

# The canonical contact structure on the space of oriented null geodesics of pseudospheres and products

Yamile Godoy and Marcos Salvai \*

FaMAF - CIEM, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina  
ygodoy@famaf.unc.edu.ar, salvai@famaf.unc.edu.ar

## Abstract

Let  $N$  be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold such that  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$ , the space of all its oriented null geodesics, is a manifold. B. Khesin and S. Tabachnikov introduce a canonical contact structure on  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  (generalizing the definition given by R. Low in the Lorentz case), and study it for the pseudo-Euclidean space. We continue in that direction for other spaces.

Let  $S^{k,m}$  be the pseudosphere of signature  $(k, m)$ . We show that  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$  is a manifold and describe geometrically its canonical contact distribution in terms of the space of oriented geodesics of certain totally geodesic degenerate hypersurfaces in  $S^{k,m}$ . Further, we find a contactomorphism with some standard contact manifold, namely, the unit tangent bundle of some pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Also, we express the null billiard operator on  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$  associated with some simple regions in  $S^{k,m}$  in terms of the geodesic flows of spheres.

For  $N$  the pseudo-Riemannian product of two complete Riemannian manifolds, we give geometrical conditions on the factors for  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  to be a manifold and exhibit a contactomorphism with some standard contact manifold.

*MSC 2010:* 37D50, 53C22, 53C50, 53D10, 53D25, 58D10 53B30, 53C50.

*Key words and phrases:* contact manifold, null geodesic, space of geodesics, billiards

*Running title:* The canonical contact structure on the space of null geodesics

## 1 Introduction

Let  $N$  be a complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Let  $\gamma_u$  denote the unique geodesic in  $N$  with initial velocity  $u$ . Two null geodesics  $\gamma_u$  and  $\gamma_v$  are said to be equivalent if there exist  $\lambda > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $v = \lambda \dot{\gamma}_u(t)$ . In particular, they have the same

---

\*Partially supported by CONICET, FONCYT, SECYT (UNC).

trajectory and orientation. We call  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  the set of all equivalence classes of oriented null geodesics of  $N$ .

For  $X \in T_p N$  we denote  $\|X\| = \langle X, X \rangle$  and  $|X| = \sqrt{|\langle X, X \rangle|}$ . For  $r = 0, 1$ , let  $T^r N = \{u \in TN \mid \|u\| = r, u \neq 0\}$ .

By abuse of notation, we say that  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  is a manifold if it admits a differentiable structure (not necessarily Hausdorff) such that the projection  $\Pi : T^0 N \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^0(N)$ ,  $\Pi(u) = [\gamma_u]$ , is a smooth submersion (throughout the paper, smooth means  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ ). This is not always the case, see for example the pseudo-Riemannian metric on the torus  $T^2$  given in [8] such that the trajectory of each null geodesic is dense. Nevertheless, infinitesimal considerations at a fixed  $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{L}^0(N)$  are always possible, for instance by means of Jacobi fields along  $\gamma$ .

B. Khesin and S. Tabachnikov introduce in [5] a canonical contact structure on  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$ , provided that it is a manifold (generalizing the definition given in the Lorentz case by R. Low in [8]), and study it for the pseudo-Euclidean space. We continue in that direction for other spaces such as pseudospheres and some products.

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1,m}$  be the pseudo-Euclidean space of signature  $(k+1, m)$ , that is,  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{R}^m$  endowed with the inner product whose norm is given by  $\|(u, v)\| = |u|^2 - |v|^2$  (here,  $|\cdot|$  denotes the norm of the canonical inner product on the Euclidean space). The pseudosphere of radius 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1,m}$  is the hyperquadric

$$S^{k,m} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1,m} \mid \langle p, p \rangle = 1\} = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1,m} \mid |u|^2 - |v|^2 = 1\},$$

which is a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1,m}$  with induced metric of signature  $(k, m)$ . Notice that the Lorentz pseudosphere  $S^{k,1}$  is the de Sitter space. The null geodesics of  $S^{k,m}$  are straight lines in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1,m}$  with initial velocity in  $T^0 S^{k,m}$ . See other geometric properties of pseudospheres for example in [9].

In section 3 we show that  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$  is a manifold and it is contactomorphic to the unit tangent bundle of a certain pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Besides, we describe geometrically its canonical contact distribution in terms of the space of oriented geodesics of some totally geodesic degenerate hypersurfaces in  $S^{k,m}$ . In this section we also express the null billiard operator on  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$  associated with some simple regions in  $S^{k,m}$  in terms of the geodesic flow of spheres.

Given  $M$  and  $N$  complete Riemannian manifolds, we consider on  $M \times N$  the pseudo-Riemannian metric whose norm is defined by  $\|(u, v)\| = |u|_M^2 - |v|_N^2$ , for each  $(u, v) \in T_{(p,q)}(M \times N)$  and  $(p, q) \in M \times N$ . We denote this pseudo-Riemannian manifold by  $M_+ \times N_-$ . In section 4 we prove that  $\mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-)$  is a manifold if the geodesic flow of  $M$  is free and proper. We also find conditions on  $M$  for the existence of a contactomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1 N$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is the space of oriented geodesics of  $M$ .

Spaces of geodesics, their geometric structures and their applications have also been studied for instance in [1, 2, 4, 11, 12, 13].

## 2 Preliminaries

As in the introduction, let  $N$  be a complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  the set of all equivalence classes of oriented null geodesic of  $N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Aff}_+(\mathbb{R})$  be the Lie group of orientation preserving affine transformations of  $\mathbb{R}$  and consider the right action from  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $T^0N$  given as follows: if  $u \in T^0N$  and  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$u \cdot g := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \gamma_u(g(t)). \quad (1)$$

If this action is free and proper, then  $\mathcal{L}^0(N) \simeq T^0N/\mathcal{A}$  is a Hausdorff differentiable manifold such that the canonical projection  $\Pi : T^0N \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^0(N)$  is a submersion (see for instance Proposition 2.3.8 of [10]).

Let  $\pi : TN \rightarrow N$  be the canonical projection and for  $r = 0, 1$  let  $i : T^rN \hookrightarrow TN$  be the inclusion. Let  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$  be the canonical 1-forms on  $TN$  and  $T^rN$  respectively, that is, for  $u \in TN$  and  $\xi \in T_uTN$ ,

$$\theta_u(\xi) = \langle u, d\pi_u\xi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = i^*\theta. \quad (2)$$

**Definition.** [5, 8] *Let  $N$  be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold such that  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  is a manifold. The canonical contact distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  is well defined by*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Pi(u)} = d\Pi_u(\text{Ker } \alpha_u), \quad (3)$$

for each  $u \in T^0N$ .

The canonical contact structure is presented here following the approach of [8], in a slightly different way as in the article [5] by Khesin and Tabachnikov (they define it in two steps via the space of scaled light-like geodesics, obtaining at the same time a symplectization of  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$ ).

## 3 The canonical contact structure on $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$

The following theorem is motivated by the fact that unit tangent bundles of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds are among the standard examples of contact manifolds (with contact form as in (2)).

Let  $S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1}$  be the manifold  $S^k \times S^{m-1}$  with the pseudo-Riemannian metric such that for each  $(x, y) \in T_{(u,v)}(S^k \times S^{m-1})$ ,  $\|(x, y)\| = |x|^2 - |y|^2$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The set  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$  is a manifold and if one considers on  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$  and  $T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1})$  the canonical contact structures, then the map*

$$F : T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m}), \quad F((u, v), (x, y)) = [\gamma],$$

with  $\gamma(t) = (x, y) + t(u, v)$ , is a contactomorphism.

**Proof.** First we prove that  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$  is a manifold. As explained above, since a straightforward computation yields that the action of  $\mathcal{A}$  is clearly free, it suffices to check that the action is proper. In fact, let  $(p_n, u_n)$  be a sequence converging to  $(p, u)$  in  $T^0S^{k,m}$  and let  $(s_n, \lambda_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \cong \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(p_n, u_n) \cdot (s_n, \lambda_n)$  converges to  $(q, v)$  in  $T^0S^{k,m}$ . We have to show that there exists a convergent subsequence of  $(s_n, \lambda_n)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The footpoints  $p_n$  converge to  $p$  in  $S^{k,m}$  and as the null geodesics in  $S^{k,m}$  are straight lines, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(p_n, u_n) \cdot (s_n, \lambda_n) = (p_n + s_n u_n, \lambda_n u_n)$ . Hence, by hypothesis,  $\lambda_n u_n \rightarrow v$  and  $p_n + s_n u_n \rightarrow q$  as well. Considering the canonical inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1+m}$ , since  $u \neq 0$ , we obtain that

$$\lambda_n \rightarrow \langle v, u \rangle / |u|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_n \rightarrow \langle q - p, u \rangle / |u|^2.$$

Next, we verify that  $F$  is a diffeomorphism. The map is well defined since given  $(x, y) \in T_{(u,v)}^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1})$ , we have that

$$|u|^2 = 1 = |v|^2, \quad \langle u, x \rangle = 0 = \langle v, y \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |x|^2 - |y|^2 = 1. \quad (4)$$

Then,  $(x, y) \in S^{k,m}$ ,  $(u, v) \in (x, y)^\perp = T_{(x,y)}^\perp S^{k,m}$ ,  $\|(u, v)\| = 0$  and  $t \mapsto (x, y) + t(u, v)$  is a null geodesic in  $S^{k,m}$ . Thus,  $F((u, v), (x, y)) \in \mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$ .

Now,  $F$  is smooth since all the spaces involved are (quotients of) embedded submanifolds of  $E = \mathbb{R}^{k+1+m} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1+m}$  and  $g : E \rightarrow E$ ,  $g((u, v), (x, y)) = ((x, y), (u, v))$ , is obviously smooth and descends to  $F$ .

On the other hand, if  $\gamma$  is a null geodesic in  $S^{k,m}$ , then  $\gamma(t) = (x, y) + t(u, v)$  with  $(x, y) \in S^{k,m}$ ,  $0 \neq (u, v) \perp (x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1,m}$  and  $|u|^2 - |v|^2 = 0$ . So, we have that

$$F^{-1}([\gamma]) = (|u|^{-1}(u, v), (x, y) - |u|^{-2} \langle x, u \rangle (u, v)) \quad (5)$$

and this is a smooth map.

Finally, we check that  $F$  is a contactomorphism, that is  $dF(\text{Ker } \omega) = \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined in (3) and  $\omega$  is the canonical contact form on  $T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1})$  as in (2).

Let  $p : T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1}) \rightarrow S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1}$  be the canonical projection and let  $f : T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1}) \rightarrow T^0S^{k,m}$  be the restriction of  $g$  defined above. Let  $U = ((u, v), (x, y)) \in T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1})$  and let  $\xi \in \text{Ker } \omega_U$ . Since  $F = \Pi \circ f$ , we only have to verify that  $df_U \xi \in \text{Ker } \alpha_{f(U)}$ . For this, let  $t \mapsto (c(t), z(t))$  be a curve in  $T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1})$  such that  $c(0) = (u, v)$ ,  $z(0) = (x, y)$  and with initial velocity  $\xi$ .

By definition of  $\omega$ , we have that

$$0 = \omega_U(\xi) = \langle dp_U \xi, z(0) \rangle = \langle c'(0), z(0) \rangle.$$

Since  $(z(t), c(t)) = f(c(t), z(t)) \in T^0S^{k,m}$ , it follows that  $c(t) \perp z(t)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1,m}$  for all  $t$ . Then,

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \langle c(t), z(t) \rangle = \langle c'(0), z(0) \rangle + \langle c(0), z'(0) \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_{f(U)}(df_U \xi) = \langle d\pi_{f(U)}(df_U \xi), c(0) \rangle = \langle d(\pi \circ f)_U \xi, c(0) \rangle = \langle z'(0), c(0) \rangle = 0.$$

Consequently,  $dF_U \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{F(U)}$ , and since both contact distributions have the same dimension, they are equal.  $\square$

The following is an analogue of Proposition 2.6 (1) of [5].

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\gamma(t) = p + tu$  be a null geodesic in  $S^{k,m}$ . Let  $H$  be the totally geodesic degenerate hypersurface of  $S^{k,m}$  containing the image of  $\gamma$ , given by  $H = u^\perp \cap S^{k,m}$  and let  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  be the space of all oriented geodesics of  $H$ . If  $\mathcal{D}$  is the canonical contact distribution on  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,m})$ , then, at the infinitesimal level,*

$$\mathcal{D}_{[\gamma]} = T_{[\gamma]} \mathcal{L}(H).$$

**Proof.** The statement is meant in the following sense (we do not address the question whether  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  is a manifold): Given  $X = d\Pi_{[\gamma]}(\xi) \in \mathcal{D}_{[\gamma]}$  (we recall that  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined in (3)), there exists a variation by geodesics *contained in  $H$*  whose associated Jacobi field along  $\gamma$  satisfies  $J(0) = d\pi_u \xi$  and  $J'(0) = K_u(\xi)$  (here  $K_u : T_u T^0 S^{k,m} \rightarrow T_{\pi(u)} S^{k,m}$  is the connection operator).

Specifically, since  $\xi \in \text{Ker } \alpha_u \subset T_u T^0 S^{k,m}$  we have that  $\langle d\pi_u \xi, u \rangle = 0 = \langle K_u(\xi), u \rangle$  and this implies that  $d\pi_u \xi, K_u(\xi) \in T_{\pi(u)} H$ . Let  $c$  be a curve in  $H$  such that  $c(0) = \pi(u)$  and  $c'(0) = d\pi_u \xi$  and consider

$$s \mapsto v(s) = \tau_0^s(u + sK_u(\xi)),$$

where  $\tau_0^s$  denotes the parallel transport along  $c$  from 0 to  $s$ . Since  $H$  is totally geodesic and  $u + sK_u(\xi) \in T_{\pi(u)} H$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain that  $v(s) \in T_{c(s)} H$  and the image of  $\gamma_{v(s)}$  is contained in  $H$  for any  $s$  (see for instance [9, page 125]). Besides, since

$$v(0) = u \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{D}{ds} \right|_0 v(s) = K_u(\xi),$$

then the Jacobi field  $J(s) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \gamma_{v(s)}(t)$  along  $\gamma$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

### 3.1 Billiards

We recall the definition of the null billiard map (see Section 3 of [5]) in a special case. Let  $N$  be a complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold and let  $R$  be a region in  $N$  with smooth nondegenerate boundary  $M$ . We require additionally that any null geodesic  $\gamma$  intersecting the interior of  $R$  satisfies that  $\gamma(\mathbb{R}) \cap R = \gamma([t_0, t_1])$ . We call  $\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^0(N)$  the set of all oriented null geodesics intersecting the interior of  $R$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a null geodesic of  $N$  such that  $[\gamma] \in \mathfrak{L}$ . Decompose  $\dot{\gamma}(t_1)$  into its tangential and normal components, that is,  $\dot{\gamma}(t_1) = u^T + u^\perp$  with  $u^T \in T_{\gamma(t_1)} M$  and  $u^\perp \in (T_{\gamma(t_1)} M)^\perp$ . The null billiard operator  $B$  is well defined in the following way:

$$B : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}, \quad B([\gamma]) = [\gamma_w], \quad \text{with } w = u^T - u^\perp.$$

As in the pseudo-Euclidean case [5], the null billiard operator preserves the contact structure on  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$ . For the sake of completeness, we include this fact as a proposition.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $N$  be a complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold and let  $R$  be a region in  $N$  as above. Then the canonical contact structure on  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  is preserved by  $B$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{D}_\ell$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{L}^0(N)$  we can take  $u \in T^0N$  such that  $\Pi(u) = \ell$  and  $\pi(u) \in M$ . There exists  $\eta \in \text{Ker } \alpha_u$  such that  $d\Pi_u\eta = X$ . Since  $T_{\pi(u)}N = \mathbb{R}u + T_{\pi(u)}M$ , then  $d\pi_u\eta = \lambda u + v$ , with  $v \in T_{\pi(u)}M$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\tau : T_uTN \rightarrow T_{\pi(u)}N \times T_{\pi(u)}N$  be the isomorphism given by  $\tau(\xi) = (d\pi_u\xi, K_u(\xi))$ . Thus,  $\xi = \tau^{-1}(v, K_u(\eta))$  satisfies that  $\xi \in \text{Ker } \alpha_u$ ,  $d\Pi_u\xi = X$  and  $d\pi_u\xi \in T_{\pi(u)}M$ . Let  $c$  be a curve in  $M$  with initial velocity  $d\pi_u\xi$ . Since  $\pi|_{T^0N}$  is a submersion, there exists a curve  $t \mapsto u(t)$  in  $T^0N$  such that  $u(0) = u$ ,  $u'(0) = \xi$  and  $\pi(u(t)) = c(t)$ . So,

$$0 = \alpha_u(\xi) = \langle u(0), d\pi_{u(0)}u'(0) \rangle = \langle u(0), c'(0) \rangle. \quad (6)$$

We decompose  $u(t) = u^T(t) + u^\perp(t)$ , where  $u^T(t) \in T_{c(t)}M$  and  $u^\perp(t) \in (T_{c(t)}M)^\perp$  (we recall that  $M$  is supposed to be nondegenerate). Taking  $\ell(t) = \Pi(u(t))$ , we have

$$dB_\ell X = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 B(\ell(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \Pi(u^T(t) - u^\perp(t)).$$

We observe that  $\pi(u^T(t) - u^\perp(t)) = c(t)$ . Thus, to see that  $dB_\ell X \in \mathcal{D}_{B(\ell)}$  we only have to show that

$$\langle u^T(0) - u^\perp(0), c'(0) \rangle = 0. \quad (7)$$

But, by (6) and the fact that  $c'(0) \in T_{c(0)}M$ , we obtain that  $\langle u^T(0), c'(0) \rangle = 0$ , and this implies that (7) holds.

Finally, since  $\mathcal{D}$  has constant dimension and  $dB_\ell$  is nonsingular, it follows that  $dB_\ell \mathcal{D}_\ell = \mathcal{D}_{B(\ell)}$ .  $\square$

For  $c > 0$ , let  $R_c$  be the region in  $S^{k,m}$  given by

$$R_c = \{(u, v) \in S^{k,m} \mid |v| \leq c\},$$

with boundary  $M_c = \{(u, v) \in S^{k,m} \mid |v| = c\}$ , which is nondegenerate since  $V(u, v) = (c^2u, (1 + c^2)v)$  is an outside pointing normal time-like vector field.

We write the null billiard operator  $B$  via  $F$  of Theorem 1, in terms of the geodesic flow of spheres. For this, we consider the map

$$i : T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^{m-1}) \rightarrow TS^k \times TS^{m-1}, \quad i((u, v), (x, y)) = ((u, x), (v, y)).$$

As before, we call  $\mathfrak{L}$  the set of all oriented null geodesics in  $S^{k,m}$  that intersect the interior of  $R_c$  and denote  $L = i \circ F^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}) \subset TS^k \times TS^{m-1}$ .

We call  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  the geodesic flows of  $S^k$  and  $S^{m-1}$ , respectively.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\tilde{B} : L \rightarrow L$  be the conjugate of the null billiard operator on  $\mathfrak{L}$  by the map  $i \circ F^{-1}$ . Then,*

$$\tilde{B}((u, x), (v, y)) = (|x| \varphi_{2\theta_x}(u, x/|x|), |y| \psi_{2\theta_y}(v, y/|y|)), \quad (8)$$

where  $\theta_x, \theta_y \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$  are such that  $|x| \tan \theta_x = -\sqrt{c^2 - |y|^2} = |y| \tan \theta_y$ .

**Proof.** Let  $((u, x), (v, y)) \in L$ . Using (4), we find that  $t_1 = \sqrt{c^2 - |y|^2}$  is as in the definition of the null billiard operator. So, we have that  $F((u, v), (x, y)) = [\gamma]$  with  $\gamma(t) = (x, y) + t_1(u, v) + t(u, v)$  and we can decompose the vector  $(u, v)$  into its tangential and normal parts at  $\gamma(0)$ . Indeed,

$$(u, v)^T = \left( \frac{1}{1+c^2}(|x|^2 u - t_1 x), \frac{1}{c^2}(|y|^2 v - t_1 y) \right)$$

$$\text{and} \quad (u, v)^\perp = \left( \frac{t_1}{1+c^2}(t_1 u + x), \frac{t_1}{c^2}(t_1 v + y) \right).$$

Then, by definition of  $B$  and using the expression for the inverse of  $F$  given in (5), we obtain that  $\tilde{B}((u, x), (v, y)) = ((u', x'), (v', y'))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (u', x') &= \left( \frac{|x|^2 - t_1^2}{1+c^2} u - \frac{2t_1|x|}{1+c^2} \frac{x}{|x|}, |x| \left( \frac{2t_1|x|}{1+c^2} u + \frac{|x|^2 - t_1^2}{1+c^2} \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right) \\ &= |x| \varphi_{2\theta_x}(u, x/|x|), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\theta_x$  such that  $\tan \theta_x = -t_1/|x|$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (v', y') &= \left( \frac{|y|^2 - t_1^2}{c^2} v - \frac{2t_1|y|}{c^2} \frac{y}{|y|}, |y| \left( \frac{2t_1|y|}{c^2} v + \frac{|y|^2 - t_1^2}{c^2} \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right) \\ &= |y| \psi_{2\theta_y}(v, y/|y|), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\theta_y$  such that  $\tan \theta_y = -t_1/|y|$ . □

**Corollary 5.** (Lorentz case) *Let  $\tilde{B}$  be the conjugate of the null billiard operator on  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,1})$  by the identifications  $\mathcal{L}^0(S^{k,1}) \simeq T^1(S_+^k \times S_-^0) \simeq T^1 S^k \times \{-1, 1\}$ , then*

$$\tilde{B}((u, x), \varepsilon) = (\varphi_{-2 \arctan(c)}(u, x), -\varepsilon),$$

where  $u \in S^k$ ,  $x \perp u$  and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ .

## 4 The canonical contact structure on $\mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-)$

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complete Riemannian manifolds. Let  $M_+ \times N_-$  be the manifold  $M \times N$  with the pseudo-Riemannian metric whose norm is defined by  $\|(u, v)\| = |u|_M^2 - |v|_N^2$ , for each  $(u, v) \in T_{(p,q)}(M \times N)$  and  $(p, q) \in M \times N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  be the space of oriented geodesics of  $M$ , that is, the quotient of  $T^1 M$  by the action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on it determined by the geodesic flow of  $M$ .

We call  $p_1, p_2$  the projections of  $\mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1 N$  onto the first and second factors, respectively, and let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be the canonical 1-forms on  $T^1 M$  and  $T^1 N$ , respectively, defined as in (2).

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complete Riemannian manifolds such that the geodesic flow of  $M$  is free and proper. Then,  $\mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-)$  is a manifold. Suppose additionally that there exists a smooth global section  $S : \mathcal{L}(M) \rightarrow T^1M$ . Then  $\theta_S = p_1^*S^*\alpha_1 - p_2^*\alpha_2$  is a contact 1-form on  $\mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1N$  and the map*

$$G : \mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1N \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-), \quad G([\sigma], v) = [(\gamma_{S([\sigma])}, \gamma_v)]$$

*is a contactomorphism, where  $\mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-)$  is endowed with its canonical contact structure.*

**Proof.** First, notice that  $\mathcal{L}(M) = T^1M/\mathbb{R}$  is a manifold since the geodesic flow of  $M$  is free and proper. Now,  $\mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-)$  is also a manifold since the right action from  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $T^0(M_+ \times N_-)$  defined in (1) turns out to be proper and free. Indeed, the action is free due to the fact that the geodesics have constant speed and the geodesic flow of  $M$  is free. On the other hand, given a sequence  $(u_n, v_n)$  converging to  $(u, v)$  in  $T^0(M_+ \times N_-)$  and a sequence  $(s_n, \lambda_n)$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \cong \mathcal{A}$  such that the sequence  $(u_n, v_n) \cdot (s_n, \lambda_n) = (\lambda_n \dot{\gamma}_{u_n}(s_n), \lambda_n \dot{\gamma}_{v_n}(s_n))$  converges to  $(z, w)$  in  $T^0(M_+ \times N_-)$ , then we have that

$$\lambda_n \dot{\gamma}_{u_n}(s_n) \rightarrow z \quad \text{and} \quad u_n \rightarrow u$$

in  $TM$ . So,

$$\lambda_n |\dot{\gamma}_{u_n}(s_n)| \rightarrow |z| \quad \text{and} \quad |\dot{\gamma}_{u_n}(s_n)| \rightarrow |u| \neq 0,$$

and then  $\lambda_n \rightarrow |z|/|u|$ . Furthermore, since

$$\dot{\gamma}_{u_n/|u_n|}(|u_n|s_n) = |u_n|^{-1} \dot{\gamma}_{u_n}(s_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\gamma}_{u_n}(s_n) = \lambda_n^{-1} (\lambda_n \dot{\gamma}_{u_n}(s_n)) \rightarrow |u|z/|z|,$$

we obtain that

$$\dot{\gamma}_{u_n/|u_n|}(|u_n|s_n) \rightarrow z/|z|$$

in  $T^1M$ . Since the sequence  $u_n/|u_n|$  converges to  $u/|u|$  in  $T^1M$  and the geodesic flow of  $M$  is proper, there exists a subsequence  $|u_{n_j}|s_{n_j}$  converging to some  $s$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $(s_{n_j}, \lambda_{n_j}) \rightarrow (s/|u|, |z|/|u|)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and so the action is proper.

To verify that  $(\mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1N, \theta_S)$  is a contact manifold we show that  $G$  is a diffeomorphism such that  $dG(\text{Ker } \theta_S) = \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the contact distribution as in (3).

Let  $h : T^1M \times T^1N \rightarrow T^0(M_+ \times N_-)$  be the canonical inclusion. Since  $G = \Pi \circ h \circ (S \times \text{id})$  and any of these maps is smooth, we obtain that  $G$  is smooth.

Let  $\pi_M : T^1M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M)$  be the canonical projection. Under the hypothesis on the geodesic flow of  $M$ ,  $(T^1M, \pi_M, \mathcal{L}(M))$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -principal bundle (see for instance [10, Proposition 2.3.8 (iii)]). So, there exists a smooth map  $x : T^1M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $S(\pi_M(u)) = \dot{\gamma}_u(x(u))$ . Then, if  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are geodesics in  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively, such that  $[(\gamma, \sigma)] \in \mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-)$ , we have that

$$G^{-1} : \mathcal{L}^0(M_+ \times N_-) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1N, \quad G^{-1}([\gamma, \sigma]) = ([\gamma_u], \dot{\gamma}_v(x(u))),$$

where  $u = \dot{\gamma}(0)/|\dot{\gamma}(0)| \in T^1M$  and  $v = \dot{\sigma}(0)/|\dot{\sigma}(0)| \in T^1N$ . Since  $G^{-1} \circ \pi_M$  is smooth and  $\pi_M$  is a submersion, it follows that  $G^{-1}$  is a smooth map. Therefore,  $G$  is a diffeomorphism.

Finally, we check that  $dG(\text{Ker } \theta_S) = \mathcal{D}$ . For this, let  $p = ([\sigma], v) \in \mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1N$  and take  $(\xi, \eta) \in \text{Ker } (\theta_S)_p$ . Let  $t \mapsto (\ell_t, v_t)$  be a curve in  $\mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1N$  such that  $(\ell_0, v_0) = p$  and  $(\ell'_0, v'_0) = (\xi, \eta)$ . Since  $G(\ell_t, v_t) = \Pi(S(\ell_t), v_t)$ , then

$$dG_p(\xi, \eta) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 G(\ell_t, v_t) = d\Pi_{(S([\sigma]), v)} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (S(\ell_t), v_t).$$

By definition of  $\mathcal{D}$ , we only have to verify that  $X = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (S(\ell_t), v_t)$  is in  $\text{Ker } \alpha_{(S([\sigma]), v)}$ . If we call  $\pi^1 : T^1M \rightarrow M$  and  $\pi^2 : T^1N \rightarrow N$  the canonical projections, we have that

$$d\pi_{(S([\sigma]), v)} X = (d\pi_{S([\sigma])}^1(dS_{[\sigma]}\xi), d\pi_v^2(\eta)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{(S([\sigma]), v)}(X) &= \langle (S([\sigma]), v), d\pi_{(S([\sigma]), v)} X \rangle \\ &= \langle S([\sigma]), d\pi_{S([\sigma])}^1(dS_{[\sigma]}\xi) \rangle_M - \langle v, d\pi_v^2(\eta) \rangle_N \\ &= (S^* \alpha_1)_{[\sigma]}(\xi) - (\alpha_2)_v(\eta) \\ &= (p_1^* S^* \alpha_1 - p_2^* \alpha_2)_{(S([\sigma]), v)}(\xi, \eta) \\ &= (\theta_S)_p(\xi, \eta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $dG_p(\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{D}_{G(p)}$ . Since  $dG(\text{Ker } \theta_S)$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  have the same dimension, we obtain their equality. Consequently, since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a contact distribution,  $\theta_S$  is a contact 1-form on  $\mathcal{L}(M) \times T^1N$  and  $G$  is a contactomorphism.  $\square$

*Example 1.* Writing  $\mathbb{R}^{n,k} = \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_-^k$  one has  $\mathcal{L}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n,k}) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times T^1\mathbb{R}^k \simeq TS^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times S^{k-1}$ . Proposition 2.6 (2) in [5] gives another presentation of  $\mathcal{L}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n,k})$ , in terms of 1-jets, which has the advantage of being natural.

*Example 2.* If  $M$  is either a Hadamard manifold or the paraboloid of revolution  $\{(x, y, x^2 + y^2) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is a manifold and has a smooth section into  $T^1M$ , and hence it satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 6.

Suppose first that  $M$  is a Hadamard manifold. The geodesic flow of  $M$  is free since the exponential map is a diffeomorphism at every point. Besides, given a sequence  $(p_n, v_n)$  converging to  $(p, v)$  in  $T^1M$  and a sequence  $t_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\gamma_{v_n}(t_n), \dot{\gamma}_{v_n}(t_n))$  converges to  $(q, u)$ , we have that  $d(p_n, \gamma_{v_n}(t_n)) = |t_n|$ , because geodesics in  $M$  minimize the distance. Since the distance is a continuous map, it follows that  $|t_n| \rightarrow d(p, q)$ . Then the sequence  $t_n$  has a convergent subsequence and the geodesic flow of  $M$  is proper. Therefore,  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is a manifold.

Fixing  $p \in M$ , let  $H : T(T_p^1M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M)$  be the map defined as follows: Let  $X \in T_p^1M$  and  $Y \in T_pM$  with  $X \perp Y$ , then  $H(X, Y)$  is the oriented geodesic with initial point  $\exp_p(Y)$  and initial velocity the parallel transport of  $X$  along the geodesic

$t \mapsto \exp_p(tY)$ . Proposition 4.14 of [3] asserts that  $H$  is a diffeomorphism. Thus, there exists a global section from  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  into  $T^1M$ , namely,  $S$  assigns to each oriented unit speed geodesic of  $M$  its velocity at the closest point to  $p$ .

Now, let  $M$  be the paraboloid of revolution. The geodesic flow  $\varphi_t$  is free since  $M$  has no periodic geodesics (see [6, Example 2.9.2]). Next, we show that it is proper. Suppose that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $\varphi_{t_n}(u_n) \rightarrow z$  in  $T^1M$ . Let  $c > 0$  such that the footpoints of  $u$  and  $z$  belong to the interior of  $C = \{p \in M \mid z \leq c\}$ . Hence, for  $n \geq N$  the footpoints of  $u_n$  and  $\varphi_{t_n}(u_n)$  also belong to the interior of  $C$ . Now, again by [6, Example 2.9.2],  $C$  is totally convex. Hence, by Proposition 2.9.14 in [6], there exists  $L > 0$  such that every geodesic segment in  $C$  has length  $\leq L$ . In particular,  $|t_n| \leq L$ , since  $|t_n|$  is the length of the geodesic segment  $\gamma_{u_n}|_{I_n}$ , where  $I_n = [0, t_n]$  for  $t_n > 0$  and  $I_n = [t_n, 0]$  for  $t_n < 0$ . Therefore,  $t_n$  has a convergent subsequence.

The existence of a smooth global section is proved in an analogous way as for a Hadamard manifold. Notice that each geodesic in the paraboloid which is not a meridian has an infinite number of self-intersections.

## References

- [1] D. Alekseevsky, B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg, On the geometry of spaces of oriented geodesics. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **40** (2011), 389–409.
- [2] J. Beem and P. Parker, The space of geodesics. *Geom. Dedicata* **38** (1991), 87–99.
- [3] J. Beem, R. Low and P. Parker, Spaces of geodesics: products, coverings, connectedness. *Geom. Dedicata* **59** (1996), 51–64.
- [4] B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg, An indefinite Kähler metric on the space of oriented lines. *J. London Math. Soc.* **72** (2005), 497–509.
- [5] B. Khesin and S. Tabachnikov, Pseudo-Riemannian geodesics and billiards. *Adv. Math.* **221** (2009), 1364–1396.
- [6] W. Klingenberg, *Riemannian Geometry*. Walter de Gruyter 1982.
- [7] R. Low, The geometry of the space of null geodesics. *J. Math. Phys.* **30** (1989), 809–811.
- [8] R. Low, The space of null geodesics. *Nonlinear Anal.* **47** (2001), 3005–3017.
- [9] B. O’Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity*. Academic Press 1983.
- [10] J. Ortega and T. Ratiu, *Momentum maps and Hamiltonian reduction*. Progress in Mathematics **222**, Springer 2004.

- [11] M. Salvai, On the geometry of the space of oriented lines of Euclidean space. *Manuscr. Math.* **118** (2005), 181–189.
- [12] M. Salvai, On the geometry of the space of oriented lines of the hyperbolic space. *Glasgow Math. J.* **49** (2007), 357–366.
- [13] M. Salvai, Global smooth fibrations of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by oriented lines. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **41** (2009), 155–163.