

Restriction of holomorphic Discrete Series to real forms

Jorge A. Vargas
FAMAF-CIEM

Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
5000 Córdoba (Argentine)
e-mail: vargas@@famaf.unc.edu.ar

18 DIC 2000

Abstract

Let G be a connected linear semisimple Lie group having a Holomorphic Discrete Series representation π . Let H be a connected reductive subgroup of G so that the global symmetric space attached to H is a real form of the Hermitian symmetric space associated to G . Fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G so that $H \cap K$ is a maximal compact subgroup for H . Let τ be the lowest K -type for π and let τ_* denote the restriction of τ to $H \cap K$. In this note we prove that the restriction of π to H is unitarily equivalent to the unitary representation of H induced by τ_* .

Introduction

For any Lie group, we denote its Lie algebra by the corresponding German lower case letter. In order to denote complexification of either a real Lie group or a real Lie algebra we add the subindex c . Let G be a connected matrix

*Partially supported by CONICET, FONCYT (Pict 03-03950), AgenciaCordobaCien-
cia, SECYTUNC (Argentina), PICS 340, SECYT-ECOS A98E05 (France), ICTP (Tri-
este), CONICYT (Chile).

Keywords Discrete Series representations, subclass 1991 Primary 22E46.

semisimple Lie group. Henceforth, we assume that the homogeneous space G/K is Hermitian symmetric. Let H be a connected semisimple subgroup of G and fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G such that $K_1 := H \cap K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of H . From now on we assume that H/K_1 is a real form of the complex manifold G/K . Let (π, V) be a Holomorphic Discrete Series representation for G . Let (τ, W) be the lowest K -type for (π, V) . For the definition and properties of lowest K -type of a Discrete Series representation we refer to [K]. Let (τ_*, W) denote the restriction of τ to K_1 . We then have:

Theorem 1 *The restriction of (π, V) to H is unitarily equivalent to the unitary representation of H induced by (τ_*, W) .*

Thus, after the work of Harish-Chandra and Camporesi [Ca] we have that the restriction of π to H is unitarily equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \int_{\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*} \text{Ind}_{MAN}^H(\sigma_j \otimes e^{i\nu} \otimes 1) d\nu.$$

Here, MAN is a minimal parabolic subgroup of H so that $M \subset K_1$, and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ are the irreducible factors of τ restricted to M . Whenever, τ is a one dimensional representation, the sum is unitarily equivalent to to

$$\int_{\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*/W(H,A)} \text{Ind}_{MAN}^H(1 \otimes e^{i\nu} \otimes 1) d\nu$$

as it follows from the computation in [OO], and, hence, our result agrees with the one obtained by Olafsson and Orsted in [OO].

The symmetric pairs (G, H) that satisfy the above hypothesis have been classified by A. Jaffee in [J1, J2], A very good source about the subject is by Olafsson in [Ol], they are: $(su(p, q), so(p, q)); (su(n, n), sl(n, \mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{R}); (su(2p, 2q), sp(p, q)); (so^*(2n), so(n, \mathbb{C})); (so^*(4n), su^*(2n) + \mathbb{R}); (so(2, p+q), so(p, 1) + so(p, 1)); (sp(n, \mathbb{R}), sl(n, \mathbb{R}) + \mathbb{R}); (sp(2n, \mathbb{R}), sp(n, \mathbb{C})); (e_{6(-14)}, sp(2, 2)); (e_{6(-14)}, f_{4(-20)}); (e_{7(-25)}, e_{6(-26)} + \mathbb{R}); (e_{7(-25)}, su^*(8)); (su(p, q) \times su(p, q), sl(p+q, \mathbb{C})); (so^*(2n) \times so^*(2n), so(2n, \mathbb{C})); (so(2, n) \times so(2, n), so(n+2, \mathbb{C})); (sp(n, \mathbb{R}) \times sp(2n, \mathbb{R}), sp(n, \mathbb{C})); (e_{6(-14)} \times e_{6(-14)}, e_6); (e_{7(-25)} \times e_{7(-25)}, e_7).$

For classical groups we can compute specific examples of the decomposition of τ restricted to M by means of the results of Koike and other authors as stated in [Koi].

For an update of results on restriction of unitary irreducible representations we refer to the excellent announcement, survey of T. Kobayashi [Ko] and references therein.

Proof of the Theorem

In order to prove the Theorem we need to recall some Theorems and prove a few Lemmas. For this end, we fix compatible Iwasawa decompositions $G = KAN, H = K_1A_1N_1$ with $K_1 = H \cap K, A_1 \subset A, N_1 \subset N$. We denote by $\|X\| = \sqrt{-B(X, \theta X)}$ the norm of \mathfrak{g} determined by the Killing form B and the Cartan involution θ .

Lemma 1 *The restriction to H of any K -finite matrix coefficient of (π, V) is in $L^2(H)$.*

Proof: We first consider the case that the real rank of H is equal to the real rank of G . Let f be a K -finite matrix coefficient of (π, V) . For $X \in \mathfrak{a}$, we set $\rho_H(X) = \frac{1}{2}\text{trace}(ad_H(X)|_{\mathfrak{n}_1})$. For an $ad(\mathfrak{a})$ -invariant subspace R of \mathfrak{g} , let $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, R)$ denote the roots of \mathfrak{a} in R . Let A_G^+, A_H^+ be the positive closed Weyl chambers for $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}), \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1)$ respectively. Then $A_G^+ \subset A_H^+$. Let $\Psi_1 := \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}), \dots, \Psi_s$ be the positive root systems in $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g})$ such that $\Psi_i \supset \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1)$. Let A_i^+ denote the positive closed Weyl chamber associated to Ψ_i . Thus, $A_H^+ = A_1^+ \cup \dots \cup A_s^+$. For each i , let $\rho_i(X) = \frac{1}{2}\text{trace}(ad(X)|_{\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha})$. For $X \in A_i^+$ we have that $\rho_i(X) \geq \rho_H(X)$. Indeed, for $\alpha \in \Psi_i$, if $\alpha \in \Psi_i \cap \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1) = \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1)$, then the multiplicity of α as a \mathfrak{g} -root is equal to or bigger than the multiplicity of α as a \mathfrak{h} -root, if $\alpha \in \Psi_i - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1)$, then $\alpha_i(X) \geq 0$. Thus,

$$\rho_i(X) \geq \rho_H(X) \text{ for every } X \in A_i^+.$$

We now recall the Ξ and σ functions for G and H and the usual estimates for Ξ . (cf [K] page 188). For $Y \in \mathfrak{a}, x \in G$ put $\rho_G(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\text{trace}(ad|_{\mathfrak{n}}(Y))$, and

$$\Xi_G(x) = \int_K e^{-\rho_G(H(xk))} dk.$$

Here, $H(x)$ is uniquely defined by the equation $x = kexp(H(x))n$, ($k \in K, H(x) \in \mathfrak{a}, n \in N$). If $x = kexp(X)$, ($k \in K, X \in \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$, Cartan decomposition for \mathfrak{g}), we put $\sigma_G(x) = \|X\|$. Since the group H might be reductive we follow [HC] page 106, 129 in order to define σ_H . Now, all the norms in a finite dimensional vector space are equivalent. Thus, have that $\sigma_G \ll \sigma_H \ll \sigma_G$. The estimates are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_G(exp(X)) &\leq c_G e^{-\rho_i(X)} (1 + \sigma_G(exp(X)))^r \\ &\text{with } r > 0, 0 < c_G < \infty, X \in A_i^+, i = 1, \dots, s, \text{ and} \\ e^{-\rho_H(X)} &\leq \Xi_H(exp(X)) \leq c_H e^{-\rho_H(X)} (1 + \sigma_H(exp(X)))^{r_1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $X \in A_i^+$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_G(expX) &\leq c_G (1 + \sigma_G(expX))^r e^{-\rho_i(X)} \\ &= e^{-\rho_H(X)} c_G (1 + \sigma_G(expX))^r e^{\rho_H(X) - \rho_i(X)} \\ &\leq \Xi_H(expX) c_G (1 + \sigma_G(expX))^r e^{\rho_H(X) - \rho_i(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since on A_i^+ we have the inequality $\rho_H(X) - \rho_i(X) \leq 0$, and i is arbitrary from $1, \dots, s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_G(k_1 a k_2) &= \Xi_G(a) \leq \Xi_H(a) c_G (1 + \sigma_G(a))^r \\ &\text{for } a \in exp(A_H^+), k_1, k_2 \in K_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, Trombi and Varadarajan [T-V], have proven that for any K -finite matrix coefficient of a Discrete Series representation of the group G the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq c_f \Xi_G^{1+\gamma}(x) (1 + \sigma_G(x))^q \\ \forall x \in G, &\text{ with } 0 < c_f < \infty, \gamma > 0, q \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $a \in exp(A_H^+)$, $k_1, k_2 \in K_1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(k_1 a k_2)|^2 &\leq C \Xi_H(a)^{2+2\gamma} (1 + \sigma_G(a))^{2(q+r(\gamma+1))} \\ &\leq C e^{(-2-2\gamma)\rho_H(\log a)} (1 + \sigma_G(a))^{2(q+\gamma r+r)} (1 + \sigma_H(a))^{r_1(1+\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

We set $R = 2(q + \gamma r + r) + 2r_1(1 + \gamma)$, since $\sigma_G(expY) = \sigma_H(expY)$. The integration formula for the decomposition $H = K_1 exp(A_H^+) K_1$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H |f(x)|^2 dx &= \int_{A_H^+} \Delta(Y) \int_{K_1 \times K_1} |f(k_1 exp(Y) k_2)|^2 dk_1 dk_2 dY \\ &\leq C \int_{A_H^+} \Delta(Y) e^{(-2-2\gamma)\rho_H(Y)} (1 + \sigma_G(expY))^R dY \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta(Y) \leq C_H e^{2\rho_H(Y)}$ on A_H^+ , ($C_H < \infty$) and $\sigma_G(\exp Y)$ is of polynomial growth on Y . We may conclude that the restriction to H of f is square integrable in H , proving Lemma 1 for the equal rank case.

For the nonequal rank case let A_H^+ be the closed Weyl chamber in \mathfrak{a}_1 corresponding to N_1 . Let C_1, \dots, C_s be the closed Weyl chambers in \mathfrak{a} so that $\text{interior}(A_H^+) \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$, $j = 1, \dots, s$. Thus, $A_H^+ = \cup_j (A_H^+ \cap C_j)$ and

$$\int_{A_H^+} |f(\exp Y)|^2 \Delta(Y) dY \leq \sum_j \int_{C_j \cap A_H^+} |f(\exp Y)|^2 \Delta(Y) dY.$$

Let $\rho_j(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(ad(Y)|_{\sum_{\alpha: \alpha(C_j) > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha})$. Then, as before, on $C_j \cap A_H^+$ we have

$$|f(\exp Y)|^2 \ll e^{2(\rho_H(Y) - \rho_j(Y))} (1 + \|Y\|^2)^R e^{-2\gamma \rho_j(Y)}.$$

If $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}(C_j))$, the restriction β of α to \mathfrak{a}_H is either zero, or a restricted root for $(\mathfrak{a}_H, \mathfrak{n}_1)$, or a nonzero linear functional on \mathfrak{a}_H . In the last two cases we have that $\beta(C_j \cap A_H^+) \geq 0$, and if β is a restricted root, the multiplicity of β is less or equal than the multiplicity of α . Finally, we recall that any $\beta \in \Psi(\mathfrak{a}_H, \mathfrak{n}_1)$ is the restriction of a positive root for C_j . Thus, $e^{2(\rho_H(Y) - \rho_j(Y))} \leq 1$, and $\rho_j(Y) \geq 0$ for every $Y \in A_H^+$. Hence, $|f(\exp(Y))|^2 \Delta(Y)$ is dominated by an exponential whose integral is convergent. This concludes the proof of Lemma 1.

□

Remark 1 *Under our hypothesis we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_G(k_1 a k_2) &= \Xi_G(a) \leq \Xi_H(a) c_G (1 + \sigma_G(a))^r \\ &\text{for } a \in \exp(A_H^+), k_1, k_2 \in K_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let (π, V) be a Holomorphic Discrete Series representation for G and let (τ, W) denote the lowest K -type for π . Let E be the homogeneous vector bundle over G/K attached to (τ, W) . G acts on the sections of E by left translation. We fix a G -invariant inner product on sections of E . The corresponding space of square integrable sections is denoted by $L^2(E)$. Since (π, V) is a holomorphic representation we may choose a G -invariant holomorphic structure on G/K such that the L^2 -kernel of $\bar{\partial}$ is a realization of (π, V) . That is, $V := \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial} : L^2(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(E \otimes T^*(G/K)^{0,1}))$. (cf. [K], [N-O], [Sc]). Since $H \subset G$ and $K_1 = H \cap K$ we have that $H/K_1 \subset G/K$ and the

H -homogeneous vector bundle E_\star over H/K_1 , determined by τ_\star is contained in E . Thus, we may restrict smooth sections of E to E_\star . From now on, we think of (π, V) as the L^2 -kernel of the $\bar{\partial}$ operator.

Lemma 2 *Let f be a holomorphic square integrable section of E and assume that f is left K -finite. Then the restriction of f to H/K_1 is also square integrable.*

Proof: Since the $\bar{\partial}$ operator is elliptic, the L^2 -topology on its kernel V is stronger than the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. Therefore, the evaluation map at a point in G/K is a continuous map from V to W in the L^2 -topology on V . We denote by λ evaluation at the coset eK . Fix an orthonormal basis v_1, \dots, v_m for W . Thus $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i$ where the λ_i are in the topological dual to V . We claim that the λ_i are K -finite. In fact: if $k \in K$, $v \in V$, $(L_k \lambda)(f) = \sum_i [(L_k \lambda_i)(f)] \otimes v_i = f(k^{-1}) = \tau(k)f(e) = \sum_i \lambda_i(f) \tau(k) v_i = \sum_i \sum_j c_{ij}(k) \lambda_i(f) v_j = \sum_i [\sum_j c_{ji} \lambda_j(f)] \otimes v_i$. Thus $L_k(\lambda_i)$ belongs to the subspace spanned by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$. Now, $f(x) = \lambda(L_x f) = \sum_i \lambda_i(L_x f) v_i = \sum_i \langle L_x f, \lambda_i \rangle v_i$. Here, \langle, \rangle denotes the G -invariant inner product on V and λ_i the vector in V that represents the linear functional λ_i . Since f and λ_i are K -finite, Lemma 1 says that the functions $x \rightarrow \langle L_x f, \lambda_i \rangle$ are in $L^2(E_\star)$.

□

Therefore the restriction map from V to $L^2(E_\star)$ is well defined on the subspace of K -finite vectors in V . Let D be the subspace of functions on V such that their restriction to H is square integrable. Lemma 2 implies that D is a dense subspace in V .

We claim that the restriction map $r : D \rightarrow L^2(E_\star)$ is a closed linear transformation. In fact, if f_n is a sequence in D that converges in L^2 to $f \in V$ and such that $r(f_n)$ converges to $g \in L^2(E_\star)$, then, since f_n converges uniformly on compacts to f , g is equal to $r(f)$ almost everywhere. That is, $f \in D$.

Since r is a closed linear transformation, it is equal to the product

$$r = UP \tag{1}$$

of a positive semidefinite linear operator P on V times a unitary linear map U from V to $L^2(E_\star)$. Moreover, if X is the closure of the image of r in

$L^2(E_\star)$, then the image of U is X . Besides, whenever r is injective, U is an isometry of V onto X ([F], 13.9). Since r is H -equivariant we have that U is H -equivariant ([F], 13.13).

In order to continue we need to recall the Borel embedding of a bounded symmetric domain and to make more precise the realization of the holomorphic Discrete Series (π, V) as the square integrable holomorphic sections of a holomorphic vector bundle. Since G is a linear Lie group, G is the identity connected component of the set of real points of a complex connected semisimple Lie group G_c . The G -invariant holomorphic structure on G/K determines an splitting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_- \oplus \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_+$ so that \mathfrak{p}_- becomes isomorphic to the holomorphic tangent space of G/K at the identity coset. Let $P_-, K_{\mathbb{C}}, P_+$ be the associated complex analytic subgroups of G_c . Then, the map $P_- \times K_{\mathbb{C}} \times P_+ \rightarrow G_c$ defined by multiplication is a diffeomorphism onto an open dense subset in G_c . Hence, for each $g \in G$ we may write $g = p_-(g)k(g)p_+(g) = p_-k(g)p_+$ with $p_- \in P_-, k(g) \in K_{\mathbb{C}}, p_+ \in P_+$. Moreover, there exists a connected, open and bounded domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathfrak{p}_-$ such that $G \subset \exp(\mathcal{D})K_{\mathbb{C}}P_+$ and such that the map

$$g \longrightarrow p_-(g)k(g)p_+(g) \longrightarrow \log(p_-(g)) \in \mathfrak{p}_- \quad (2)$$

gives rise to a biholomorphism between G/K and \mathcal{D} . The identity coset corresponds to 0. Now we consider the embedding of H into G . Our hypothesis on H implies that there exists a real linear subspace \mathfrak{q}_0 of \mathfrak{p}_- so that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{q}_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{p}_-$ and $H \cdot 0 = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$. In fact, let J denote complex multiplication on the tangent space of G/K , then \mathfrak{q}_0 is the subspace $\{X - iJX\}$ where X runs over the tangent space of H/K_1 at the identity coset. Let E be the holomorphic vector bundle over G/K attached to (τ, W) . As it was pointed out we assume that (π, V) is the space of square integrable holomorphic sections for E . We consider the real analytic vector bundle E_\star over H/K_1 attached to (τ_\star, W) . Thus $E_\star \subset E$. The restriction map $r : \mathcal{C}^\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(E_\star)$ maps the K -finite vectors V_F of V into $L^2(E_\star)$. Because we are in the situation $H/K_1 = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0 \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathfrak{p}_-$ and H/K_1 is a real form of G/K , r is one to one when restricted to the subspace of holomorphic sections of E . Thus, $r : V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(E_\star)$ is one to one. Hence, U gives rise to a unitary equivalence (as H -module) from V to a subrepresentation of $L^2(E_\star)$. We need to show that the map U , defined in (1), is onto, equivalently to show that the image of r is dense. To this end, we use the fact that the holomorphic vector bundle

E is holomorphically trivial. We now follow [J-V]. We recall that

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(E) = \{F : G \longrightarrow W, F(gk) = \tau(k)^{-1}F(g) \text{ and smooth}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{O}(E) = \{F : G \rightarrow W, F(gk) = \tau(k)^{-1}F(g) \text{ smooth and } R_Y f = 0 \forall Y \in \mathfrak{p}_+\}.$$

We also recall that (τ, W) extends to a holomorphic representation of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ in W and to $K_{\mathbb{C}}P_+$ as the trivial representation of P_+ . We denote this extension by τ . Let $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}, W) = \{f : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow W, f \text{ is smooth}\}$. Then, the following correspondence defines a linear bijection from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(E)$ to $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}, W)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^\infty(E) \ni F &\leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}, W) \\ F(g) &= \tau(k(g))^{-1}f(g \cdot 0), f(z) = \tau(k(g))F(g), z = g \cdot 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here, $k(g)$ is as in (2). Note that $\tau(k(gk)) = \tau(k(g))\tau(k)$. Moreover, the map (3) takes holomorphic sections onto holomorphic functions. The action of G in E by left translation, corresponds to the following

$$(g \cdot f)(z) = \tau(k(x))\tau(k(g^{-1}x))^{-1}f(g^{-1} \cdot z) \quad \text{for } z = x \cdot 0 \quad (4)$$

Thus, $(k \cdot f)(z) = \tau(k)f(k^{-1} \cdot z), k \in K$. The G -invariant inner product on E corresponds to the inner product on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}, W)$ whose norm is

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_G \|\tau(k(g))^{-1}f(g \cdot 0)\|^2 dg \quad (5)$$

Actually, the integral is over the G -invariant measure on \mathcal{D} because the integrand is invariant under the right action of K on G . We denote by $L^2(\tau)$ the space of square integrable functions from \mathcal{D} into W with respect to the inner product (5). Now, in [Sc] it is proved that the K -finite holomorphic sections of E are in $L^2(E)$. Hence, Lemma 2 implies that

$$\text{the } K\text{-finite holomorphic functions from } \mathcal{D} \text{ into } W \text{ are in } L^2(\tau). \quad (6)$$

Via the Killing form, $\mathfrak{p}_-, \mathfrak{p}_+$ are in duality. Thus, we identify the space of holomorphic polynomial functions from \mathcal{D} into W with the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{p}_+) \otimes W$. The action (4) of K becomes the tensor product of the adjoint action on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{p}_+)$ with the τ action of K in W . Thus, (6) implies that $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{p}_+) \otimes W$ are the K -finite vectors in $L^2(\tau) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}, W)$. In particular, the constant functions from \mathcal{D} to W are in $L^2(\tau)$. The sections of the homogeneous vector bundle E_\star over H/K_1 are the functions from H to W such that $f(hk) = \tau(k)^{-1}f(h), k \in$

$K_1, h \in H$. We identify sections of E_\star with functions from $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$ into W via the map (3). Thus, $L^2(E_\star)$ is identified with the space of functions

$$L^2(\tau_\star) := \{f : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow W, \int_H \|\tau(k(h))^{-1}f(h \cdot 0)\|^2 dh < \infty\}$$

The action on $L^2(\tau_\star)$ is as in (4). Now, the restriction map for functions from \mathcal{D} into W to functions from $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$ into W is equal to the map (3) followed by restriction of sections from \mathcal{D} to $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$ followed by (3). Therefore, Lemma 2 together with (6) imply that the restriction to $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$ of a K -finite holomorphic function from \mathcal{D} to W is an element of $L^2(\tau_\star)$. Since \mathfrak{q}_0 is a real form of \mathfrak{p}_- when we restrict holomorphic polynomials in \mathfrak{p}_- to \mathfrak{q}_0 we obtain all the polynomial functions in \mathfrak{q}_0 . Thus, all the polynomial functions from \mathfrak{q}_0 into W are in $L^2(\tau_\star)$. In particular, we have that

$$\int_H \|\tau(k(h))^{-1}v\|^2 dh < \infty, \forall v \in W \quad (7).$$

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$ and a compactly supported continuous function f from $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$ to W , the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem produces a polynomial function p from \mathfrak{q}_0 into W so that $\|f(x) - p(x)\| \leq \epsilon, x \in \overline{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$. Formula (7) says that $\|f - p\|_{L^2(\tau_\star)} \leq \epsilon$. Hence, the image by the restriction map of $V = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}, W) \cap L^2(\tau)$ is a dense subset. Thus, the linear transformation U in (1) is a unitary equivalence from V to $L^2(\tau_\star)$. Therefore, Theorem 1 is proved.

Remark 2 *For a holomorphic unitary irreducible representations which is not necessarily square integrable, condition (7) is exactly the condition used by Olafsson in [O2] to show an equivalent statement to Theorem 1.*

References

- [Ca] Camporesi, R., The Helgason-Fourier transform for homogeneous vector bundles over Riemannian symmetric spaces, Pacific Jour. of Math. Vol 179 (2), 263-300, 1997.
- [F] Fell, and Doran, Representations of \ast -algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach \ast -algebraic Bundles, Academic Press, 1988.

- [HC] Harish-Chandra, Harmonic Analysis on Real Reductive Groups I, The Theory of constant term, Journal of Funct. Anal. 19 (1975), 104-204.
- [J1] Jaffee, A., Real forms of Hermitian symmetric spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), 456-458.
- [J2] Jaffee, A., Anti-holomorphic automorphism of the exceptional symmetric domains. J. Differential Geom. 13 (1978), 79-86.
- [J-V] Jacobsen-Vergne, Restriction and expansions of holomorphic representations Jour. of Funct. Anal. 34 (1979), 29-53.
- [K] Knapp Anthony W., Representation theory of Semisimple Groups. Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton Univ. Press, 1986.
- [Ko] Kobayashi, T., Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Manifolds of Reductive Type and Unitary Representation Theory, Selected Papers on harmonic Analysis, groups and invariants, K. Nomizu (Editor), Amer. Math Soc. Transl; Ser2, v 183. 1-33, 1999.
- [Koi] Koike, K., On representations of the Classical groups, Selected Papers on harmonic Analysis, groups and invariants, K. Nomizu (Editor), Amer. Math Soc. Transl; Ser2, v 183. 79-100, 1999.
- [N-O] Narasimhan, M.S., Okamoto, K., An analogue of the Borel Weil Bott Theorem for hermitian symmetric pairs of non compact type, Annals of Math. 91 (1970), 486-511.
- [Ol] Olafsson, G., Symmetric spaces of Hermitian type, Differential Geometry and its Applications 1 (1991) 195-233.
- [O2] Olafsson, G., Analytic Continuation in Representation Theory and Harmonic Analysis, Global Analysis and Harmonic Analysis, ed. J. P. Bourguignon, T. Branson, and O. Hijazi, Seminares et Congres, vol 4, (2000), 201-233.
- [OO] Olafsson, G. and Orsted, B., Generalizations of the Bargmann transforms, Proceedings of Workshop on Lie Theory and its application in physics, Ed. Dobrev, Clausthal, Hilgert: Proceedings of "Workshop on Lie Theory and its applications in Physics", Clausthal, August 1996.
- [Sch] Schmid, W., Homogeneous complex manifolds and representations of semisimple Lie groups. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 59 (1968), 56-59.
- [Sch] Schmid, W., L^2 -cohomology and the discrete series, Annals of Math. 103 (1976), 375-394.
- [T-V], Trombi-Varadarajan, Asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions on a semisimple Lie group: The discrete Spectrum, Acta Mathematica 129 (1972), 237-280.