On the energy of sections of trivializable sphere bundles

Marcos Salvai^{*} FaMAF - CIEM Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina salvai@mate.uncor.edu

Abstract

Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle with a metric connection over a Riemannian manifold M and consider on E the Sasaki metric. We find a condition for a section of the associated sphere bundle to be a critical point of the energy among all smooth unit sections. We apply the criterion to some particular cases where M is parallelizable, for instance $M = S^7$ or a compact simple Lie group G with a biinvariant metric, and E is the trivial vector bundle with a connection induced by octonian multiplication or an irreducible real orthogonal representation of G, respectively. Generically, these bundles have no parallel unit sections.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000. Primary: 53C20, 58E15. Secondary: 53C30, 58E20.

Introduction

Beginning with G. Wiegmink and C. M. Wood [5, 6], critical points of the energy of unit tangent fields have been extensively studied (see for instance in [1] the abundant bibliography on the subject). We are interested in a

^{*}Partially supported by FONCYT, CIEM (CONICET) and SECYT (UNC)

natural generalization, namely, critical points of the energy of sections of sphere bundles.

Let $\pi : E \to M$ be a vector bundle with a metric connection ∇ over an oriented Riemannian manifold, that is, each fiber has an inner product depending smoothly on the base point and

$$Z \langle V, W \rangle = \langle \nabla_Z V, W \rangle + \langle V, \nabla_Z W \rangle$$

for all vector fields Z on M and all smooth sections V, W of E.

On E one can define the canonical Sasaki metric associated with ∇ in such a way that the map

$$(d\pi, \mathcal{K})_{\xi}: T_{\xi}E \to T_qM \times E_q$$

is a linear isometry for each $\xi \in E$ (here $q = \pi(\xi)$ and \mathcal{K} is the connection operator associated with ∇).

Let $\pi: E \to M$ be as before and denote by $E^1 = \{\xi \in E \mid ||\xi|| = 1\}$ the associated sphere bundle. Let N be a relatively compact open subset of M with smooth (possibly empty) boundary. Given a smooth section $V: M \to E^1$, the total bending of V on N is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{N}(V) = \int_{N} \|\nabla V\|^{2},$$

where $(\nabla V)_p : T_p M \to E_p$, $\|\nabla V\|^2 = \operatorname{tr} (\nabla V)^* (\nabla V)$ and integration is taken with respect to the volume associated to the Riemannian metric of M.

Consider on E the Sasaki metric. As in the case of vector fields, there exist constants c_1 and c_2 , depending only on the dimension and the volume of N, such that the energy \mathcal{E}_N of the section V, thought of as map $V : N \to E$, is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{N}\left(V\right) = c_{1} + c_{2} \mathcal{B}_{N}\left(V\right).$$

In the following we refer to the energy of the section instead of the bending, since that is a subject more commonly studied. In every example we will be concerned with the nonexistence of parallel unit sections, since they are trivial minima of the functional.

Definition. A smooth section $V : M \to E^1$ is said to be a harmonic section if for every relatively compact open subset N of M with smooth (possibly empty) boundary, V is a critical point of the functional \mathcal{B}_N (or equivalently, of the energy \mathcal{E}_N) applied to smooth sections W of M satisfying $W|_{\partial N} = V|_{\partial N}$. Notice that a harmonic section may be not a harmonic map from M to E^1 (see for example [2, 3], where E = TM).

The rough Laplacian Δ acts on smooth sections of E as follows:

$$(\Delta V)(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\nabla_{Z^{i}} \nabla_{Z^{i}} V \right)(p),$$

where $\{Z^i \mid i = 1, ..., n\}$ is any section of orthonormal frames on a neighborhood of p in M satisfying $(\nabla_{Z^i} Z^j)(p) = 0$ for all i, j.

Theorem 1 Let $\pi : E \to M$ be a vector bundle with a metric connection over an oriented Riemannian manifold and consider on E the associated Sasaki metric. The section $V : M \to E^1$ is a harmonic section if and only if there is a smooth real function f on M such that

$$\Delta V = fV$$

Remark. This condition was proved for the particular case where E is the tangent bundle, by Wiegmink [5] and Wood [6] for compact manifolds and by Gil-Medrano [1] for general (not necessarily compact) manifolds (with a different presentation). Their proofs can be adapted to the present more general case.

Applications

Let M be a parallelizable manifold with a fixed parallelization $\{X^1, \ldots, X^n\}$. Let \mathcal{V} be a finite dimensional vector space with an inner product and $\mathfrak{o}(\mathcal{V})$ the set of all skew-symmetric endomorphisms of \mathcal{V} . Let $E = M \times \mathcal{V} \to M$ be the trivial vector bundle. For $v \in \mathcal{V}$, let $L_v : M \to E$ be the "constant" section $L_v(p) = (p, v)$.

Proposition 2 Given a map θ : $\{X^1, \ldots, X^n\} \to \mathfrak{o}(\mathcal{V})$, there exists a unique connection ∇ on $E \to M$ such that

$$\left(\nabla_{X^{i}}L_{v}\right)\left(p\right) = L_{\theta(X^{i})v}\left(p\right) \tag{1}$$

for all $p \in M$ and all i = 1, ..., n. Moreover, the connection is metric.

Proof. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{V} . Let $X \in T_pM$ and $\sigma: M \to E$ be a smooth section. Then

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i X^i(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j L_{v_j}$$

for some numbers a_i and smooth functions $f_j : M \to \mathbf{R}$. A standard computation shows that

$$(\nabla_X \sigma)(p) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \left(X_p^i(f_j) L_{v_j}(p) + f_j(p) \left(L_{\theta(X^i)v_j} \right)(p) \right)$$

defines a connection on E satisfying condition (1), which is metric since $\theta(X^i)$ is skew-symmetric for all i.

Examples.

(1) The Levi-Civita connection of a Lie group G with a left invariant Riemannian metric may be obtained in this way: Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G endowed with an arbitrary inner product. Let ∇ be the connection on $E = G \times \mathfrak{g} \to G$ induced by $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{o}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$\theta(X) Y = \frac{1}{2} \left(\operatorname{ad}_X Y - \left(\operatorname{ad}_X \right)^* Y - \left(\operatorname{ad}_Y \right)^* X \right),$$

and any left invariant parallelization of G, where * means transpose with respect to the inner product at the identity. In this case the map

$$F: E \to TG, \quad F(g, v) = d\ell_q(v) \tag{2}$$

 $(\ell_g \text{ denotes left multiplication by } g)$ is an affine vector bundle isomorphism, and moreover an isometry if E and TG carry the corresponding Sasaki metrics.

(2) A particular case of (1) is the following: If the metric on G is biinvariant, or equivalently the inner product is Ad (G)-invariant, we have

$$\theta(X) Y = \frac{1}{2} [X, Y].$$

(3) Let G be a compact connected Lie group and (\mathcal{V}, ρ) a real orthogonal representation of G. Proposition 2 provides a connection ∇ on $E = G \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow G$ induced by any left invariant parallelization and $\theta = \lambda \, d\rho$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let $E = G \times \mathcal{V} \to G$ as in Example 3. For $v \in \mathcal{V}$, let R_v the section of E defined by

$$R_{v}(g) = \left(g, \rho\left(g^{-1}\right)v\right). \tag{3}$$

The sections L_v and R_v are called left and right invariant, respectively, since in the particular case where $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{g}$, $\rho = \mathrm{Ad}$ they correspond to left and right invariant vector fields, respectively, via the isomorphism (2).

Remark. Although the vector bundles $E \to G$ of Example 3 are topologically trivial (as for instance the tangent spaces of parallelizable manifolds are) in most cases they are not geometrically trivial, as shown in (b) of the following Theorem.

Theorem 3 Let G be a compact connected simple Lie group endowed with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric. Let (\mathcal{V}, ρ) be an irreducible real orthogonal representation of G and let $E = G \times \mathcal{V}$ with the Sasaki metric induced by the connection associated to any left invariant parallelization of G and $\theta = \lambda d\rho$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. The following assertions are true:

(a) The left and right invariant unit sections are harmonic sections of $E^1 \to G$.

(b) If $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$, then L_v or R_v , respectively, are parallel sections for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. If $0 \neq \lambda \neq 1$, then the bundle $E \to G$ has no parallel unit sections.

Remarks. (a) The result is still valid if G is semisimple and the metric of G is a negative multiple of the Killing form.

(b) If $(\mathcal{V}, \rho) = (\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Ad})$ and $\lambda = 1/2$, we have the well-known fact that the unit left invariant vector fields on G are harmonic sections of $T^1G \to G$, since they are Killing vector fields and G is Einstein [5] (see in [3, Section 4] the case where the bi-invariant metric is not Einstein).

We need the following Lemma to prove the Theorem.

Lemma 4 Let ∇ be the connection on the bundle $E \to G$ in the hypothesis of Theorem 3. If Z is a left invariant vector field on G, then

$$\left(\nabla_Z \nabla_Z R_v\right)(g) = \left(g, \left(\lambda - 1\right)^2 d\rho \left(Z\right)^2 \rho \left(g^{-1}\right) v\right) \tag{4}$$

for all $g \in G, v \in \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. Let V be a smooth section of $E \to G$ and suppose that V(h) = (h, u(h)). Denote $w(h) = (d/dt)_0 u(h \exp(tZ))$ and $\gamma(t) = g \exp(tZ)$ for $t \sim 0$. We may assume that $Z \neq 0$, otherwise the assertion is trivial. A smooth section W such that

$$W(\gamma(t)) = (\cos t) L_{u(g)}(\gamma(t)) + (\sin t) L_{w(g)}(\gamma(t))$$

satisfies W(g) = V(g) and $(W \circ \gamma)'(0) = (V \circ \gamma)'(0)$. Hence, $(\nabla_Z V)(g) = (\nabla_Z W)(g)$, which by (1) equals

$$L_{\lambda \, d\rho(Z)u(g)}(g) + L_{w(g)}(g) = (g, \lambda \, d\rho(Z) \, u(g) + w(g))$$

Applying this procedure to $V = R_v$, that is, $u(h) = \rho(h^{-1})v$ and $w(h) = -d\rho(Z)\rho(h^{-1})v$, one obtains

$$\left(\nabla_Z R_v\right)(g) = \left(g, \left(\lambda - 1\right) d\rho\left(Z\right) \rho\left(g^{-1}\right) v\right).$$
(5)

Finally, applying again the procedure to the section $V = \nabla_Z R_v$, one obtains (4).

Proof of Theorem 3. (a) Let $\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} and consider on G the associated left invariant parallelization. Given $v \in \mathcal{V}$, by (1) we compute

$$(\Delta L_{v})(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{Z^{i}} \nabla_{Z^{i}} L_{v})(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{\lambda^{2} d\rho(Z^{i})^{2} v}(g)$$
$$= \left(g, \lambda^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\rho \left(Z^{i}\right)^{2} v\right) = \left(g, \lambda^{2} \mathcal{C}_{\rho}(v)\right),$$

where C_{ρ} is a multiple of the Casimir of the representation ρ (notice that the metric is a negative multiple of the Killing form). Now, the Casimir is a multiple of the identity, since ρ is irreducible (a direct application of Schur's Lemma). Hence, $\Delta L_v = \mu L_v$ for some μ and so L_v is a harmonic section of $E^1 \to G$ by Theorem 1. On the other hand, a straightforward computation shows that

$$d\rho(Z)\rho(g^{-1}) = \rho(g^{-1})d\rho(\operatorname{Ad}(g)Z)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence, if we call $U^i = \operatorname{Ad}(g) Z^i$, we have by Lemma 4 that

$$(\Delta R_v)(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(g, (\lambda - 1)^2 \rho \left(g^{-1} \right) d\rho \left(U^i \right)^2 v \right)$$

= $\left(g, (\lambda - 1)^2 \rho \left(g^{-1} \right) \mathcal{C}_\rho(v) \right),$

since $\{U^i \mid i = 1, ..., n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} (the metric on G is bi-invariant). As before, \mathcal{C}_{ρ} is a multiple $\bar{\mu}$ of the identity, hence $\Delta R_v = \bar{\mu} (\lambda - 1)^2 R_v$, which implies by Theorem 1 that R_v is a harmonic section of $E^1 \to G$.

(b) If $\lambda = 0$, clearly L_v is parallel by definition of the connection. If $\lambda = 1$, then R_v is parallel by (5). Suppose that a smooth unit section V with V(e) = (e, v) is parallel. Then, for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ the curvature

$$R(X,Y)(e,v) = (\nabla_X \nabla_Y L_v - \nabla_Y \nabla_X L_v - \nabla_{[X,Y]} L_v)(e)$$

= $(e, [\theta(X), \theta(Y)] v - \theta[X,Y] v)$
= $(e, \lambda^2 [d\rho(X), d\rho(Y)] v - \lambda d\rho[X,Y] v)$
= $(e, \lambda (\lambda - 1) d\rho[X,Y] v)$

vanishes. If G is semisimple, $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$. Hence, $0 \neq \lambda \neq 1$ implies that $d\rho(Z)v = 0$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. This contradicts the fact that ρ is irreducible. \Box

Next we deal with an analogue of the particular case of Theorem 3 when $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{H}$ is the algebra of quaternions, $G = S^3 = \{q \in \mathbf{H} \mid |q| = 1\}$ and $\rho(q) X = q.X$ (quaternion multiplication) for $X \in \text{Im } \mathbf{H} = T_1 S^3$. (It is not a particular case of Theorem 3, since S^7 is not a Lie group.)

Let $\mathbf{O} \cong \mathbf{R}^8$ denote the octonians with the canonical inner product and let $S^7 = \{q \in \mathbf{O} \mid |q| = 1\}$ with the induced metric. The tangent space of S^7 at the identity may be identified with Im \mathbf{O} , the purely imaginary octonians. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_7\}$ of Im \mathbf{O} and consider the parallelization of S^7 consisting of the corresponding left invariant vector fields X^i 's, that is, $X^i(q) = q.x^i \in q^{\perp} = T_q S^7$. By analogy with (3), given $v \in \mathbf{O}$, we define the section R_v of the trivial vector bundle $S^7 \times \mathbf{O} \to S^7$ by $R_v(q) = (q, \bar{q}v)$.

Theorem 5 Let $E = S^7 \times \mathbf{O} \to S^7$ be the trivial vector bundle with the connection ∇ induced by

$$\theta: \{X^1, \dots, X^7\} \to \mathfrak{o}(\mathbf{O}), \quad \theta(X^i) v = \lambda x_i v,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, and consider on E the Sasaki metric induced by ∇ . The connection is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis of $\text{Im } \mathbf{O}$. If $v \in \mathbf{O}$ with |v| = 1, the following assertions are true for the sections L_v, R_v of the associated spherical bundle $E^1 \to S^7$.

(a) If $\lambda = 0$, then L_v and R_v are harmonic sections. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then L_v is a harmonic section and R_v is a harmonic section if and only if $v = \pm 1$.

(b) If $0 \neq \lambda \neq 1$, then the bundle $E^1 \rightarrow S^7$ has no parallel sections. The section L_v is parallel if and only if $\lambda = 0$, and R_v is parallel if and only if $\lambda = 1$ and $v = \pm 1$.

Before proving the theorem we recall from Chapter 6 of [4] some facts about the octonians **O** (also called Cayley numbers), which are a non-associative normed algebra with identity, isomorphic to \mathbf{R}^8 as an inner product vector space. The algebra **O** is $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$, with the multiplication given by

$$(a,b)(c,d) = \left(ac - \bar{d}b, da + b\bar{c}\right).$$
(6)

Setting 1 = (1, 0) and e = (0, 1), one writes (a, b) = a + be. If u = a + x with $a \in \mathbf{R}.1$ and $\langle x, 1 \rangle = 0$, the conjugate of u is $\bar{u} = a - x$ and $\langle u, v \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(u\bar{v})$ holds for all $u, v \in \mathbf{O}$. If $x \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{O} = 1^{\perp}$ with |x| = 1, then

$$x^{2} = -x\bar{x} = -|x|^{2} = -1.$$
(7)

Moreover, if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$, then

$$u\left(\bar{v}w\right) = -v\left(\bar{u}w\right) \tag{8}$$

for all w. From Lemma 6.11 of [4] and its proof we have that the associator

$$[u, v, w] = (uv) w - u (vw)$$

is an alternating 3-linear form which vanishes either if one of the arguments is real or if two consecutive arguments are conjugate. In particular, if $x \in \text{Im } \mathbf{O}$ with |x| = 1, we have by (7) that for all v,

$$x(xv) = (x^{2})v - [x, x, v] = -v + [x, \bar{x}, v] = -v.$$
(9)

Lemma 6 Let $z = x_{\ell}$ be an element of the basis of Im O considered above and denote $Z = X_{\ell}$. Then for unit octonians v and q one has

$$\left(\nabla_Z R_v\right)(q) = \left(q, \lambda z \left(\bar{q}v\right) - \left(z\bar{q}\right)v\right) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\left(\nabla_Z \nabla_Z R_v\right)(q) = -\left(1 + \lambda^2\right) R_v(q) - 2\lambda\left(q, z\left((z\bar{q})v\right)\right). \tag{11}$$

Proof. The assertions follow proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4, setting $\rho(q) X = qX$ and $d\rho(z) X = zX$, taking into account that **O** is not associative and using (9).

Proof of Theorem 5. (a) First we show that $\theta(X^i)$ is skew symmetric for all i = 1, ..., 7. Indeed, given $v \in \mathbf{O}$, since $x_i \in \text{Im } \mathbf{O}$, then

$$\langle \lambda x_i v, v \rangle = \lambda \operatorname{Re} \left((x_i v) \, \overline{v} \right) = \lambda \operatorname{Re} \left([x_i, v, \overline{v}] - x_i \, |v|^2 \right) = 0,$$

by one of the properties of the associator mentioned above. On the other hand, by definition of the connection and (9), we compute

$$(\Delta L_{v})(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{7} (\nabla_{X^{i}} \nabla_{X^{i}} L_{v})(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{7} L_{\lambda^{2} x^{i}(x^{i}v)}(q) = \left(q, -\sum_{i=1}^{7} \lambda^{2} v\right) = (q, -7\lambda^{2} v) = -7\lambda^{2} L_{v}(q)$$

By Theorem 1, L_v is a harmonic section of $E^1 \to S^7$ for any λ and using (11) and (9), R_v is a harmonic section if $\lambda = 0$ or $v = \pm 1$. Now we consider the case $\lambda \neq 0$. If R_v is a harmonic section, by Theorem 1 and (11) there exists a smooth function f on S^7 such that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{7} x_{\ell} \left((x_{\ell} \bar{q}) v \right) = f(q) \, \bar{q} v \tag{12}$$

for all $q \in S^7$. By Proposition 6.40 in [4], based on a theorem of Artin, we may suppose without loss of generality that v = a + bi, with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. We must show that b = 0. Take $\bar{q} = c + dj$ with $c^2 + d^2 = 1$ and suppose that $\{x_{\ell} \mid \ell = 1, \ldots, 7\}$ is the canonical basis $\{i, j, k, e, ie, je, ke\}$. Now a straightforward computation using (6) and (9) yields that $\sum_{\ell=1}^7 x_{\ell}((x_{\ell}j)i) = -k$. Setting $\xi = ac + cbi + adj$, equality (12) becomes

$$-7\xi - dbk = f(c - dj)(\xi - dbk)$$

Suppose that $b \neq 0$. If $b = \pm 1$ (so a = 0), taking $c = d \neq 0$, one has 1 = f(c - dj) = -7. If $b \neq \pm 1$ (so $a \neq 0$), taking c = 0, d = 1, one gets also a contradiction. Thus, b = 0 as desired.

(b) By definition of the connection, L_v is parallel if and only if $\lambda = 0$. Suppose that $0 \neq \lambda \neq 1$. As in the proof of Theorem 3 (b), we show that for any $v \in \mathbf{O}$, $v \neq 0$, there exist an orthonormal set $\{x, y\} \subset T_1 S^7 = \text{Im } \mathbf{O}$ such that the curvature $R(x, y) v \neq 0$. Let X, Y be the left invariant vector fields on S^7 corresponding to x and y, respectively. By Proposition 6.40 of [4], based on a theorem of Artin, the span H of $\{1, x, y, xy\}$ is a normed subalgebra isomorphic to the quaternions. Hence, one can think of X, Y as left invariant vector fields on the Lie group $S^3 = H \cap S^7$. Therefore [X, Y](1) = xy - yx. Using (8) we compute

$$R(x, y) v = (\nabla_X \nabla_Y L_v - \nabla_Y \nabla_X L_v - \nabla_{[X,Y]} L_v) (1)$$

= $\lambda^2 x (yv) - \lambda^2 y (xv) - \lambda (xy - yx) v$
= $2\lambda (\lambda x (yv) - (xy) v)$
= $2\lambda ((\lambda - 1) (xy) v - \lambda [x, y, v]).$

If $v = \pm 1$, for any orthonormal set $\{x, y\} \subset \text{Im } \mathbf{O}$ one has clearly

$$R(x, y) v = \pm 2\lambda (\lambda - 1) xy \neq 0.$$

If $v \neq \pm 1$, then $u := \operatorname{Im} v \neq 0$ and taking an orthonormal set $\{x, y\}$ in $\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{O}$, with $y = \overline{u}/|u|$, by the properties of the associator given after (8), one has $R(x, y) v = 2\lambda (\lambda - 1) (xy) v \neq 0$. Finally, by (10), R_v is not parallel if $\lambda = 0$, and if $\lambda = 1$, then $(\nabla_Z R_v)(q) = (q, -[z, \overline{q}, v])$ for all $q \in S^7$, Re z = 0. Similar arguments yield that in this case R_v is parallel if and only if $v = \pm 1$. This concludes the proof of (b).

References

- O. Gil-Medrano, Relationship between volume and energy of vector fields, Diff. Geom. Appl. 15 (2001) 137–152.
- [2] O. Gil-Medrano, J. C. González-Dávila, L. Vanhecke, Harmonic and minimal invariant unit vector fields on homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Houston J. Math. 27 No. 2 (2001) 377–409.
- [3] J. C. González-Dávila, L. Vanhecke, *Invariant harmonic unit vector fields* on *Lie groups*, to appear in Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.
- [4] F. R. Harvey, Spinors and calibrations, Perspectives in Mathematics, Academic Press, Boston, 1990.

- [5] G. Wiegmink, Total bending of vector fields on Riemannian manifolds, Math. Ann. 303 (1995) 325–344.
- [6] C. M. Wood, On the energy of a unit vector field, Geom. Ded. 64 (1997) 319–330.