## ON THE BEST RANGES FOR $A_p^+$ AND $RH_r^+$

M. S. RIVEROS, Córdoba, and A. DE LA TORRE, Málaga

(Received May 28, 1998)

Abstract. In this paper we study the relationship between one-sided reverse Hölder classes  $RH_r^+$  and the  $A_p^+$  classes. We find the best possible range of  $RH_r^+$  to which an  $A_1^+$  weight belongs, in terms of the  $A_1^+$  constant. Conversely, we also find the best range of  $A_p^+$  to which a  $RH_\infty^+$  weight belongs, in terms of the  $RH_\infty^+$  constant. Similar problems for  $A_p^+$ ,  $1 and <math>RH_r^+$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$  are solved using factorization.

Keywords: one-sided weights, one-sided reverse Hölder, factorization

MSC 2000: 42B25

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that there is a relationship between the  $A_p$  classes and the so called reverse Hölder classes  $RH_r$ . C. J. Neugebauer [8] studied the following problems:

- (1) For  $w \in A_p$ , find the precise range of r's such that  $w \in RH_r$ , the precise range of q < p for which  $w \in A_q$ , and the precise range of s > 1 such that  $w^s \in A_p$ .
- (2) Conversely, for a fixed  $w \in RH_r$ , find the precise range of p's such that  $w \in A_p$ , and the precise range of q > r for which  $w \in RH_q$ .

For the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$M^{+}f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h} |f|,$$

the  $A_p^+$  classes were introduced by E. Sawyer [9]. He proved that  $M^+$  is bounded in  $L^p(w)$  (p > 1) if, and only if, the weight satisfies  $A_p^+$ , i.e., there exists a constant C

Research supported by D.G.I.Y.T. (PB94-1496), Junta de Andalucía and Universidad Nacional de Córdoba.

such that for any three points a < b < c we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} w \left( \int_{b}^{c} w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \leqslant C(c-a)^{p}.$$

The smallest constant for which this is satisfied will be called the  $A_p^+$  constant of w and will be denoted by  $A_p^+(w)$ . For p = 1 the weak type of the operator holds if, and only if, the weight w satisfies  $A_1^+$ , i.e., there exists C such that for any a and almost every b > a,

$$\int_{a}^{b} w \leqslant C(b-a)w(b).$$

The smallest such constant will be called the  $A_1^+$  constant of w and will be denoted by  $A_1^+(w)$ . For later reference we point out that it is an easy consequence of Lebesgue's differentiation theorem that the constant in the definiton of  $A_1^+$  is always greater than, or equal to, one.

These classes are of interest, not only because they control the boundedness of the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, but they are the right classes for the weighted estimates for one-sided singular integrals [1] and they also appear in PDE [4]. In contrast to the Muckenhoupt weights, the one-sided weights are not doubling, but they possess a one-sided doubling property, namely if  $w \in A_p^+$  then there exists C such that for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and h > 0,  $\int_a^{a+2h} w \leq C \int_{a+h}^{a+2h} w$ . The reverse Hölder property is not satisfied by these weights, either, but nevertheless, Martín-Reyes [5] proved that there is a weak substitute of this notion, that we will denote by  $RH_r^+$ , which is good enough to prove the " $p - \varepsilon$ " property. In [7] the class  $A_{\infty}^+$  was introduced and it was proved that  $A_{\infty}^+ = \bigcup_{p < \infty} A_p^+ = \bigcup_{1 < r} RH_r^+$ .

In this note we solve the problems of the Neugebauer paper in this context. In the proofs we will make essential use of the one-sided minimal operator introduced by Cruz-Uribe, Neugebauer and Olesen [3]. It is defined as  $m^+f(x) = \inf_{c>x} \frac{1}{c-x} \int_x^c |f|$ . We will also use the fact that for any positive function g, the maximal operator  $M_g f(x) = \sup_{x \in I} \frac{1}{g(I)} \int_I |f| g \, dx$  is of weak type one-one with respect to the measure  $g \, dx$ . Note that for g = 1 we have the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, which is denoted by Mf.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we give definitions and characterizations of  $RH_r^+$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$ . In Section 3 we prove two theorems of the best range for the extreme classes  $A_1^+$  and  $RH_{\infty}^+$ . In Section 4 we give a factorization theorem for weights in  $RH_r^+$ , and finally in Section 5 we extend the theorems of Section 3 to  $A_p^+$  and  $RH_r^+$ , using the factorization proved in Section 4. We shall see that the index range depends on the factorization of the weight. We end this introduction with some notation: for a given interval I = (a, a + h)we denote by  $I^-$  the interval (a - h, a),  $I^+$  the interval (a + h, a + 2h), and  $I^{++}$  the interval (a + 2h, a + 3h). For any 1 , <math>p' will be its conjugate exponent, if gis locally integrable and E is a measurable set, g(E) will stand for  $\int_E g$  and C will represent a constant that may change from time to time. Finally, we remark that we can change the orientation on the real line obtaining similar results for classes  $RH_r^-, A_p^-, 1 < r \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

# 2. Definition, charaterization of $RH_r^+$ for $1 < r < \infty$

We start this section with the definiton of  $RH_r^+$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A weight w satisfies the one-sided reverse Hölder  $RH_r^+$  condition, if there exists C such that for any a < b,

(2.2) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leq C \left( M(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b) \right)^{(r-1)} \int_{a}^{b} w.$$

The smallest such constant will be called the  $RH_r^+$  constant of w and will be denoted by  $RH_r^+(w)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A weight satisfies the one-sided reverse Hölder  $RH^+_{\infty}$  condition, if there exists C such that

(2.4) 
$$w(x) \leqslant Cm^+ w(x)$$

for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The smallest such constant will be called the  $RH_{\infty}^+$  constant of w and will be denoted by  $RH_{\infty}^+(w)$ . It is clear that  $C \ge 1$ .

The following lemma gives several characterizations of  $RH_r^+$ . The constants are not necessarily the same.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let a < b < c < d,  $1 < r < \infty$ , and let  $w \ge 0$  be locally integrable. Then the following staments are equivalent.

(i) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leq C \left( M(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b) \right)^{(r-1)} \int_{a}^{b} w.$$
  
(ii)  $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{c-b} \int_{b}^{c} w \right)^{r}$  with  $b-a = 2(c-b).$   
(iii)  $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} w \right)^{r}$  with  $b-a = d-b = 2(d-c)$ 

(iv) 
$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{c-b} \int_{b}^{c} w \right)^{r} \text{ with } b-a=c-b.$$
  
(v) 
$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} w \right)^{r} \text{ with } b-a=d-c=\gamma(d-a), \ 0<\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. To see  $i \implies ii$ , we fix a < b < c, b - a = 2(c - b) and take any  $x \in (b, c)$ . Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leqslant \int_{a}^{x} w^{r} \leqslant C \left( M(w\chi_{(a,x)})(x) \right)^{r-1} \int_{a}^{x} w \leqslant C \left( M(w\chi_{(a,c)})(x) \right)^{r-1} \int_{a}^{c} w^{r} dx$$

Therefore  $(b,c) \subset \{x \colon (M(w\chi_{(a,c)})(x))^{r-1} \ge \frac{1}{C\int_a^c w} \int_a^b w^r\}$ . The weak type (1,1) of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator yields

$$(c-b)\left(\int_{a}^{b}w^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \leqslant C\left(\int_{a}^{c}w\right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_{a}^{b}w^{r} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_{a}^{c}w\right)^{r} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_{b}^{c}w\right)^{r};$$

the last inequality follows from the fact, proved in [7], that a weight satisfying i) satisfies  $A_p^+$  for some p and thus it satisfies the one-sided doubling condition.

We will prove now that ii)  $\implies$  i). Let us fix a < b and define a sequence  $(x_k)$  as follows:  $x_0 = a$  and  $b - x_k = 2(b - x_{k+1})$ . In particular,  $x_{k+1} - x_k = 2(x_{k+2} - x_{k+1}) = (b - x_{k+1})$ . Using condition ii) for the points  $x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}$ , we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} w^{r} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} w^{r} \leqslant C \sum_{0}^{\infty} (x_{k+1} - x_{k})^{1-r} \left( \int_{x_{k+1}}^{x_{k+2}} w \right)^{r}$$
$$\leqslant C \sum_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x_{k+1}}^{x_{k+2}} w \left( \frac{1}{b - x_{k+1}} \int_{x_{k+1}}^{b} w \right)^{r-1} \leqslant \left( M(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b) \right)^{r-1} C \int_{a}^{b} w.$$

To see ii)  $\implies$  iii) let a < b < c < d with b - a = d - b = 2(d - c). Using that w satisfies the one-sided doubling condition, we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{c-b} \int_{b}^{c} w \right)^{r} \leq C \left( \frac{d-c}{c-b} \frac{1}{d-c} \int_{b}^{d} w \right)^{r}$$
$$\leq C \left( \frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} w \right)^{r}.$$

iii)  $\implies$  iv) is immediate.

First of all we observe that iv) easily implies that the weight w satisfies the onesided doubling condition. To see that iv)  $\implies$  v), let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and a < b < c < d,  $b - a = d - c = \gamma(d - a)$ . Then if x is the midpoint between a and d we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_{a}^{b}w^{r} \leqslant \frac{1}{2\gamma}\frac{1}{x-a}\int_{a}^{x}w^{r} \leqslant \frac{C}{2\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{d-x}\int_{x}^{d}w\right)^{r},$$

but it follows from the one-sided doubling condition that  $\int_x^d w \leq C_\gamma \int_c^d w$ .

Suppose v) holds, let a < b < c, b-a = c-b = h and let us define for k = 0, 1, ..., N $x_k = a + ksh$  and  $y_k = b + ksh$  where  $s = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$  and N is the first integer such that (N+1)s > 1. We observe that the choice of  $x_k, y_k$  has been made so that for any  $0 \le k \le (N-1)$  we have  $x_{k+1} - x_k = y_{k+1} - y_k = \gamma(y_{k+1} - x_k)$ . Applying v), using that r > 1 and the fact that the intervals  $(y_k, y_{k+1})$  are disjoint, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} w^{r} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} w^{r} + \int_{b-sh}^{b} w^{r}$$
$$\leq C(sh)^{1-r} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{y_{k}}^{y_{k+1}} w \right)^{r} + C(sh)^{1-r} \left( \int_{c-sh}^{c} w \right)^{r}$$
$$\leq C_{\gamma}(c-a)^{1-r} \left( \int_{b}^{c} w \right)^{r}.$$

So we have proved that  $v \implies iv$ ).

Finally, we will show that iv)  $\implies$  ii). Let a < b < c with b - a = 2(c - b). Let x be the midpoint between a, b. Using the one-sided doubling property we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} w^{r} = \frac{1}{b-a} \left( \int_{a}^{x} w^{r} + \int_{x}^{b} w^{r} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} w^{r} + \frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} w^{r} \right)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{C}{2} \left( \left( \frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} w \right)^{r} + \left( \frac{1}{c-b} \int_{b}^{c} w \right)^{r} \right)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{C}{2} \left( \left( \frac{1}{c-b} \int_{x}^{c} w \right)^{r} + \left( \frac{1}{c-b} \int_{b}^{c} w \right)^{r} \right)$$
$$\leqslant C \left( \frac{1}{c-b} \int_{b}^{c} w \right)^{r}.$$

**Remark.** The equivalence of i) and iv) was first proved in [3].

The following lemma tells us that in the definition of  $A_p^+$  we can take two intervals that are not contiguous. Note that in the case of  $RH_r^+$  we have seen this in the previous lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** A weight w belongs to  $A_p^+$ , p > 1 if, and only if, there exist  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and a constant  $C_{\gamma}$  such that  $b-a = d-c = \gamma(d-a)$  for any a < b < c < d, then

(2.7) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} w \left( \int_{c}^{d} w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \leq C_{\gamma} (b-a)^{p}$$

 ${\rm P} \ {\rm r} \ {\rm o} \ {\rm o} \ {\rm f}. \quad {\rm If} \ w \in A_p^+, \ 0 < \gamma \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \ {\rm and} \ a < b < c < d, \ b-a = d-c = \gamma(d-a) \ {\rm then} \ {\rm f} \ {\rm f} \ {\rm f} \ {\rm o} \ {\rm f} \$ 

$$\int_{a}^{b} w \left( \int_{c}^{d} w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \leqslant \int_{a}^{c} w \left( \int_{c}^{d} w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \leqslant C(d-a)^{p} = C_{\gamma}(b-a)^{p}.$$

To prove that (2.7) implies  $A_p^+$  we will show that (2.7) implies that for  $\gamma$  and a, b, c, d as above we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_{a}^{b}w\exp\left(\frac{1}{d-c}\int_{c}^{d}-\log(w)\right)\leqslant C.$$

Indeed,

(2.8) 
$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} w \exp\left(\frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} -\log(w)\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \left[ w \exp\left(\frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} \log(w)^{1-p'}\right) \right]^{p-1}$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} w \left(\frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant C.$$

In the same way we prove that  $w^{1-p'}$  satisfies

(2.9) 
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{b-a}\int_{a}^{b}\log(w)^{p'-1}\right)\frac{1}{d-c}\int_{c}^{d}w^{1-p'} \leqslant C.$$

But, according to part j) of Theorem 1 in [7], (2.8) is equivalent to saying that  $w \in A_{\infty}^+$  while (2.9) means that  $w^{1-p'} \in A_{\infty}^-$ , and according to Theorem 2 in [7] these two conditions imply  $w \in A_p^+$ .

**Remark 2.10.** We can easily see that  $w \in A_1^+$  if, and only if, there exists C > 0 such that  $\frac{1}{h} \int_{a-h}^{a} w \leq Cw(a+h)$  for almost every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and h > 0.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $w \in A_1^+$  with  $A_1^+$  constant C > 1. Then  $w \in RH_r^+$  for any  $1 < r < \frac{C}{C-1}$ , and this is the best possible range.

Proof. Let us fix the interval I = (a, b). We consider the truncation of w at height N defined by  $w_N = \min(w, N)$ , which also satisfies  $A_1^+$  with a constant  $C_N \leq C$ . We claim that if  $\lambda_I = M(w_N \chi_I)(b)$  and  $E_{\lambda} = \{x \in I : w_N(x) > \lambda\}$  then

(3.2) 
$$\int_{E_{\lambda}} w_N \leqslant C_N \lambda |E_{\lambda}| \quad \forall \lambda \geqslant \lambda_I.$$

Indeed, if  $E_{\lambda} = I$  we do not even need the  $A_1^+$  condition, since

$$w_N(E_{\lambda}) = \int_a^b w_N \leqslant M(w_N \chi_I)(b)(b-a) = \lambda_I(b-a) \leqslant C_N \lambda |E_{\lambda}|.$$

If  $E_{\lambda} \neq I$  we fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an open set O such that  $E_{\lambda} \subset O \subset I$  and  $|O| \leq \varepsilon + |E_{\lambda}|$ . Let  $J_k = (c, d)$ , be one of the connected components of O. There are two cases:

(1)  $a \leqslant c < d < b$ , (2)  $a \leqslant c < d = b$ .

In the first case  $d \notin E_{\lambda}$  and then  $w_N(d) \leq \lambda$ . Now  $A_1^+$  gives  $\int_c^d w_N \leq C_N w_N(d)(d-c) \leq C_N \lambda(d-c)$ . The second case is handled as the case  $E_{\lambda} = I$ , since  $\int_c^b w_N \leq M(w_N \chi_I)(b)(b-c) \leq C \lambda(b-c)$ . In any case  $w_N(J_k) \leq C_N \lambda |J_k|$ . Adding up we get

$$w_N(E_\lambda) \leqslant w_N(O) \leqslant C_N \lambda |O| \leqslant C_N \lambda (\varepsilon + |E_\lambda|).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary we are done. Now we proceed in the standard way, i.e., we fix s > -1, multiply both sides of (3.2) by  $\lambda^s$  and integrate from  $\lambda_I$  to infinity to obtain,

$$\frac{1}{s+1} \int_{I} (w_N^{s+2} - \lambda_I^{s+1} w_N) \leqslant \frac{C_N}{s+2} \int_{I} w_N^{s+2}.$$

Now if  $r = s + 2 < \frac{C_N}{C_N - 1}$  then  $\frac{1}{s+1} - \frac{C_N}{s+2} > 0$ , and we get

$$\int w_N^r \leqslant C_N \lambda_I^{r-1} \int_I w_N = C_N (M(w_N \chi_I)(b))^{r-1} \int_I w_N.$$

Now  $C_N \leqslant C$  implies  $\frac{C_N}{C_{N-1}} \ge \frac{C}{C-1}$ , and therefore if  $r \leqslant \frac{C}{C-1}$  then

$$\int_{a}^{b} w_{N}^{r} \leqslant C_{N}(M(w_{N}\chi_{(a,b)})(b))^{r-1} \int_{a}^{b} w_{N} \leqslant C(M(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b))^{r-1} \int_{a}^{b} w$$

and the monotone convergence theorem gives  $w \in RH_r^+$ . To see that this is the best possible range we consider the function

$$w(x) = x^{\frac{1}{C}-1}\chi_{(0,\infty)}(x).$$

It is clear that w does not satisfy  $RH_{\frac{C}{C-1}}^+$  because  $w^{\frac{C}{C-1}}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  for x > 0. To see that it satisfies  $A_1^+$  with the constant C, we consider three cases:

- (1)  $a < b \leq 0$ ,
- (2)  $a \leq 0 < b$ ,
- (3) 0 < a < b.

In the first case there is nothing to check. In the second case  $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b w < \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b w(x) = \frac{C}{b} b^{\frac{1}{C}} = Cw(b)$ . Finally, if 0 < a < b, then  $\int_a^b w = C(b^{\frac{1}{C}} - a^{\frac{1}{C}}) \leq C(b-a)w(b)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark.** Note that if C = 1, then  $w(x) = M^-w(x)$ , and this implies that w is non-decreasing. This tells us that  $w \in RH^+_{\infty}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** If w satisfies  $RH_{\infty}^+$  with a constant C > 1, then  $w \in A_p^+$  for all p > C, and this is the best possible range.

Proof. A truncation argument as in Theorem 3.1 allows us to suppose that w is bounded away from zero, i.e. there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $w(x) \ge \beta$  for all x. Let us fix I = (a, b) and consider  $\lambda_I = m^+(w\frac{1}{\chi_I})(a)$ . We claim that if  $\lambda < \lambda_I$  and  $E_{\lambda} = \{x \in I : w(x) < \lambda\}$ , then

(3.4) 
$$\lambda |E_{\lambda}| \leqslant C \int_{E_{\lambda}} w$$

As before, if  $E_{\lambda} = I$  then  $\lambda |E_{\lambda}| = \lambda(b-a) < \lambda_I(b-a) = \int_a^b w \leq w(E_{\lambda})$ . If  $E_{\lambda} \neq I$  then we approximate it by an open set  $O = \bigcup J_k$  where  $E_{\lambda} \subset O \subset I$  and  $w(O) < \varepsilon + w(E_{\lambda})$ . Let us fix  $J_k = (c, d)$ . There are two cases:

(1) a < c, (2) a = c.

In the first case  $c \notin E_{\lambda}$  and then  $\lambda(d-c) \leqslant w(c)(d-c) \leqslant Cm^+w(c)(d-c) \leqslant C \int_c^d w$ . In the second case  $\lambda(d-c) \leqslant \lambda_I(d-a) \leqslant \int_a^d w$ , and (3.4) follows. If we multiply both sides of (3.4) by  $\lambda^{-r}$  with r > 2 and integrate we have

$$\int_0^{\lambda_I} \lambda^{1-r} \int \chi_{E_\lambda}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \leqslant C \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-r} \int_{E_\lambda} w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\lambda$$

For the left hand side we obtain

$$\int_{\beta}^{\lambda_{I}} \lambda^{1-r} \int \chi_{E_{\lambda}}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \frac{1}{2-r} \int_{\{x \in I: \, w(x) < \lambda_{I}\}} \lambda_{I}^{2-r} - w^{2-r} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\geqslant \frac{1}{2-r} \int_{I} \lambda_{I}^{2-r} - w^{2-r} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{r-2} \int_{I} w^{2-r} - \frac{|I|}{r-2} \lambda_{I}^{2-r},$$

while the right hand side is equal to  $\frac{C}{r-1}\int_I w^{2-r}$ . Therefore

$$\frac{1}{r-2} \int_{I} w^{2-r} \leq \frac{C}{r-1} \int_{I} w^{2-r} + \frac{|I|}{r-2} \lambda_{I}^{2-r}.$$

If we choose r > 2 such that C(r-2) < (r-1), we obtain that there exists C such that

(3.5) 
$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{2-r} \leq C \left( m^{+} \left( \frac{w}{\chi_{I}} \right) (a) \right)^{2-r}$$

We now claim that (3.5) implies that  $w \in A_p^+$  with  $p = \frac{r-1}{r-2}$ . Let us fix a < b < cand choose  $x \in (a, b)$ . If we keep in mind that 1 - p' = 2 - r we may write

$$\left(\frac{1}{c-a}\int_{b}^{c}w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{c-x}\int_{x}^{c}w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant C\left(m^{+}(\frac{w}{\chi_{(x,c)}})(x)\right)^{-1},$$

but

$$\left(m^{+}(\frac{w}{\chi_{(x,c)}})(x)\right)^{-1} = \left(\inf_{x < d < c} \frac{1}{d - x} \int_{x}^{d} w\right)^{-1} = \sup_{x < d < c} \frac{d - x}{\int_{x}^{d} w} = M_{w}\left(\frac{\chi_{(a,c)}}{w}\right)(x).$$

We have thus proved that if  $\lambda = \left(\frac{1}{c-a}\int_b^c w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1}$  then

$$(a,b) \subset \Big\{ x : CM_w \Big( \frac{\chi_{(a,c)}}{w} \Big)(x) > \lambda \Big\},$$

and the weak type of  $M_w$  with respect to the measure  $w \, dx$  yields  $\int_a^b w \leq C(c-a)^p \left(\int_b^c w^{1-p'}\right)^{1-p}$  which is  $A_p^+$ . Finally, it can be checked that the function w(x) which is 0 for x < -1, identically one for x > 0 and  $|x|^{C-1}$  between -1 and 0, satisfies  $RH_{\infty}^+$  with a constant C, but is not in  $A_C^+$ .

**Remark.** Note that if C = 1, then  $w(x) = m^+w(x)$ , and this implies that w is non-decreasing. This tells us that  $w \in A_1^+$ .

We have had several different characterizations of  $RH_r^+$ , one involved the maximal operator, but dealt with one interval, and the others involved two intervals but no operator. We can now prove that for  $RH_{\infty}^+$  the situation is the same, we can characterize  $RH_{\infty}^+$  using two intervals instead of the minimal operator.

**Corollary 3.6.** We have  $w \in RH_{\infty}^+$  if, and only if, there exists C such that for any interval I,

(3.7) 
$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{I} w \leqslant C \frac{1}{|I^+|} \int_{I^+} w$$

Proof. It is immediate that (3.7) implies  $RH_{\infty}^+$ . Assume now that  $w \in RH_{\infty}^+$ . The preceding theorem tells us that  $w \in A_p$  for some p, and therefore it satisfies the one-sided doubling condition. Therefore if I = (a, b) is any interval,  $I^+ = (b, c)$  and  $x \in I$ , we have

$$w(x) \leqslant \frac{C}{c-x} \int_{x}^{c} w \leqslant \frac{C}{c-b} \int_{b}^{c} w,$$

which is (3.7).

**Remark.** Note that with this definition, we have  $RH^+_{\infty} \subset \bigcap_{r>1} RH^+_r$ .

4. Factorization of weights in  $RH_r^+$ ,  $1 < r \leq \infty$ 

The theorems on the best range for weights in  $A_p^+$  (p > 1) or in  $RH_r^+$ ,  $r < \infty$  will be stated in terms of factorizations of the given weight. Therefore this section will be devoted to proving a factorization of functions in  $RH_r^+$ . The bilateral case was studied in [2].

**Definition 4.1.** A function w is said to be essentially increasing if there exists C such that  $w(x) \leq Cw(y)$  for any x < y.

**Lemma 4.2.** A function belongs to  $RH^+_{\infty} \cap A^+_1$  if, and only if, it is essentially increasing.

Proof. Assume that  $w \in RH_{\infty}^+ \cap A_1^+$  and x < y, then  $w(x) \leq C \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y w \leq Cw(y)$  and w is essentially increasing. Conversely, if w is essentially increasing then for any x and h > 0 we have  $w(x) \leq \frac{C}{h} \int_x^{x+h} w$ , hence  $w \in RH_{\infty}^+$ . On the other hand,  $\frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x w \leq Cw(x)$ , so  $w \in A_1^+$ 

_	_

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $1 < r \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

- (1) If u is essentially increasing and  $v \in RH_r^+$  then  $uv \in RH_r^+$ .
- (2) If u is essentially increasing and  $v \in A_p^+$  then  $uv \in A_p^+$ .

Proof. This proof follows immediately from Definition 4.1.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $1 < r \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . We have  $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$  if, and only if,  $w^r \in A_q^+$ , with q = r(p-1) + 1.

Proof. Let  $C_1 = RH_r^+(w)$  and  $C_2 = A_p^+(w)$ ,  $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$  and q = r(p-1)+1. Also note that  $1 - q' = 1 - \frac{r(p-1)+1}{r(p-1)} = \frac{1}{r(1-p)}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1}{|I^{-}|} \int_{I^{-}} w^{r}\right) & \left(\frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w^{r(1-q')}\right)^{q-1} \\ & \leqslant C_{1} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w\right)^{r} \left(\frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w^{1-p'}\right)^{r(p-1)} \\ & \leqslant C_{1} C_{2}^{r}, \end{split}$$

and by Lemma 2.6 we have that  $w^r \in A_q^+$ .

If  $w^r \in A_q^+$ , then by Hölder's inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w\right) \left(\frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{p-1} \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{r}\right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w^{-r/(q-1)}\right)^{(q-1)/r} \\ \leq C^{1/r},$$

and we obtain in this way that  $w \in A_p^+$ . Now again by Hölder's inequality

$$1 = \frac{1}{|I^+|} \int_{I^+} w^{-1/p} w^{1/p} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|I^+|} \int_{I^+} w\right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I^+|} \int_{I^+} w^{-p'/p}\right)^{1/p'},$$
$$\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|} \int_{I^+} w^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{1-p} \leqslant \frac{1}{|I^+|} \int_{I^+} w,$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{r}\right)^{1/r} &\leqslant C \left(\frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w^{-r/(q-1)}\right)^{-(q-1)/r} = C \left(\frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{1-p} \\ &\leqslant C \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w, \end{split}$$

proving that  $w \in RH_r^+$ .

**Factorization Theorem for weights in**  $RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$ . A weight w satisfies  $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $1 < r \leq \infty$  if, and only if, there exist weights  $w_0$  and  $w_1$  such that  $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$ ,  $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_p^+$  and  $w = w_0w_1$ .

Observe that since  $\bigcup_{p < \infty} A_p^+ = \bigcap_{1 < r} RH_r^*$ , every weight in  $RH_r^+$  is in some  $A_p^+$ . See [7].

Proof. Let us first consider the cases p = 1 or  $r = \infty$ .

If p = 1 and  $r \leq \infty$ , we put  $w_1 = 1$  and  $w_0 = w$ , then obviously  $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$ and  $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_1^+$ .

If  $p \ge 1$  and  $r = \infty$ , we put  $w_0 = 1$  and  $w_1 = w$ , obtaining  $w_0 \in RH_{\infty}^+ \cap A_1^+$ ,  $w_1 \in RH_{\infty}^+ \cap A_p^+$ .

Conversely, given  $w_0$  and  $w_1$ , at least one of them belongs to  $RH_{\infty}^+ \cap A_1^+$  (because p = 1 or  $r = \infty$ ), so one of them is essentially increasing, therefore  $w_0w_1 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$  (Lemma 4.3).

Let us now suppose p > 1 and  $r < \infty$ . Let  $w = w_0 w_1$  with  $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$ , and  $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_p^+$ . We want to see that  $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$ . Note that for  $w_1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_1^{1-p'} \leqslant C \left( \frac{1}{|I^-|} \int_{I^-} w_1 \right)^{1-p'} \leqslant C w_1 (a-h)^{1-p'},$$

which implies  $w_1^{1-p'} \in A_1^-$  (Remark 2.10). Let  $v = w_1^{1-p'}$ , then  $w_1 = v^{1-p}$  with  $v \in A_1^-$ , so  $w = w_0 w_1 = w_0 v^{1-p}$  with  $w_0 \in A_1^+$  and  $v \in A_1^-$  (see [7]), and this implies  $w \in A_p^+$ .

Now

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{r} &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_{0}^{r} w_{1}^{r} \leqslant (\sup_{I} w_{1})^{r} C \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w_{0} \bigg)^{r} \\ &\leqslant C \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{++}} w_{1} \bigg)^{r} \bigg( \inf_{I^{++}} w_{0} \bigg)^{r} \\ &\leqslant C \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{++}|} \int_{I^{++}} w_{0} w_{1} \bigg)^{r}, \end{split}$$

and by Lemma 2.5 we have  $w \in RH_r^+$ . Conversely, let  $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$ , then by Lemma 4.4  $w^r \in A_q^+$  with q = r(p-1) + 1, there exists  $v_0 \in A_1^+$  and  $v_1 \in A_1^-$  such that  $w^r = v_0 v_1^{1-q}$  (see [7]), or equivalently  $w = v_0^{1/r} v_1^{(1-q)/r} = v_0^{1/r} v_1^{1-p}$ . Let  $w_0 = v_0^{1/r}$  and  $w_1 = v_1^{1-p}$ . We will see that  $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$ . We note,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_{0}^{r} &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v_{0} \leqslant C \inf_{I^{+}} v_{0} \\ &\leqslant C \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} v_{0}^{1/r} \bigg)^{r} = C \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w_{0} \bigg)^{r}, \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_{0} = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v_{0}^{1/r} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v_{0}\right)^{1/r}$$
$$\leqslant C \inf_{I^{+}} v_{0}^{1/r} = C \inf_{I^{+}} w_{0}.$$

We only have to see now that  $w_1 \in RH^+_{\infty} \cap A^+_p$  and we are done.

First we claim

In fact, by Hölder's inequality we have  $\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I}w\right)^{-\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I}w^{-\gamma}$  for any interval I = (a, b), and as  $w \in A_{1}^{-}$  we have that  $Cw(x) \geq \frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I}w$  for almost every  $x \in I^{-}$ , and therefore

$$w(x)^{-\gamma} \leqslant C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w\right)^{-\gamma} \leqslant \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{-\gamma} \leqslant C \frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} w^{-\gamma}.$$

Let  $w_1 = v_1^{1-p}$ . As  $v_1 \in A_1^-$ , then  $w_1 \in RH_{\infty}^+$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_1 \left( \frac{1}{|I^+|} \int_{I^+} w_1^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v_1^{1-p} \left( \frac{1}{|I^+|} \int_{I^+} v_1 \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v_1^{1-p} (C \inf_{I} v_1)^{p-1} \\ &\leqslant \frac{C}{|I|} \int_{I} v_1^{1-p} v_1^{p-1} \leqslant C, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $w_1 \in A_p^+$ .

**Factorization Theorem for weights in**  $A_{\infty}^+$ . A weight w satisfies  $w \in A_{\infty}^+$  if, and only if, there exist  $w_1 \in RH_{\infty}^+$  and  $w_0 \in A_1^+$  such that  $w = w_0w_1$ .

Proof. If  $w \in A_{\infty}^+$  then  $w \in A_q^+$  for some  $1 < q < \infty$ , so there exist  $v_0 \in A_1^+$  and  $v_1 \in A_1^-$  such that  $w = v_0 v_1^{1-q}$ . Let  $w_0 = v_0$  and  $w_1 = v_1^{1-q}$ . By (4.5),  $w_1 \in RH_{\infty}^+$ . So we are done. Conversely, if  $w_1 \in RH_{\infty}^+$ , then  $w_1 \in A_q^+$  for some 1 < q, i.e., there exists C such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I}w_{1}\right)^{q'-1}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I^{+}}w_{1}^{1-q'}\leqslant C,$$

but then

$$(\sup_{I^{-}} w_1)^{q'-1} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I^{+}} w_1^{1-q'} \leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_1\right)^{q'-1} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I^{+}} w_1^{1-q'} \leq C,$$

297

and we get

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I^+} w_1^{1-q'} \leqslant C \inf_{I^-} w_1^{1-q'},$$

and it is easy to see that this inequality implies  $w_1^{1-q'} \in A_1^-$ . Then  $v_1 = w_1^{1-q'} \in A_1^-$ , so  $w = w_0 w_1 = w_0 v_1^{1-q} \in A_q^+ \subset A_\infty^+$ .

## 5. Classes $A_p^+$ and $RH_r^+$

In this section we will use Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 and the factorization theorems to obtain the best ranges for the classes  $A_p^+$  and  $RH_r^+$ . As we shall see, the range of the index will depend on the factorization of the weights.

The following theorem gives us the precise range in  $A_n^+$  for weights in  $RH_n^+$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $w \in RH_r^+$ ,  $w = w_0 w_1^{\frac{1}{r}}$  with  $w_0 \in RH_{\infty}^+$  and  $w_1 \in A_1^+$ . Then  $w \in A_p^+$  for all p > C where  $C = RH_{\infty}^+(w_0)$ , and this is the best possible range.

Proof. Let  $w_0 \in RH_{\infty}^+$  and  $w_1 \in A_1^+$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $w_0 \in A_p^+$  for all p > C. Let p > C, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $w_0 \in A_{p-\varepsilon}^+$ , so we choose s > 1 satisfying  $1 - (p - \varepsilon)' = s(1 - p')$ , and by Hölder's inequality

$$\frac{1}{|I^{-}|} \int_{I^{-}} w_{0} w_{1} \left( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} (w_{0} w_{1})^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_{0} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|I^{-}|} \int_{I^{-}} w_{1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w_{0}^{s(1-p')} \right)^{\frac{(p-1)}{s}} \left( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w_{1}^{s'(1-p')} \right)^{\frac{(p-1)}{s'}} \\
\leq C.$$

To see that this is the best range, we consider  $w_0$  as in Theorem 3.3 and  $w_1 = 1$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Given  $w \in RH_r^+$  there exist  $u \in RH_\infty^+$ , and  $v \in A_1^+$  such that  $w = uv^{\frac{1}{r}}$ . We only have to consider the factorization theorem and choose  $u = w_1$  and  $v = w_0^r$ . We have to prove that  $v \in A_1^+$ . Keeping in mind that  $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$  we have

$$\frac{1}{|I^-|} \int_{|I^-|} v = \frac{1}{|I^-|} \int_{|I^-|} w_0^r \leqslant C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{|I|} w_0\right)^r \leqslant C w_0^r(x) = C v(x)$$

for almost every  $x \in I^+$ , i.e.,  $v \in A_1^+$ .

The next theorem shows us the precise range of the higher integrability of  $w \in RH_r^+$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $w \in RH_r^+$ ,  $w = uv^{1/r}$  with  $u \in RH_\infty^+$  and  $v \in A_1^+$ . If  $C = A_1^+(v)$  then  $w \in RH_s^+$  for all  $r \leq s < \frac{Cr}{C-1}$ . The range of s is the best possible.

Proof. Let  $r < s < \frac{Cr}{C-1}$ , let us choose q > 1 such that  $s < \frac{Cr}{q(C-1)}$ . As  $1 < \frac{qs}{r} < \frac{C}{C-1}$ , by Theorem 3.1 we have  $v \in RH_{\frac{qs}{r}}^+$ , and using Hölder's inequality we obtain that  $u^s \in RH_{\infty}^+$  and  $v \in A_1^+$  which yields

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{s} &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} u^{s} v^{s/r} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} u^{q's}\right)^{1/q'} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v^{qs/r}\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leqslant \sup_{I} u^{s} C \left(\frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} v\right)^{s/r} \leqslant \frac{C}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} u^{s} \left(\inf_{I^{+}} v\right)^{s/r} \\ &\leqslant C \sup_{I^{+}} u^{s} \inf_{I^{++}} v^{s/r} \leqslant C \left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|} \int_{I^{++}} u\right)^{s} \inf_{I^{++}} v^{s/r} \\ &\leqslant C \left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|} \int_{I^{++}} uv^{1/r}\right)^{s} = C \left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|} \int_{I^{++}} w\right)^{s}, \end{split}$$

and we get that  $w \in RH_s^+$  (Lemma 2.5).

To see this is the best range possible, we choose  $v \in A_1^+$  as in Theorem 3.1 and u = 1, then  $w = v^{1/r} \in RH_s^+$  for all  $r \leq s < \frac{Cr}{C-1}$   $(C = A_1^+(v))$ . If  $s = \frac{Cr}{C-1}$  and  $w \in RH_s^+$  then  $v \in RH_{\frac{C}{C-1}}^+$ , but we have seen (Theorem 3.1) that this can not happen.

The next theorem shows us which is the best range in  $RH_r^+$  for a given weight in  $A_p^+$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $w \in A_p^+$ ,  $w = uv^{1-p}$  with  $u \in A_1^+$ ,  $v \in A_1^-$  and  $C = A_1^+(u)$ , then  $w \in RH_r^+$  for all  $1 < r < \frac{C}{C-1}$ , this range being the best possible.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we have  $u \in RH_r^+$  for all  $1 < r < \frac{C}{C-1}$  and we know that  $v^{1-p} \in RH_{\infty}^+$ , hence

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{r} &\leqslant \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} u^{r} \sup_{I} \left( v^{-r(p-1)} \right) \\ &\leqslant C \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} u \bigg)^{r} \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} v^{1-p} \bigg)^{r} \leqslant C \big( \inf_{I^{+}} u \big)^{r} \bigg( \sup_{I^{+}} v^{1-p} \bigg)^{r} \\ &\leqslant C \big( \inf_{I^{+}+} u \big)^{r} \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} v^{1-p} \bigg)^{r} \leqslant C \bigg( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w \bigg)^{r}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.5 we conclude  $w \in RH_r^+$ .

To see this is the best range we take u as in Theorem 3.1 and v = 1. So we have  $w = u \in A_p^+$  and  $w \notin RH_{\underline{C}}^+$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** Let  $w = uv^{1-p} \in A_p^+$  with  $u \in A_1^+$ ,  $v \in A_1^-$  and  $C = \max\{A_1^+(u), A_1^-(v)\}$ . Then  $w^{\tau} \in A_p^+$  for all  $1 \leq \tau < \frac{C}{C-1}$  and the range is the best possible.

Proof. By Theorem 5.4 we have that  $w \in RH_{\tau}^+$  for all  $1 \leq \tau < \frac{C}{C-1}$  and  $w^{1-p'} \in RH_{\tau}^-$  for all  $1 \leq \tau < \frac{C}{C-1}$ . Let a < d, let us choose b, c such that  $b - a = d - c = \frac{1}{4}(d - a)$ , and we also consider the point  $\frac{c+b}{2}$ . Then we have four intervals, namely,  $I^- = (a, b)$ ,  $I = (b, \frac{b+c}{2})$ ,  $I^+ = (\frac{b+c}{2}, c)$ , and  $I^{++} = (c, d)$ . Now

$$\frac{1}{|I^{-}|} \int_{I^{-}} w^{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{|I^{++}|} \int_{I^{++}} w^{\tau(1-p')} \right)^{p-1} \leqslant \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w \right)^{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w^{1-p'} \right)^{\tau(p-1)} \leqslant C^{\tau},$$

thus  $w^{\tau} \in A_p^+$  (Lemma 2.6). Considering u as in Theorem 3.1, we see this is the best possible range.

Using Theorem 5.4 we will show the exact range of q < p such that  $w \in A_p^+$  implies  $w \in A_q^+$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** Let  $w = uv^{1-p} \in A_p^+$  with  $u \in A_1^+$ ,  $v \in A_1^-$  and  $C = A_1^-(v)$ . Then  $w \in A_q^+$  for all  $1 + \frac{(p-1)(C-1)}{C} < q < \infty$  and this is the best range for q.

Proof. Note that  $w^{1-p'} = vu^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}^-$ , by Theorem 5.4 we have  $w^{1-p'} \in RH_r^-$  for all  $1 < r < \frac{C}{C-1}$ . For the classes  $RH_r^-$  and  $A_p^-$  we have from Lemma 4.4 that  $w^{(1-p')r} \in A_{q'}^-$  where  $q' = r(p'-1)+1 = \frac{r}{p-1}+1$ . But this is the same as  $w^{1-q'} \in A_{q'}^-$ , i.e.,  $w \in A_q^+$  for all  $1 + (p-1)\frac{C-1}{C} < q$ .

To see this is the best range, let  $v(x) = x^{\frac{1-C}{C}}$  if  $x \leq 0$  and equal to 0 if x > 0and u = 1 for all x. Note that  $v \in A_1^-$  and  $A_1^-(v) = C$ . Then  $w = v^{1-p} \in A_p^+$  and  $w \in A_q^+$  for all  $q > 1 + (p-1)\frac{C-1}{C}$ . Observe that  $w \notin A_{1+(p-1)\frac{C-1}{C}}^+$ .

Finally, the last theorem gives us the best possible range for a weight in  $A_{\infty}^+$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** Let  $w \in A_{\infty}^+$ ,  $w = w_0 w_1$ ,  $w_0 \in A_1^+$ ,  $w_1 \in RH_{\infty}^+$  and  $C = RH_{\infty}^+(w_1)$ . Then  $w \in A_p^+$  for all p > C. The range of p's is the best possible.

Proof. Note that  $w_1 \in RH_{\infty}^+$  implies  $w_1 \in A_p^+$  for all p > C, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_{0} w_{1} \left( \frac{1}{|I|^{++}} \int_{I^{++}} (w_{0} w_{1})^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leqslant \sup_{I} (w_{1}) \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w_{0} \left( \sup_{I^{++}} w_{0}^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{|I|^{++}} \int_{I^{++}} w_{1}^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leqslant C \frac{1}{|I|^{+}} \int_{I^{+}} w_{1} \inf_{I^{+}} (w_{0}) \sup_{I^{++}} (w_{0}^{-1}) \left( \frac{1}{|I|^{++}} \int_{I^{++}} w_{1}^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leqslant C \sup_{I^{++}} (w_{0}^{-1}) \frac{1}{|I^{+}|} \int_{I^{+}} w_{0} \\ &\leqslant C \frac{1}{(\inf_{I^{++}} w_{0})} \inf_{I^{++}} w_{0} \leqslant C, \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 2.6 we have  $w \in A_p^+$  for all p > C.

To see this is the best range, we consider w(x) = 0 if  $x \leq -1$ ,  $|x|^{C-1}$  if  $-1 < x \leq 0$ and 1 if  $x \geq 0$ .

### References

- H. Aimar, L. Forzani and F. J. Martín-Reyes: On weighted inequalities for one-sided singular integrals. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 2057–2064.
- [2] D. Cruz-Uribe and C. J. Neugebauer: The structure of reverse Hölder classes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 2941–2960.
- [3] D. Cruz-Uribe, C. J. Neugebauer and V. Olesen: The one-sided minimal operator and the one-sided reverse Hölder inequality. Stud. Math 116 (1995), 255–270.
- [4] P. Guan and E. Sawyer: Regularity estimates for oblique derivative problem. Anal. of Mathematics, Second Series 157 (1) (1993), 1–70.
- [5] F. J. Martín-Reyes: New proofs of weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117, 691–698.
- [6] F. J. Martín-Reyes, P. Ortega and A. de la Torre: Weighted inequalities for one-sided maximal functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 319 (2) (1990), 517–534.
- [7] F. J. Martín-Reyes, L. Pick and A. de la Torre: A<sup>+</sup><sub>∞</sub> condition. Canad. J. Math. 45 (6) (1993), 1231–1244.
- [8] C. J. Neugebauer: The precise range of indices for the  $RH_r$  and  $A_p$  weight classes. Preprint.
- [9] E. Sawyer: Weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 297 (1986), 53-61.

Author's address: M. S. Riveros, FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, (5000) Córdoba, Argentina, e-mail: sriveros@mate.uncor.edu; A. de la Torre, Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, (29071) Málaga, Spain, e-mail: torre@anamat.cie.uma.es.