(F, \pi)])$
using $\langle \chi \in formula \rangle \langle p \in P \rangle a1 \langle \vartheta \in M \rangle \langle \sigma \in M \rangle \langle \pi \in M \rangle$ **by auto**
next
assume
 $\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p \in F \longrightarrow$
 $\quad sats(M[F], \chi, [val(F, \vartheta), val(F, \sigma), val(F, \pi)])$
with definition-of-forces [THEN iffD2] show
 $\quad sats(M, forces(\chi), [P, leq, one, p, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi])$
using $\langle \chi \in formula \rangle \langle p \in P \rangle \text{ in-}M'$ **by auto**
qed
finally show
 $sats(M, ?new-form, [\vartheta, p, u] @ ?Pl1 @ [\sigma, \pi]) \longleftrightarrow (\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p \in F$
 $\longrightarrow sats(M[F], \chi, [val(F, \vartheta), val(F, \sigma), val(F, \pi)]))$ **by simp**
qed
with Eq1 have
 $sats(M, ?\psi, [u] @ ?Pl1 @ [\sigma, \pi]) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. u = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$
 $\quad (\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p \in F \longrightarrow sats(M[F], \chi, [val(F, \vartheta), val(F, \sigma),$
 $\quad val(F, \pi)])))$
by auto
 $\}$
then have
Equivalence: $u \in domain(\pi) \times P \implies u \in M \implies$
 $sats(M, ?\psi, [u] @ ?Pl1 @ [\sigma, \pi]) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. u = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$

$(\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p \in F \longrightarrow \text{sats}(M[F], ?\chi, [\text{val}(F, \vartheta), \text{val}(F, \sigma), \text{val}(F, \pi)]))$
for u
by *simp*
with generic have
 $u \in \text{domain}(\pi) \times P \implies u \in M \implies$
 $\text{sats}(M, ?\psi, [u, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, \sigma, \pi]) \implies$
 $(\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. u = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$
 $(p \in G \longrightarrow \text{sats}(M[G], ?\chi, [\text{val}(G, \vartheta), \text{val}(G, \sigma), \text{val}(G, \pi)])))$ **for** u
by *force*
moreover have
 $\text{val}(G, \sigma) \in M[G]$ **and** $\vartheta \in M \implies \text{val}(G, \vartheta) \in M[G]$ **for** ϑ
using *GenExt-def* $\langle \sigma \in M \rangle$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have
 $u \in \text{domain}(\pi) \times P \implies u \in M \implies$
 $\text{sats}(M, ?\psi, [u, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, \sigma, \pi]) \implies$
 $(\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. u = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$
 $(p \in G \longrightarrow$
 $\text{val}(G, \vartheta) \in \text{val}(G, \pi) \wedge \text{sats}(M[G], \varphi, [\text{val}(G, \vartheta), \text{val}(G, \sigma), \text{val}(G, \pi)]))$ **for** u
using $\langle \pi \in M \rangle$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle \text{domain}(\pi) \times P \in M \rangle$ **have**
 $\forall u \in \text{domain}(\pi) \times P. \text{sats}(M, ?\psi, [u] @ ?\text{Pl1} @ [\sigma, \pi]) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. u = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$
 $(p \in G \longrightarrow \text{val}(G, \vartheta) \in \text{val}(G, \pi) \wedge \text{sats}(M[G], \varphi, [\text{val}(G, \vartheta), \text{val}(G, \sigma), \text{val}(G, \pi)]))$
by *(simp add:transitivity)*
then have
 $\{u \in \text{domain}(\pi) \times P . \text{sats}(M, ?\psi, [u] @ ?\text{Pl1} @ [\sigma, \pi])\} \subseteq$
 $\{u \in \text{domain}(\pi) \times P . \exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. u = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$
 $(p \in G \longrightarrow \text{val}(G, \vartheta) \in \text{val}(G, \pi) \wedge \text{sats}(M[G], \varphi, [\text{val}(G, \vartheta), \text{val}(G, \sigma), \text{val}(G, \pi)]))\}$
is $?n \subseteq ?m$
by *auto*
with *val-mono* **have**
first-incl: $\text{val}(G, ?n) \subseteq \text{val}(G, ?m)$
by *simp*
note
 $\langle \text{val}(G, \pi) = c \rangle \langle \text{val}(G, \sigma) = w \rangle$
with $\langle ?\psi \in \text{formula} \rangle$ $\langle \text{arity}(?ψ) \leq 6 \rangle$ **in-M have**
 $?n \in M$
using *six-sep-aux* **by** *simp*
from *generic* **have**
 $\text{filter}(G) \subseteq P$
unfolding *M-generic-def* *filter-def* **by** *simp-all*
from $\langle \text{val}(G, \pi) = c \rangle \langle \text{val}(G, \sigma) = w \rangle$ **have**
 $\text{val}(G, ?m) =$
 $\{\text{val}(G, t) \dots t \in \text{domain}(\pi), \exists q \in P .$
 $(\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. \langle t, q \rangle = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$

```


$$(p \in G \longrightarrow val(G, \vartheta) \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [val(G, \vartheta), w, c])) \wedge q \in G\}$$

using val-of-name by auto
also have

$$\dots = \{val(G, t) \dots t \in domain(\pi), \exists q \in P.$$


$$val(G, t) \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [val(G, t), w, c]) \wedge q \in G\}$$

proof –
have

$$t \in M \implies$$


$$(\exists q \in P. (\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in P. \langle t, q \rangle = \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$$


$$(p \in G \longrightarrow val(G, \vartheta) \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [val(G, \vartheta), w, c])) \wedge q \in G))$$


$$\iff$$


$$(\exists q \in P. val(G, t) \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [val(G, t), w, c]) \wedge q \in G)$$
 for t
by auto
then show ?thesis using <domain(&pi;) ∈ M by (auto simp add:transitivity)
qed
also have

$$\dots = \{x \dots x \in c, \exists q \in P. x \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, w, c]) \wedge q \in G\}$$

proof
show

$$\dots \subseteq \{x \dots x \in c, \exists q \in P. x \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, w, c]) \wedge q \in G\}$$

by auto
next


$$\{$$

fix x
assume

$$x \in \{x \dots x \in c, \exists q \in P. x \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, w, c]) \wedge q \in G\}$$

then have

$$\exists q \in P. x \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, w, c]) \wedge q \in G$$

by simp
with <val(G, &pi;) = c> have

$$\exists q \in P. \exists t \in domain(\pi). val(G, t) = x \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [val(G, t), w, c]) \wedge q$$


$$\in G$$

using Sep-and-Replace elem-of-val by auto

$$\}$$

then show

$$\{x \dots x \in c, \exists q \in P. x \in c \wedge sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, w, c]) \wedge q \in G\} \subseteq \dots$$

using SepReplace-iff by force
qed
also have

$$\dots = \{x \in c. sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, w, c])\}$$

using <G ⊆ P> G-nonempty by force
finally have

$$val\_m: val(G, ?m) = \{x \in c. sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, w, c])\}$$
 by simp
have

$$val(G, ?m) \subseteq val(G, ?n)$$

proof
fix x

```

assume
 $x \in val(G, ?m)$
with $val-m$ **have**
 $Eq4: x \in \{x \in c. sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, w, c])\}$ **by** *simp*
with $\langle val(G, \pi) = c \rangle$ **have**
 $x \in val(G, \pi)$ **by** *simp*
then have
 $\exists \vartheta. \exists q \in G. \langle \vartheta, q \rangle \in \pi \wedge val(G, \vartheta) = x$
using *elem-of-val-pair* **by** *auto*
then obtain ϑq **where**
 $\langle \vartheta, q \rangle \in \pi \quad q \in G \quad val(G, \vartheta) = x$ **by** *auto*
from $\langle \langle \vartheta, q \rangle \in \pi \rangle \langle \pi \in M \rangle$ *trans-M* **have**
 $\vartheta \in M$
unfolding *Pair-def Transset-def* **by** *auto*
with $\langle \pi \in M \rangle \langle \sigma \in M \rangle$ **have**
 $[val(G, \vartheta), val(G, \sigma), val(G, \pi)] \in list(M[G])$
using *GenExt-def* **by** *auto*
with $Eq4 \langle val(G, \vartheta) = x \rangle \langle val(G, \pi) = c \rangle \langle val(G, \sigma) = w \rangle \langle x \in val(G, \pi) \rangle$ **have**
 $Eq5: sats(M[G], And(Member(0, 2), \varphi), [val(G, \vartheta), val(G, \sigma), val(G, \pi)])$
by *auto*

with $\langle \vartheta \in M \rangle \langle \pi \in M \rangle \langle \sigma \in M \rangle$ *M-generic(G)* $\langle \varphi \in formula \rangle$ **have**
 $(\exists r \in G. sats(M, forces(?\chi), [P, leq, one, r, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi]))$
using *truth-lemma* **by** *auto*
then obtain r **where**
 $r \in G \quad sats(M, forces(?_\chi), [P, leq, one, r, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi])$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle filter(G) \rangle$ **and** $\langle q \in G \rangle$ **obtain** p **where**
 $p \in G \quad \langle p, q \rangle \in leq \quad \langle p, r \rangle \in leq$
unfolding *filter-def compat-in-def* **by** *force*
with $\langle r \in G \rangle \langle q \in G \rangle \langle G \subseteq P \rangle$ **have**
 $p \in P \quad r \in P \quad q \in P \quad p \in M$
using *P-in-M* **by** (*auto simp add:transitivity*)
with $\langle \varphi \in formula \rangle \langle \vartheta \in M \rangle \langle \pi \in M \rangle \langle \sigma \in M \rangle \langle \langle p, r \rangle \in leq \rangle$
 $\langle sats(M, forces(?_\chi), [P, leq, one, r, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi]) \rangle$ **have**
 $sats(M, forces(?_\chi), [P, leq, one, p, \vartheta, \sigma, \pi])$
using *strengthening* **by** *simp*
with $\langle p \in P \rangle \langle \varphi \in formula \rangle \langle \vartheta \in M \rangle \langle \pi \in M \rangle \langle \sigma \in M \rangle$ **have**
 $\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p \in F \longrightarrow$
 $sats(M[F], ?\chi, [val(F, \vartheta), val(F, \sigma), val(F, \pi)])$
using *definition-of-forces* **by** *simp*
with $\langle p \in P \rangle \langle \vartheta \in M \rangle$ **have**
 $Eq6: \exists \vartheta' \in M. \exists p' \in P. \langle \vartheta, p \rangle = \langle \vartheta', p' \rangle \wedge (\forall F. M\text{-generic}(F) \wedge p' \in F \longrightarrow$
 $sats(M[F], ?\chi, [val(F, \vartheta'), val(F, \sigma), val(F, \pi)]))$ **by** *auto*
from $\langle \pi \in M \rangle \langle \langle \vartheta, q \rangle \in \pi \rangle$ **have**
 $\langle \vartheta, q \rangle \in M$ **by** (*simp add:transitivity*)
from $\langle \langle \vartheta, q \rangle \in \pi \rangle \langle \vartheta \in M \rangle \langle p \in P \rangle \langle p \in M \rangle$ **have**
 $\langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in M \quad \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in domain(\pi) \times P$
using *pairM* **by** *auto*
with $\langle \vartheta \in M \rangle$ *Eq6* $\langle p \in P \rangle$ **have**

```

  sats(M,?ψ,[⟨θ,p⟩] @ ?Pl1 @ [σ,π])
  using Equivalence by auto
  with ⟨⟨θ,p⟩∈domain(π)×P⟩ have
    ⟨θ,p⟩∈?n by simp
  with ⟨p∈G⟩ ⟨p∈P⟩ have
    val(G,θ)∈val(G,?n)
    using val-of-elem[of θ p] by simp
  with ⟨val(G,θ)=x⟩ show
    x∈val(G,?n) by simp
qed
with val-m first-incl have
  val(G,?n) = {x∈c. sats(M[G], φ, [x, w, c])} by auto
also have
  ... = {x∈c. sats(M[G], φ, [x, w])}
proof -
  {
    fix x
    assume
      x∈c
    moreover from assms have
      c∈M[G] w∈M[G]
      unfolding GenExt-def by auto
    moreover with ⟨x∈c⟩ have
      x∈M[G]
      by (simp add: Transset-MG Transset-intf)
    ultimately have
      sats(M[G], φ, [x,w]@[c]) ↔ sats(M[G], φ, [x,w])
      using phi by (rule-tac arity-sats-iff, simp-all)
  }
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
finally show
  {x∈c. sats(M[G], φ, [x, w])}∈ M[G]
  using ⟨?n∈M⟩ GenExt-def by force
qed

```

```

theorem separation-in-MG:
assumes
  φ∈formula and arity(φ) = 1 ∨ arity(φ)=2
shows
  (∀ a∈(M[G]). separation(##M[G],λx. sats(M[G],φ,[x,a])))
proof -
  {
    fix c w
    assume
      c∈M[G] w∈M[G]
    then obtain π σ where
      val(G, π) = c val(G, σ) = w π ∈ M σ ∈ M
      using GenExt-def by auto
  }

```

```

with assms have
  Eq1: { $x \in c. \text{sats}(M[G], \varphi, [x, w])\} \in M[G]$ 
    using Collect-sats-in-MG by auto
}
then show ?thesis using separation-iff rev-bexI
  unfolding is-Collect-def by force
qed
end
end
theory Pairing-Axiom imports Names Interface begin

context forcing-data
begin

lemma valsigma :
  one  $\in G \implies \{\langle \tau, \text{one} \rangle, \langle \varrho, \text{one} \rangle\} \in M \implies$ 
   $\text{val}(G, \{\langle \tau, \text{one} \rangle, \langle \varrho, \text{one} \rangle\}) = \{\text{val}(G, \tau), \text{val}(G, \varrho)\}$ 
  by (insert one-in-P, rule trans, subst def-val, auto simp add: Sep-and-Replace)

lemma pairing-in-MG :
  assumes M-generic( $G$ )
  shows upair-ax( $\#\# M[G]$ )
proof -
{
  fix  $x y$ 
  have one  $\in G$  using assms one-in-G by simp
  from assms have  $G \subseteq P$ 
    unfolding M-generic-def and filter-def by simp
    with one  $\in G$  have one  $\in P$  using subsetD by simp
    then have one  $\in M$  using Transset-intf[OF trans-M - P-in-M] by simp
    assume  $x \in M[G]$   $y \in M[G]$ 
    then obtain  $\tau \varrho$  where
       $\theta : \text{val}(G, \tau) = x \text{ val}(G, \varrho) = y \varrho \in M \tau \in M$ 
      using GenExtD by blast
      with one  $\in M$  have  $\langle \tau, \text{one} \rangle \in M \langle \varrho, \text{one} \rangle \in M$ 
        using pair-in-M-iff by auto
      then have 1:  $\{\langle \tau, \text{one} \rangle, \langle \varrho, \text{one} \rangle\} \in M$  (is ? $\sigma \in \cdot$ )
        using upair-in-M-iff by simp
      then have  $\text{val}(G, ?\sigma) \in M[G]$ 
        using GenExtI by simp
      with 1 have  $\{\text{val}(G, \tau), \text{val}(G, \varrho)\} \in M[G]$ 
        using valsigma assms one-in-G by simp
      with  $\theta$  have  $\{x, y\} \in M[G]$  by simp
    }
    then show ?thesis unfolding upair-ax-def upair-def by auto
qed

end
end

```

```

theory Union-Axiom
imports Names Nat-Miscellanea
begin

context forcing-data
begin

definition Union-name-body :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
Union-name-body(P',leq',τ,ϑp) == (∃ σ[##M].
  ∃ q[##M]. (q ∈ P' ∧ (<σ,q> ∈ τ ∧
    (∃ r[##M].r ∈ P' ∧ (<fst(ϑp),r> ∈ σ ∧ <snd(ϑp),r> ∈ leq' ∧
    <snd(ϑp),q> ∈ leq')))))

definition Union-name-fm :: i where
Union-name-fm ==
Exists(* ϑ *)(
  Exists(* p *)(And(pair-fm(1,0,2),
    Exists (* σ*)((
      Exists (* q*)(And(Member(0,7),
        Exists (* σ,q *)(And(And(pair-fm(2,1,0),Member(0,6)),
          Exists (* r *)(And(Member(0,9),
            Exists (* ϑ,r *)(And(And(pair-fm(6,1,0),Member(0,4)),
              Exists (* p,r *)(And(And(pair-fm(6,2,0),Member(0,10)),
                Exists (* p,q *)(And(pair-fm(7,5,0),Member(0,11))))))))))))))))))

lemma Union-name-fm-type [TC]:
Union-name-fm ∈ formula
unfolding Union-name-fm-def by simp

lemma Union-name-fm-arity :
arity(Union-name-fm) = 4
unfolding Union-name-fm-def upair-fm-def pair-fm-def
by(auto simp add: nat-simp-union)

lemma sats-Union-name-fm :
[ a ∈ M ; b ∈ M ; P' ∈ M ; p ∈ M ; ϑ ∈ M ; τ ∈ M ; leq' ∈ M ] ==>
  sats(M,Union-name-fm,[<ϑ,p>,τ,leq',P']@[a,b]) ↔
  Union-name-body(P',leq',τ,<ϑ,p>)
unfolding Union-name-fm-def Union-name-body-def pairM
by (subgoal-tac <ϑ,p> ∈ M, auto simp add : pairM)

lemma domD :
assumes τ ∈ M σ ∈ domain(τ)
shows σ ∈ M
using assms Transset-M trans-M
by (simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])

```

```

definition Union-name ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  Union-name( $\tau$ ) ==
     $\{u \in \text{domain}(\bigcup(\text{domain}(\tau))) \times P . \text{Union-name-body}(P, \text{leq}, \tau, u)\}$ 

lemma Union-name-M : assumes  $\tau \in M$ 
  shows  $\{u \in \text{domain}(\bigcup(\text{domain}(\tau))) \times P . \text{Union-name-body}(P, \text{leq}, \tau, u)\} \in M$ 
  unfolding Union-name-def
proof -
  let  $?P = \lambda x . \text{sats}(M, \text{Union-name-fm}, [x, \tau, \text{leq}] @ [P, \tau, \text{leq}])$ 
  let  $?Q = \lambda x . \text{Union-name-body}(P, \text{leq}, \tau, x)$ 
  from  $\langle \tau \in M \rangle$  have  $\text{domain}(\bigcup(\text{domain}(\tau))) \in M$  (is  $?d \in -$ ) using domain-closed
  Union-closed by simp
  then have  $?d \times P \in M$  using cartprod-closed P-in-M by simp
  have arity(Union-name-fm) ≤ 6 using Union-name-fm-arity by simp
  from assms P-in-M leq-in-M Union-name-fm-arity have
     $[\tau, \text{leq}] \in \text{list}(M)$   $[P, \tau, \text{leq}] \in \text{list}(M)$  by auto
  with assms assms P-in-M leq-in-M arity(Union-name-fm) ≤ 6 have
     $\forall u \in M . \text{separation}(\#\#M, ?P)$ 
    using sixp-sep[of Union-name-fm  $\tau$  leq P  $\tau$  leq] by simp
  with  $\langle ?d \times P \in M \rangle$  have A:{ $u \in ?d \times P . ?P(u)$ } ∈ M
    using separation-iff by force
  {fix x
    assume  $x \in ?d \times P$ 
    then have  $x = \langle \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x) \rangle$  using Pair-fst-snd-eq by simp
    with  $\langle x \in ?d \times P \rangle \langle ?d \in M \rangle$  have
       $\text{fst}(x) \in M$   $\text{snd}(x) \in M$  using transM fst-type snd-type P-in-M by auto
    then have  $?P(\langle \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x) \rangle) \longleftrightarrow ?Q(\langle \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x) \rangle)$ 
      using P-in-M sats-Union-name-fm P-in-M τ ∈ M leq-in-M by simp
    with  $\langle x = \langle \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x) \rangle \rangle$  have  $?P(x) \longleftrightarrow ?Q(x)$  by simp
  }
  then have  $?P(x) \longleftrightarrow ?Q(x)$  if  $x \in ?d \times P$  for x using that by simp
  then show ?thesis using Collect-cong A by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Union-abs-trans :
  assumes Transset(Q)  $a \in Q$   $z \in Q \bigcup a = z$ 
  shows big-union(##Q, a, z)
proof -
  {
    fix x
    assume  $x \in z$ 
    with  $\langle \bigcup a = z \rangle \langle \text{Transset}(Q) \rangle \langle a \in Q \rangle$  obtain y where
       $y \in a$   $x \in y$   $y \in Q$ 
      unfolding Transset-def using subsetD by blast
    then have  $\exists y[\#\#Q]. x \in y \wedge y \in a$  by auto
  }
  then have 1:  $x \in z \implies \exists y[\#\#Q]. x \in y \wedge y \in a$  for x .

```

with $\bigcup a = z$ have $\exists y[\#\# Q]. y \in a \wedge x \in y \implies x \in z$ for x by blast
 then show ?thesis using 1 unfolding big-union-def by blast
 qed

lemma Union-MG-Eq :
assumes $a \in M[G]$ **and** $a = val(G, \tau)$ **and** $filter(G)$ **and** $\tau \in M$
shows $\bigcup a = val(G, Union-name(\tau))$

proof –

{
 fix x
assume $x \in \bigcup (val(G, \tau))$
then obtain i **where** $i \in val(G, \tau)$ $x \in i$ **by** blast
with $\langle \tau \in M \rangle$ **obtain** σq **where**
 $q \in G \langle \sigma, q \rangle \in \tau$ $val(G, \sigma) = i$ $\sigma \in M$
using elem-of-val-pair domD **by** blast
with $\langle x \in i \rangle$ **obtain** ϑr **where**
 $r \in G \langle \vartheta, r \rangle \in \sigma$ $val(G, \vartheta) = x$ $\vartheta \in M$
using elem-of-val-pair domD **by** blast
with $\langle \langle \sigma, q \rangle \in \tau \rangle$ **have** $\vartheta \in domain(\bigcup(domain(\tau)))$ **by** auto
with $\langle filter(G) \rangle$ $\langle q \in G \rangle$ $\langle r \in G \rangle$ **obtain** p **where**
 $A: p \in G \langle p, r \rangle \in leq \langle p, q \rangle \in leq p \in P r \in P q \in P$
using low-bound-filter filterD **by** blast
then have $p \in M$ $q \in M$ $r \in M$ **using** transM P-in-M **by** auto
with $A \langle \langle \vartheta, r \rangle \in \sigma \rangle$ $\langle \langle \sigma, q \rangle \in \tau \rangle$ $\langle \vartheta \in M \rangle$ $\langle \vartheta \in domain(\bigcup(domain(\tau))) \rangle$
 $\langle \sigma \in M \rangle$ **have**
 $\langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in Union-name(\tau)$ **unfolding** Union-name-def Union-name-body-def
by auto
with $\langle p \in P \rangle$ $\langle p \in G \rangle$ **have** $val(G, \vartheta) \in val(G, Union-name(\tau))$
using val-of-elem **by** simp
with $\langle val(G, \vartheta) = x \rangle$ **have** $x \in val(G, Union-name(\tau))$ **by** simp
}
with $\langle a = val(G, \tau) \rangle$ **have** 1: $x \in \bigcup a \implies x \in val(G, Union-name(\tau))$ **for** x **by**
simp
{
 fix x
assume $x \in (val(G, Union-name(\tau)))$
then obtain ϑp **where**
 $p \in G \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in Union-name(\tau)$ $val(G, \vartheta) = x$
using elem-of-val-pair **by** blast
with $\langle filter(G) \rangle$ **have** $p \in P$ **using** filterD **by** simp
from $\langle \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in Union-name(\tau) \rangle$ **obtain** $\sigma q r$ **where**
 $\sigma \in domain(\tau)$ $\langle \sigma, q \rangle \in \tau$ $\langle \vartheta, r \rangle \in \sigma$ $r \in P$ $q \in P$ $\langle p, r \rangle \in leq \langle p, q \rangle \in leq$
unfolding Union-name-def Union-name-body-def **by** force
with $\langle p \in G \rangle$ $\langle filter(G) \rangle$ **have** $r \in G$ $q \in G$
using filter-leqD **by** auto
with $\langle \langle \vartheta, r \rangle \in \sigma \rangle$ $\langle \langle \sigma, q \rangle \in \tau \rangle$ $\langle q \in P \rangle$ $\langle r \in P \rangle$ **have**
 $val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \tau)$ $val(G, \vartheta) \in val(G, \sigma)$
using val-of-elem **by** simp +
then have $val(G, \vartheta) \in \bigcup val(G, \tau)$ **by** blast

```

with ⟨val(G,ϑ)=x⟩ ⟨a=val(G,τ)⟩ have
  x ∈ ∪ a by simp
}
with ⟨a=val(G,τ)⟩ have x ∈ val(G,Union-name(τ)) ==> x ∈ ∪ a for x by blast
  then show ?thesis using 1 by blast
qed

lemma union-in-MG : assumes filter(G)
  shows Union-ax(##M[G])
  proof -
    { fix a
      assume a ∈ M[G]
      then obtain τ where τ ∈ M a=val(G,τ) using GenExtD by blast
      then have Union-name(τ) ∈ M (is ?π ∈ -) using Union-name-M unfolding
        Union-name-def by simp
      then have val(G,?π) ∈ M[G] (is ?U ∈ -) using GenExtI by simp
      with ⟨a∈M[G]⟩ ⟨τ ∈ M⟩ ⟨filter(G)⟩ ⟨?U ∈ M[G]⟩ ⟨a=val(G,τ)⟩
        have big-union(##M[G],a,?U)
          using Union-MG-Eq Union-abs-trans Transset-MG by blast
        with ⟨?U ∈ M[G]⟩ have ∃ z[##M[G]]. big-union(##M[G],a,z) by force
      }
      then have Union-ax(##M[G]) unfolding Union-ax-def by force
      then show ?thesis by simp
    qed

theorem Union-MG : M-generic(G) ==> Union-ax(##M[G])
  by (simp add:M-generic-def union-in-MG)

end
end

theory Powerset-Axiom
  imports Separation-Axiom Pairing-Axiom Union-Axiom
begin

definition
  perm-pow :: i where
  perm-pow == {<0,3>,<1,4>,<2,5>,<3,1>,<4,0>,<5,6>}

lemma perm-pow-fc : perm-pow ∈ 6 -||> 7 domain(perm-pow) = 6
  unfolding perm-pow-def
  by (blast intro: consI emptyI,auto)

lemma perm-pow-tc : perm-pow ∈ 6 → 7
  using FiniteFun-is-fun perm-pow-fc
  by force

lemma perm-pow-env :
  {p,l,o,ss,fs,χ} ⊆ A ==> j < 6 ==>
  nth(j,[p,l,o,ss,fs,χ]) = nth(perm-pow`j,[fs,ss,sp,p,l,o,χ])

```

```

apply(subgoal-tac  $j \in \text{nat}$ )
apply(rule  $\text{natE}, \text{simp}, \text{subst apply-fun}, \text{rule perm-pow-tc}, \text{simp add:perm-pow-def}, \text{simp-all}$ ) +
apply(subst apply-fun, rule perm-pow-tc, simp add:perm-pow-def, simp-all, drule ltD, auto)
done

lemma (in M-trivial) powerset-subset-Pow:
assumes
   $\text{powerset}(M, x, y) \wedge \forall z. z \in y \implies M(z)$ 
shows
   $y \subseteq \text{Pow}(x)$ 
using assms unfolding powerset-def
by (auto)

lemma (in M-trivial) powerset-abs:
assumes
   $M(x) \wedge \forall z. z \in y \implies M(z)$ 
shows
   $\text{powerset}(M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \{a \in \text{Pow}(x) . M(a)\}$ 
proof (intro iffI equalityI)

assume
   $\text{powerset}(M, x, y)$ 
with assms have
   $y \subseteq \text{Pow}(x)$ 
using powerset-subset-Pow by simp
with assms show
   $y \subseteq \{a \in \text{Pow}(x) . M(a)\}$ 
by blast
{  

  fix  $a$   

assume  

   $a \subseteq x M(a)$ 
then have
   $\text{subset}(M, a, x)$  by simp
with { $M(a)$ } { $\text{powerset}(M, x, y)$ } have
   $a \in y$ 
unfoldings powerset-def by simp
}
then show
   $\{a \in \text{Pow}(x) . M(a)\} \subseteq y$ 
by auto
next
assume
   $y = \{a \in \text{Pow}(x) . M(a)\}$ 
then show
   $\text{powerset}(M, x, y)$ 
unfoldings powerset-def

```

```

    by simp
qed

lemma Collect-inter-Transset:
assumes
  Transset(M) b ∈ M
shows
  {x ∈ b . P(x)} = {x ∈ b . P(x)} ∩ M
  using assms unfolding Transset-def
by (auto)

context G-generic begin

lemma name-components-in-M:
assumes <σ,p> ∈ θ θ ∈ M
shows σ ∈ M p ∈ M
proof –
from assms obtain a where
  σ ∈ a p ∈ a a ∈ <σ,p>
  unfolding Pair-def by auto
moreover from assms have
  <σ,p> ∈ M
  using trans-M Transset-intf[of - <σ,p>] by simp
moreover from calculation have
  a ∈ M
  using trans-M Transset-intf[of - - <σ,p>] by simp
ultimately show
  σ ∈ M p ∈ M
  using trans-M Transset-intf[of - - a] by simp-all
qed

lemma sats-fst-snd-in-M:
assumes
  A ∈ M B ∈ M φ ∈ formula p ∈ M l ∈ M o ∈ M χ ∈ M
  arity(φ) ≤ 6
shows
  {sq ∈ A × B . sats(M, φ, [p, l, o, snd(sq), fst(sq), χ])} ∈ M
  (is ?θ ∈ M)
proof –
have 6 ∈ nat 7 ∈ nat by simp-all
let ?φ' = ren(φ) `6`7`perm-pow
from ⟨A ∈ M⟩ ⟨B ∈ M⟩ have
  A × B ∈ M
  using cartprod-closed by simp
from ⟨arity(φ) ≤ 6⟩ ⟨φ ∈ formula⟩ have
  ?φ' ∈ formula arity(?φ') ≤ 7
  using perm-pow-tc ren-arity ren-tc by simp-all
with ⟨?φ' ∈ formula⟩ have
  1: arity(Exists(Exists(And(pair-fm(0,1,2),?φ')))) ≤ 5      (is arity(?ψ) ≤ 5)

```

```

unfolding pair-fm-def upair-fm-def
using nat-simp-union pred-le arity-type by auto
{
fix sp
note ⟨A×B ∈ M⟩
moreover assume
sp ∈ A×B
moreover from calculation have
fst(sp) ∈ A snd(sp) ∈ B
using fst-type snd-type by simp-all
ultimately have
sp ∈ M fst(sp) ∈ M snd(sp) ∈ M
using ⟨A∈M⟩ ⟨B∈M⟩
by (simp-all add: trans-M Transset-intf)
note
inM = ⟨A∈M⟩ ⟨B∈M⟩ ⟨p∈M⟩ ⟨l∈M⟩ ⟨o∈M⟩ ⟨χ∈M⟩
⟨sp∈M⟩ ⟨fst(sp)∈M⟩ ⟨snd(sp)∈M⟩
with 1 ⟨sp ∈ M⟩ ⟨?φ' ∈ formula⟩ have
sats(M,?ψ,[sp,p,l,o,χ]@[p]) ←→ sats(M,?ψ,[sp,p,l,o,χ]) (is sats(M,-,?env0@-)
←→ -)
using arity-sats-iff[of ?ψ [p] M ?env0] by auto
also from inM ⟨sp ∈ A×B⟩ have
... ←→ sats(M,?φ',[fst(sp),snd(sp),sp,p,l,o,χ])
by auto
also from inM ⟨φ ∈ formula⟩ ⟨arity(φ) ≤ 6⟩ have
... ←→ sats(M,φ,[p,l,o,snd(sp),fst(sp),χ])
(is sats(-,-,?env1) ←→ sats(-,-,?env2))
using sats-iff-sats-ren[of φ 6 7 ?env2 M ?env1 perm-pow] perm-pow-tc
perm-pow-env [of - - - - - M]
by simp
finally have
sats(M,?ψ,[sp,p,l,o,χ,p]) ←→
sats(M,φ,[p,l,o,snd(sp),fst(sp),χ])
by simp
}
then have
?θ = {sp ∈ A×B . sats(M,?ψ,[sp,p,l,o,χ,p])}
by auto
also from assms ⟨A×B ∈ M⟩ have
... ∈ M
proof –
from 1 have
arity(?ψ) ≤ 6
using leI by simp
moreover from ⟨?φ' ∈ formula⟩ have
?ψ ∈ formula
by simp
moreover note assms ⟨A×B ∈ M⟩
ultimately show

```

```

 $\{x \in A \times B . \text{sats}(M, ?\psi, [x, p, l, o, \chi, p])\} \in M$ 
using sixp-sep Collect-abs separation-iff
by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma Pow-inter-MG:
assumes
 $a \in M[G]$ 
shows
 $\text{Pow}(a) \cap M[G] \in M[G]$ 
proof –
from assms obtain  $\tau$  where
 $\tau \in M \text{ val}(G, \tau) = a$ 
using GenExtD by blast
let
 $?Q = \text{Pow}(\text{domain}(\tau) \times P) \cap M$ 
from  $\langle \tau \in M \rangle$  have
 $\text{domain}(\tau) \times P \in M \text{ domain}(\tau) \in M$ 
using domain-closed cartprod-closed P-in-M
by simp-all
then have
 $?Q \in M$ 
proof –
from power-ax  $\langle \text{domain}(\tau) \times P \in M \rangle$  obtain  $Q$  where
 $\text{powerset}(\#\#M, \text{domain}(\tau) \times P, Q) \quad Q \in M$ 
unfolding power-ax-def by auto
moreover from calculation have
 $z \in Q \implies z \in M \text{ for } z$ 
using Transset-intf trans-M by blast
ultimately have
 $Q = \{a \in \text{Pow}(\text{domain}(\tau) \times P) . a \in M\}$ 
using  $\langle \text{domain}(\tau) \times P \in M \rangle$  powerset-abs[of domain( $\tau$ )  $\times P$  Q]
by (simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])
also have
 $\dots = ?Q$ 
by auto
finally show
 $?Q \in M$ 
using  $\langle Q \in M \rangle$  by simp
qed
let
 $?pi = ?Q \times \{\text{one}\}$ 
let
 $?b = \text{val}(G, ?pi)$ 
from  $\langle ?Q \in M \rangle$  have
 $?pi \in M$ 
using one-in-P P-in-M Transset-intf transM

```

```

by (simp del:setclass-iff add:setclass-iff[symmetric])
from {?π∈M} have
?b ∈ M[G]
using GenExtI by simp
have
Pow(a) ∩ M[G] ⊆ ?b
proof
fix c
assume
c ∈ Pow(a) ∩ M[G]
then obtain χ where
c ∈ M[G] χ ∈ M val(G,χ) = c
using GenExtD by blast
let
?θ={sp ∈ domain(τ) × P . sats(M,forces(Member(0,1)),[P,leq,one,snd(sp),fst(sp),χ])}
have
arity(forces(Member(0,1))) = 6
using arity-forces by auto
with {domain(τ) ∈ M} {χ ∈ M} have
?θ ∈ M
using P-in-M one-in-M leq-in-M sats-fst-snd-in-M
by simp
then have
?θ ∈ ?Q
by auto
then have
val(G,?θ) ∈ ?b
using one-in-G one-in-P generic val-of-elem [of ?θ one ?π G]
by auto
have
val(G,?θ) = c
proof
{
fix x
assume
x ∈ val(G,?θ)
then obtain σ p where
1: <σ,p> ∈ ?θ p ∈ G val(G,σ) = x
using elem-of-val-pair
by blast
moreover from {<σ,p> ∈ ?θ} {?θ ∈ M} have
σ ∈ M
using name-components-in-M[of - - ?θ] by auto
moreover from 1 have
sats(M,forces(Member(0,1)),[P,leq,one,p,σ,χ]) p ∈ P
by simp-all
moreover note
⟨val(G,χ) = c⟩

```

```

ultimately have
  sats(M[G],Member(0,1),[x,c])
  using ⟨χ ∈ M⟩ generic definition-of-forces
  by auto
moreover have
  x ∈ M[G]
  using ⟨val(G,σ) = x⟩ ⟨σ ∈ M⟩ GenExtI by blast
ultimately have
  x ∈ c
  using ⟨c ∈ M[G]⟩ by simp
}
then show
  val(G,?θ) ⊆ c
  by auto
next
{
fix x
assume
  x ∈ c
with ⟨c ∈ Pow(a) ∩ M[G]⟩ have
  x ∈ a c ∈ M[G] x ∈ M[G]
  by (auto simp add:Transset-intf Transset-MG)
with ⟨val(G, τ) = a⟩ obtain σ where
  σ ∈ domain(τ) val(G,σ) = x
  using elem-of-val
  by blast
moreover note ⟨x ∈ c⟩ ⟨val(G,χ) = c⟩
moreover from calculation have
  val(G,σ) ∈ val(G,χ)
  by simp
moreover note ⟨c ∈ M[G]⟩ ⟨x ∈ M[G]⟩
moreover from calculation have
  sats(M[G],Member(0,1),[x,c])
  by simp
moreover have
  Member(0,1) ∈ formula by simp
moreover have
  σ ∈ M
proof -
  from ⟨σ ∈ domain(τ)⟩ obtain p where
    <σ,p> ∈ τ
    by auto
  with ⟨τ ∈ M⟩ show ?thesis
    using name-components-in-M by blast
qed
moreover note ⟨χ ∈ M⟩
ultimately obtain p where
  p ∈ G sats(M,forces(Member(0,1)),[P,leq,one,p,σ,χ])

```

```

using generic truth-lemma[of Member(0,1) [σ,χ] G]
by auto
moreover from ⟨p ∈ G⟩ have
p ∈ P
  using generic unfolding M-generic-def filter-def by blast
ultimately have
<σ,p> ∈ ?ϑ
  using ⟨σ ∈ domain(τ)⟩ by simp
with ⟨val(G,σ) = x⟩ ⟨p ∈ G⟩ have
x ∈ val(G,?ϑ)
  using val-of-elem [of - - ?ϑ] by auto
}
then show
c ⊆ val(G,?ϑ)
by auto
qed
with ⟨val(G,?ϑ) ∈ ?b⟩ show
c ∈ ?b
by simp
qed
then have
Pow(a) ∩ M[G] = {x ∈ ?b . x ⊆ a & x ∈ M[G]}
by auto
also from ⟨a ∈ M[G]⟩ have
... = {x ∈ ?b . sats(M[G],subset-fm(0,1),[x,a]) & x ∈ M[G]}
using Transset-MG by force
also have
... = {x ∈ ?b . sats(M[G],subset-fm(0,1),[x,a])} ∩ M[G]
by auto
also from ⟨?b ∈ M[G]⟩ have
... = {x ∈ ?b . sats(M[G],subset-fm(0,1),[x,a])}
using Collect-inter-Transset Transset-MG
by simp
also have
... ∈ M[G]
proof -
have
arity(subset-fm(0,1)) ≤ 2
by (simp add: not-lt-iff-le leI nat-union-abs1)
moreover note
⟨?π ∈ M⟩ ⟨τ ∈ M⟩ ⟨val(G,τ) = a⟩
ultimately show ?thesis
using Collect-sats-in-MG by auto
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
end

```

sublocale G -generic \subseteq M -trivial## $M[G]$

```

using generic Union-MG pairing-in-MG zero-in-MG Transset-intf Transset-MG
unfolding M-trivial-def by simp

context G-generic begin
theorem power-in-MG :
  power-ax(##(M[G]))
  unfolding power-ax-def
proof (intro rallI, simp only:setclass-iff rex-setclass-is-bex)

fix a
assume
  a ∈ M[G]
have
  {x ∈ Pow(a) . x ∈ M[G]} = Pow(a) ∩ M[G]
  by auto
also from ⟨a ∈ M[G]⟩ have
  ... ∈ M[G]
  using Pow-inter-MG by simp
finally have
  {x ∈ Pow(a) . x ∈ M[G]} ∈ M[G] .
moreover from ⟨a ∈ M[G]⟩ have
  powerset(##M[G], a, {x ∈ Pow(a) . x ∈ M[G]})
  using powerset-abs[of a {x ∈ Pow(a) . x ∈ M[G]}]
  by simp
ultimately show
  ∃ x ∈ M[G] . powerset(##M[G], a, x)
  by auto
qed
end
end

theory Infinity-Axiom
  imports Pairing-Axiom Union-Axiom
begin

locale G-generic = forcing-data +
  fixes G :: i
  assumes generic : M-generic(G)
begin

lemma zero-in-MG :
  0 ∈ M[G]
proof -
  from zero-in-M and elem-of-val have
    0 = val(G, 0)
    by auto
  also from GenExtI and zero-in-M have
    ... ∈ M[G]
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis .

```

```

qed
end

sublocale G-generic ⊆ M-trivial##M[G]
  using generic Union-MG pairing-in-MG zero-in-MG Transset-intf Transset-MG
  unfolding M-trivial-def by simp

locale G-generic-extra = G-generic + M-extra-assms
begin
lemma infinity-in-MG : infinity-ax(##M[G])
proof -
  from infinity-ax obtain I where
    Eq1: I ∈ M 0 ∈ I ∀ y ∈ M. y ∈ I → succ(y) ∈ I
    unfolding infinity-ax-def by auto
  then have
    check(I) ∈ M
    using check-in-M by simp
  then have
    I ∈ M[G]
    using valcheck generic one-in-G one-in-P GenExtI[of check(I) G] by simp
  with ⟨0 ∈ I⟩ have 0 ∈ M[G] using Transset-MG Transset-intf by simp
  with ⟨I ∈ M⟩ have y ∈ M if y ∈ I for y
    using Transset-intf[OF trans-M - ⟨I ∈ M⟩] that by simp
  with ⟨I ∈ M[G]⟩ have succ(y) ∈ I ∩ M[G] if y ∈ I for y
    using that Eq1 Transset-MG Transset-intf by blast
  with Eq1 ⟨I ∈ M[G]⟩ ⟨0 ∈ M[G]⟩ show ?thesis
    unfolding infinity-ax-def by auto
qed

end
end

```