INVERTIBLE MODULE CATEGORIES OVER THE REPRESENTATION CATEGORY OF THE TAFT ALGEBRA

Bojana Femić (joint with M. Mombelli)

Facultad de Ciencias Universidad de la República Montevideo (Uruguay)

> March 25, 2013 Tucumán (Argentina)

Lecture Outline

The setting and motivation

BrPic(C) for a finite tensor category C(=Rep(H))Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Exact indecomposable $\operatorname{Rep}(T_q)$ -bimodule categories

Motivation

- Extensions of tensor categories by a finite group
 - ▶ tensor subcategory $C = C_e \subseteq \bigoplus_{g \in G} C_g$ *G*-extension of *C*
 - in order to classify G-extensions of C one needs:
 - 1. a group map $g \mapsto [\mathcal{C}_g], \quad G \to BrPic(\mathcal{C})$ \mathcal{C}_g is an exact invertible \mathcal{C} -bimodule category;
 - 2. a 3-cocycle and a 4-cocycle over G.

• Mathematical Physics

Motivation

- Extensions of tensor categories by a finite group
 - ▶ tensor subcategory $C = C_e \subseteq \bigoplus_{g \in G} C_g$ *G*-extension of *C*
 - ▶ in order to classify *G*-extensions of *C* one needs:
 - 1. a group map $g \mapsto [\mathcal{C}_g], \quad G \to BrPic(\mathcal{C})$ \mathcal{C}_g is an exact invertible \mathcal{C} -bimodule category;
 - 2. a 3-cocycle and a 4-cocycle over G.
 - ▶ in order to find extensions of C = Rep(H) one needs to compute BrPic(Rep(H)).
- Mathematical Physics

Motivation

- Extensions of tensor categories by a finite group
 - ▶ tensor subcategory $C = C_e \subseteq \bigoplus_{g \in G} C_g$ *G*-extension of *C*
 - in order to classify G-extensions of C one needs:
 - 1. a group map $g \mapsto [\mathcal{C}_g], \quad G \to BrPic(\mathcal{C})$ \mathcal{C}_g is an exact invertible \mathcal{C} -bimodule category;
 - 2. a 3-cocycle and a 4-cocycle over G.
 - ▶ in order to find extensions of C = Rep(H) one needs to compute BrPic(Rep(H)).
- Mathematical Physics
 - the Brauer-Picard group is related to 3-dim. Topological Field Theory, see [J. Fuchs, Ch. Schweigert, A. Valentino, *Bicategories* for boundary conditions and for surface defects in 3-d TFT, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1203.4568.pdf]



BrPic(C) for a finite tensor category $C(= \operatorname{Rep}(H))$

finite tensor category = k-linear abelian rigid monoidal cat. equivalent to a cat. of finite-dim. representations of a finite-dim. k-algebra s.t. the unit object is simple

- finite tensor category = k-linear abelian rigid monoidal cat. equivalent to a cat. of finite-dim. representations of a finite-dim. k-algebra s.t. the unit object is simple
- ▶ \mathcal{M} a right C-module cat. $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{op}$ a left C-module cat.

- finite tensor category = k-linear abelian rigid monoidal cat. equivalent to a cat. of finite-dim. representations of a finite-dim. k-algebra s.t. the unit object is simple
- ▶ M a right C-module cat. $\leftrightarrow M^{op}$ a left C-module cat.
- $\mathcal{M} \text{ a } (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})\text{-bimodule cat.} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{op} \text{ a } (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})\text{-bimodule cat.}$

- finite tensor category = k-linear abelian rigid monoidal cat. equivalent to a cat. of finite-dim. representations of a finite-dim. k-algebra s.t. the unit object is simple
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{M} \text{ a right } \mathcal{C}\text{-module cat.} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{op} \text{ a left } \mathcal{C}\text{-module cat.}$
- ▶ \mathcal{M} a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ -bimodule cat. $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{op}$ a $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bimodule cat.
- ▶ a (C, D)-bimodule cat. \mathcal{M} is **invertible** \leftrightarrow $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}^{op} \simeq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{op} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D}$ as bimodule cat.'s

- finite tensor category = k-linear abelian rigid monoidal cat. equivalent to a cat. of finite-dim. representations of a finite-dim. k-algebra s.t. the unit object is simple
- ▶ M a right C-module cat. $\leftrightarrow M^{op}$ a left C-module cat.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{M} \text{ a } (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \text{-bimodule cat.} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{op} \text{ a } (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}) \text{-bimodule cat.}$
- ▶ a (C, D)-bimodule cat. \mathcal{M} is **invertible** \leftrightarrow $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}^{op} \simeq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{op} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D}$ as bimodule cat.'s
- ► a (C, D)-bimodule cat. M is **exact** = exact as a left $C \boxtimes D^{rev}$ -module cat. = $\forall P \in C \boxtimes D^{rev} \quad \forall M \in M \Rightarrow P \otimes M \in M$ is projective

- finite tensor category = k-linear abelian rigid monoidal cat. equivalent to a cat. of finite-dim. representations of a finite-dim. k-algebra s.t. the unit object is simple
- ▶ M a right C-module cat. $\leftrightarrow M^{op}$ a left C-module cat.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{M} \text{ a } (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \text{-bimodule cat.} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{op} \text{ a } (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}) \text{-bimodule cat.}$
- ▶ a (C, D)-bimodule cat. \mathcal{M} is **invertible** \leftrightarrow $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}^{op} \simeq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{op} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D}$ as bimodule cat.'s
- ▶ a (C, D)-bimodule cat. M is **exact** = exact as a left $C \boxtimes D^{rev}$ -module cat. = $\forall P \in C \boxtimes D^{rev}$ $\forall M \in M \Rightarrow P \otimes M \in M$ is projective
- C: a finite tensor cat. → BrPic(C) = the group of equiv. classes of invertible exact C-bimodule cat.'s

Let K, L be fin.-dim. Hopf algebras

Let K, L be fin.-dim. Hopf algebras

• $\operatorname{Rep}(K)$ - $\operatorname{Rep}(L)$ -bimodule cat. = left $\operatorname{Rep}(K \otimes L^{cop})$ -module cat.

Let K, L be fin.-dim. Hopf algebras

- $\operatorname{Rep}(K)$ $\operatorname{Rep}(L)$ -bimodule cat. = left $\operatorname{Rep}(K \otimes L^{cop})$ -module cat.
- Any invertible $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ -bimodule cat. \mathcal{M} is indecomposable $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ for any non-triv. $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ -bimodule cat.'s $\mathcal{M}_{1|2}$.

Let K, L be fin.-dim. Hopf algebras

- $\operatorname{Rep}(K)$ $\operatorname{Rep}(L)$ -bimodule cat. = left $\operatorname{Rep}(K \otimes L^{cop})$ -module cat.
- Any invertible $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ -bimodule cat. \mathcal{M} is indecomposable $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ for any non-triv. $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ -bimodule cat.'s $\mathcal{M}_{1|2}$.

Theorem. [Andrusk.-Mombelli, 2007]

Any exact indecomposable left $\operatorname{Rep}(K \otimes L^{cop})$ -module cat. is equivalent to ${}_{A}\mathcal{M}^{f}$ - the cat. of fin.-dim. A-modules, where A is a fin.-dim. right $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -simple, left $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -comodule algebra with trivial coinvariants.

Let A be a left **coideal subalgebra** of a fin.dim. Hopf algebra H. Then:

Let A be a left **coideal subalgebra** of a fin.dim. Hopf algebra H. Then:

► [Skryabin, 2007] *A* is *H*-simple.

Let A be a left **coideal subalgebra** of a fin.dim. Hopf algebra H. Then:

- ► [Skryabin, 2007] *A* is *H*-simple.
- [Mombelli, 2008] if σ is a 2-cocycle over H compatible with $A \Rightarrow_{A^{\sigma}} \mathcal{M}$ is an **exact indecomposable** module cat. over Rep(H).

Let A be a left **coideal subalgebra** of a fin.dim. Hopf algebra H. Then:

- ► [Skryabin, 2007] *A* is *H*-simple.
- [Mombelli, 2008] if σ is a 2-cocycle over H compatible with $A \Rightarrow_{A^{\sigma}} \mathcal{M}$ is an **exact indecomposable** module cat. over Rep(H).

\Rightarrow

• we are interested in finding all **coideal subalgebras** of $H \otimes H^{cop}$

Let A be a left **coideal subalgebra** of a fin.dim. Hopf algebra H. Then:

- ► [Skryabin, 2007] *A* is *H*-simple.
- [Mombelli, 2008] if σ is a 2-cocycle over H compatible with $A \Rightarrow_{A^{\sigma}} \mathcal{M}$ is an **exact indecomposable** module cat. over Rep(H).

 \Rightarrow

- we are interested in finding all **coideal subalgebras** of $H \otimes H^{cop}$
- and their 2-cocycle twists.

Let A be a right $L \otimes K^{cop}$ -simple, left $L \otimes K^{cop}$ -comodule algebra and B a right $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -simple, left $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -comodule algebra.

Let A be a right $L \otimes K^{cop}$ -simple, left $L \otimes K^{cop}$ -comodule algebra and B a right $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -simple, left $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -comodule algebra.

Theorem. "Product Theorem"

If any of the following two conditions is fulfilled, then there is an equivalence of Rep(L)-bimodule categories:

 $_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(\mathcal{K})}{}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}\simeq {}_{\mathcal{A}\square_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}$

Let A be a right $L \otimes K^{cop}$ -simple, left $L \otimes K^{cop}$ -comodule algebra and B a right $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -simple, left $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -comodule algebra.

Theorem. "Product Theorem"

If any of the following two conditions is fulfilled, then there is an equivalence of Rep(L)-bimodule categories:

$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(K)}{}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}\simeq {}_{\mathcal{A}\square_{K}\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}$$

- 1. [Mombelli, 2008]
 - $A \otimes B$ is free as a left $A \square_K B$ -module;
 - the module cat. $_{A \square_K B} \mathcal{M}$ is exact;
 - $_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}$ is an invertible (L, K)- and

 $_{B}\mathcal{M}$ an invertible (K, L)-bimodule category.

Let A be a right $L \otimes K^{cop}$ -simple, left $L \otimes K^{cop}$ -comodule algebra and B a right $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -simple, left $K \otimes L^{cop}$ -comodule algebra.

Theorem. "Product Theorem"

If any of the following two conditions is fulfilled, then there is an equivalence of Rep(L)-bimodule categories:

$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(K)}{}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}\simeq {}_{\mathcal{A}\square_{K}\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}$$

- 1. [Mombelli, 2008]
 - $A \otimes B$ is free as a left $A \square_K B$ -module;
 - the module cat. $_{A\square_{K}B}\mathcal{M}$ is exact;
 - ${}_{A}\mathcal{M}$ is an invertible (L, K)- and ${}_{B}\mathcal{M}$ an invertible (K, L)-bimodule category.
- 2. [Femić, Mombelli]

A is a Hopf-Galois extension, as a left L-comodule algebra.

Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Lemma.

If A be an (H, H)-biGalois object then $[_A\mathcal{M}] \in BrPic(Rep(H))$.

Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Lemma.

If A be an (H, H)-biGalois object then $[_{A}\mathcal{M}] \in BrPic(Rep(H))$.

Illustration: ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{H})} {}_{\mathcal{A}^{op}}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{A} \square_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A}^{op}}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{M}$

Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Lemma.

If A be an (H, H)-biGalois object then $[_{A}\mathcal{M}] \in BrPic(Rep(H))$.

Illustration:
$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(\mathcal{H})} {}_{\mathcal{A}^{op}}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{A}\Box_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{A}^{op}}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{M}$$

Proposition.

There is a group embedding $BiGal(H, H) \hookrightarrow BrPic(Rep(H))$ given by $[A] \mapsto [_{A}\mathcal{M}]$.

Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Embedding BiGal(H, H) into BrPic(Rep(H))

Lemma.

If A be an (H, H)-biGalois object then $[_A\mathcal{M}] \in BrPic(Rep(H))$.

$$III ustration: \quad {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(\mathcal{H})} \; {}_{\mathcal{A}^{op}}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{A} \square_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A}^{op}}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{M}$$

Proposition.

There is a group embedding $BiGal(H, H) \hookrightarrow BrPic(Rep(H))$ given by $[A] \mapsto [_{A}\mathcal{M}]$.

Used: Ψ : BrPic(Comod(H)) \rightarrow BrPic(Rep(H^{op}))

$$[\mathcal{N}] \mapsto [\operatorname{Vec}^{\operatorname{op}} \boxtimes_{\operatorname{Comod}(H)} \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\operatorname{Comod}(H)} \operatorname{Vec}]$$

$$\Psi([\mathsf{Comod}(H)^{A\square_H-}]) = [\mathcal{M}_A].$$



Exact indecomposable $\operatorname{Rep}(T_q)$ -bimodule categories

Left coideal subalgebras of $T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$

Left coideal subalgebras of $T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$

It is
$$T_q \otimes T_q^{cop} \cong T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$$
. Set $H = T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$.

We classified all homogeneous left coideal subalgebras of H

Left coideal subalgebras of $T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$

It is
$$T_q \otimes T_q^{cop} \cong T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$$
. Set $H = T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$.

- We classified all homogeneous left coideal subalgebras of H
- We found their 2-cocycle twists

Left coideal subalgebras of $T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$

- We classified all homogeneous left coideal subalgebras of H
- We found their 2-cocycle twists
- We computed the "liftings" of the latter

Left coideal subalgebras of $T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$

- ▶ We classified all homogeneous left coideal subalgebras of H
- We found their 2-cocycle twists
- ▶ We computed the "liftings" of the latter
- All the latter 5 (families) are proved to be *H*-simple, left *H*-comodule algebras with trivial coinvariants

Left coideal subalgebras of $T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$

- ▶ We classified all homogeneous left coideal subalgebras of H
- We found their 2-cocycle twists
- We computed the "liftings" of the latter
- All the latter 5 (families) are proved to be *H*-simple, left *H*-comodule algebras with trivial coinvariants
- Any exact indecomposable $\operatorname{Rep}(T_q)$ -bimodule category turns out to be equivalent to $_A\mathcal{M}$, where A is one of the above 5 (families of) algebras.

Left coideal subalgebras of $T_q \otimes T_{q^{-1}}$

- ▶ We classified all homogeneous left coideal subalgebras of H
- We found their 2-cocycle twists
- We computed the "liftings" of the latter
- All the latter 5 (families) are proved to be *H*-simple, left *H*-comodule algebras with trivial coinvariants
- ► Any exact indecomposable Rep(T_q)-bimodule category turns out to be equivalent to _AM, where A is one of the above 5 (families of) algebras.
- It remains to see which of the above 5 (families of) bimodule categories are invertible.

1. The family $_L\mathcal{M}$. For some parameters the algebras L are left Hopf-Galois extensions over T_q .

1. The family $_{L}\mathcal{M}$. For some parameters the algebras L are left Hopf-Galois extensions over T_q . $\Rightarrow _{L_1}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\operatorname{Rep}(T_q)} _{L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{L_1 \square_{T_q}L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{L_3}\mathcal{M}$ and we computed $(_{L}\mathcal{M})^{-1} \simeq _{L'}\mathcal{M}$.

1. The family $_L\mathcal{M}$. For some parameters the algebras L are left Hopf-Galois extensions over T_q .

$$\Rightarrow {}_{L_1}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(T_q)} {}_{L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_1 \square T_q} {}_{L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_3}\mathcal{M}$$

and we computed $({}_{L}\mathcal{M})^{-1} \simeq {}_{L'}\mathcal{M}$.

These parameters/invertible categories contribute to the subgroup $(k^{\times} \ltimes k^{+}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in BrPic(Rep (T_q)).

<u>1.</u> The family $_L\mathcal{M}$. For some parameters the algebras L are left Hopf-Galois extensions over T_q .

$$\Rightarrow {}_{L_1}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} {}_{L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_1 \square \mathcal{T}_q L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_3}\mathcal{M}$$

and we computed ${}_{(\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{L}'}\mathcal{M}.$

These parameters/invertible categories contribute to the subgroup $(k^{\times} \ltimes k^{+}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in BrPic(Rep (T_q)).

2. The family $_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M}$. We know that $(_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = (_{k_{\psi}G})^{op}\mathcal{M}$.

1. The family $_L\mathcal{M}$. For some parameters the algebras L are left Hopf-Galois extensions over T_q .

$$\Rightarrow {}_{L_1}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} {}_{L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_1 \square \mathcal{T}_q L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_3}\mathcal{M}$$

and we computed ${}_{(\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{L}'}\mathcal{M}.$

These parameters/invertible categories contribute to the subgroup $(k^{\times} \ltimes k^{+}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in BrPic(Rep (T_q)).

2. The family $_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M}$. We know that $(_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = (_{k_{\psi}G})^{op}\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that both categories are invertible.

1. The family $_L\mathcal{M}$. For some parameters the algebras L are left Hopf-Galois extensions over T_q .

$$\Rightarrow {}_{L_1}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} {}_{L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_1 \square \mathcal{T}_q L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_3}\mathcal{M}$$

and we computed ${}_{(L}\mathcal{M})^{-1} \simeq {}_{L'}\mathcal{M}.$

These parameters/invertible categories contribute to the subgroup $(k^{\times} \ltimes k^{+}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in BrPic(Rep (T_q)).

2. The family $_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M}$. We know that $(_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = (_{k_{\psi}G})^{op}\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that both categories are invertible. Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem".

1. The family $_L\mathcal{M}$. For some parameters the algebras L are left Hopf-Galois extensions over T_q .

$$\Rightarrow {}_{L_1}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} {}_{L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_1 \square \mathcal{T}_q L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_3}\mathcal{M}$$

and we computed $({}_{L}\mathcal{M})^{-1} \simeq {}_{L'}\mathcal{M}.$

These parameters/invertible categories contribute to the subgroup $(k^{\times} \ltimes k^{+}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in BrPic(Rep (T_q)).

2. The family $_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M}$. We know that $(_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = (_{k_{\psi}G})^{op}\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that both categories are invertible. Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem". Hence: $_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\operatorname{Rep}(T_q)}(_{k_{\psi}G})^{op}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{k_{\psi}G\square_{T_q}}(_{k_{\psi}G})^{op}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{T_q}\mathcal{M}$.

1. The family $_L\mathcal{M}$. For some parameters the algebras L are left Hopf-Galois extensions over T_q .

$$\Rightarrow {}_{L_1}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathsf{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} {}_{L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_1 \square \mathcal{T}_q L_2}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_{L_3}\mathcal{M}$$

and we computed $({}_{L}\mathcal{M})^{-1} \simeq {}_{L'}\mathcal{M}.$

These parameters/invertible categories contribute to the subgroup $(k^{\times} \ltimes k^{+}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in BrPic(Rep (T_q)).

2. The family $_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M}$. We know that $(_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = (_{k_{\psi}G})^{op}\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that both categories are invertible. Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem". Hence: $_{k_{\psi}G}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\operatorname{Rep}(T_q)}(_{k_{\psi}G})^{op}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{k_{\psi}G\square_{T_q}(k_{\psi}G)^{op}}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{T_q}\mathcal{M}$. However, $k_{\psi}G\square_{T_q}(k_{\psi}G)^{op}$ is semisimple and T_q is not.

 $\frac{3./4. \text{ The families }_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M} \text{ and }_{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}.}{\text{We know that } (_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}.}$

 $\frac{3./4. \text{ The families }_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M} \text{ and }_{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}.}{\text{We know that } (_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}.}$ Suppose that both categories are invertible.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{3./4. The families }_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M} \text{ and }_{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}. \\ \hline \textbf{We know that } (_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}. \\ \textbf{Suppose that both categories are invertible.} \\ \hline \textbf{Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem"}. \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{3./4. The families }_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M} \text{ and }_{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}.\\ \hline \textbf{We know that }_{(\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}.\\ \textbf{Suppose that both categories are invertible.}\\ \hline \textbf{Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem".}\\ \hline \textbf{Hence: }_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\text{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} \mathcal{K}_{10}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{\mathcal{K}_{01}} \square_{\mathcal{T}_q}\mathcal{K}_{10}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{\mathcal{T}_q}\mathcal{M}. \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{3./4. The families }_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M} \text{ and }_{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}. \\ \hline \textbf{We know that } (_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}. \\ \hline \textbf{Suppose that both categories are invertible.} \\ \hline \textbf{Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem".} \\ \hline \textbf{Hence: }_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\text{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} \mathcal{K}_{10}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{\mathcal{K}_{01}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M} \simeq _{\mathcal{T}_q}\mathcal{M}. \end{array}$

3./4. The families $_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M}$ and $_{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}$. We know that $(_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that both categories are invertible. Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem". Hence: $_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)}\mathcal{K}_{10}\mathcal{M}\simeq _{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M}_{2}\simeq _{\mathcal{T}_q}\mathcal{M}$. 4

5. The family $_{\mathcal{K}_{11}}\mathcal{M}$ - is still to be investigated.

3./4. The families $_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M}$ and $_{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}$. We know that $(_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that both categories are invertible. Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem". Hence: $_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\square_{\mathcal{T}_q}\mathcal{K}_{10}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{\mathcal{T}_q}\mathcal{M}$.

5. The family $_{\mathcal{K}_{11}}\mathcal{M}$ - is still to be investigated.

There are candidates for some parameters, however it is difficult to compute the product of two (bi)module categories.

3./4. The families $_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M}$ and $_{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}$. We know that $(_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M})^{-1} = _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that both categories are invertible. Then they fulfill the conditions of the "Product Theorem". Hence: $_{\mathcal{K}_{01}}\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{T}_q)} _{\mathcal{K}_{10}}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{\mathcal{K}_{01}} \square_{\mathcal{T}_q}\mathcal{K}_{10}\mathcal{M} \simeq _{\mathcal{T}_q}\mathcal{M}$.

5. The family $_{\mathcal{K}_{11}}\mathcal{M}$ - is still to be investigated.

There are candidates for some parameters, however it is difficult to compute the product of two (bi)module categories.

THAT IS ALL ...