Magnetite NPs@C with highly-efficient peroxidase-like catalytic activity as an improved biosensing strategy for selective glucose detection

Mercedes Arana<sup>2</sup>, Cecilia S. Tettamanti<sup>1</sup>, Paula Bercoff<sup>2</sup>, Marcela C. Rodríguez<sup>\*</sup>,<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Fisicoquímica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, INFIQC, CONICET. Córdoba, Argentina; <sup>2</sup>Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía y Física, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. IFEG, CONICET. Córdoba, Argentina.

#### Abstract

This work reports the novel application of carbon–coated magnetite nanoparticles (mNPs@C) as catalytic nanomaterial included in a composite electrode material (mNPs@C/CPE) taking advantages of their intrinsic peroxidase-like activity. The nanostructured electrochemical transducer reveals an improved enhancement of the charge transfer for redox processes involving hydrogen peroxide. Likewise, mNPs@C/CPE demonstrated to be highly selective even at elevated concentrations of ascorbic acid and uric acid, the usual interferents of blood glucose analysis. Upon these remarkable results, the composite matrix was further modified by the addition of glucose oxidase as biocatalyst in order to obtain a biosensing strategy (GOx/mNPs@C/CPE) with enhanced properties for the electrochemical detection of glucose. GOx/mNPs@C/CPE exhibit a linear range up to 7.5 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mol.L<sup>-1</sup> glucose, comprising the entirely physiological range and incipient pathological values. The average sensitivity obtained at –0.100 V was ( $1.62 \pm 0.05$ )x 10<sup>5</sup> nA.L.mol<sup>-1</sup> (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.9992), the detection limit was 2.0 x 10<sup>-6</sup> M while the quantification limit was  $6.1 \times 10^{-6}$  mol.L<sup>-1</sup>. The nanostructured biosensor demonstrated to have an excellent performance for glucose detection in human blood serum even for pathological values.

Keywords: Carbon-coated magnetite nanoparticles; Electrochemical glucose biosensor; Glucose oxidase; Modified-carbon paste electrode; Peroxidase-like activity.

## 1. Introduction

Nowadays, there is a rising demand for powerful analytical tools with high sensitivity and reliability, fast response, excellent selectivity, accuracy and low cost [1-3]. In particular, biosensors have found versatile applications in the field of environmental control, hazard material detection, pharmaceutics and clinical diagnostics [4-6]. Therefore, the main goal in biosensors development is the successful construction of a biospecific surface, highly sensitive and selective for a particular analyte, that can generate detectable signals coupled to a suitable transductor (electrochemical, optical, piezoelectrical, thermal, among others) [7].

A number of different nanomaterials offer outstanding properties, such as fast electron transfer kinetics, high surface-to-volume ratio, excellent biocompatibility, chemical stability and the possibility of easy biomolecular coupling [2,5,8]. Hence, nanomaterials have been employed in the design of biofunctional surfaces in order to boost the biorecognition event and the signal transduction [7]. Likewise, several kinds of nanomaterials exhibit enzyme–like activity in a wide range of nonphysiological conditions such as extreme pH values, high temperature or in the presence of inhibitors [9]. This type of functional nanomaterials, that could be named "artificial enzymes", has attracted great attention in the last years due to several advantages, namely stability under harsh conditions and effective biocatalysis as replacement of natural enzymes. Even though magnetic nanoparticles with different compositions, sizes and shapes have been developed for biomedical applications, the most frequently used magnetic materials are maghemite ( $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) [10-15]. In this sense, magnetite nanoparticles (NPs) have demonstrated intrinsic peroxidase–like activity and have been applied as peroxidase nanomimetics to detect a wide range of biomolecules [16-22].

For over 50 years [23], glucose biosensors have been the classic icon in the development of biosensors [24,25]. The main challenge when designing electrochemical glucose biosensors using carbon electrodes is the development of strategies that allow an important decrease in the high overvoltage required for the oxidation of hydrogen peroxide in order to improve glucose quantification under highly selective conditions [26]. Comba et al [26-28] reported the advantages of carbon paste electrodes (CPE) modified with magnetite and iron NPs in glucose biosensors. Though sensor sensitivity increases with the amount of magnetite, high NPs contents promote a highly resistive behavior due to the low–conductive nature of magnetite. It was found that shape and structure of magnetite NPs influence the peroxidase-nanomimetics catalytic activity, which is closely related to the exposed active iron atoms or crystal planes of the NPs. [29]. Moreover, interfaces of particles are bound to play an important role in any sensor response. In fact, different modifications of magnetite NPs have been proposed in order to improve their catalytic activity. Both organic [30,31] and inorganic [32-34] compounds have been proposed for different catalytic reactions, obtaining favorable results in every case. However, to our knowledge, carbon-covered particles have never been studied for this application.

In this work, we propose for the first time the use of carbon-coated magnetite NPs (mNPs@C) as catalityc nanomaterial, taking advantage of their peroxidase-like activity. The mNPs@C were prepared by mechanosynthesis and their structural and magnetic characterization was previously reported [35]. In the following sections, we explore the peroxidase-like catalytic activity of carbon paste electrodes

(CPE) modified with mNPs@C (mNPs@C/CPE) towards hydrogen peroxide and its applicability as a nanostructured-responsive biosurface for highly sensitive and selective glucose detection.

# 2. Experimental

# 2.1. Reagents

Hydrogen peroxide (30% v/v aqueous solution), glucose and uric acid were purchased from Merck while ascorbic acid, Na2HPO4 and NaH2PO4 were provided by Baker. Glucose oxidase (GOx) (Type X-S, *Aspergillus niger*, (EC 1.1.3.4), 158,900 units per gram of solid, catalog number G-7141) was obtained from Sigma. Standatrol S-E-2 (Lot # 1202085070), Level N1 (# 085070) and Level N2 (# 085070) was obtained from Wiener Lab. Mineral oil was purchased from Aldrich while graphite powder (grade 38) was from Fischer Scientific. Commercial magnetite microparticles (0.5-1.0  $\square$ m) were provided by Strem Chemicals. Ultrapure water ( $\rho = 18M\Omega$ ) from a Millipore-MilliQ system was used for preparing all the solutions. A 0.050 mol L<sup>-1</sup> phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.40) was used as supporting electrolyte. Other chemicals were reagent grade and used without further purification.

## 2.2 Apparatus

The electrochemical measurements were performed with a TEQ\_04 potentiostat. The electrodes were inserted into the cell (BAS, Model MF-1084) through holes in its Teflon cover. A platinum wire and Ag/AgCl, 3 mol  $L^{-1}$  KCl (BAS, Model RE-5B) were used as counter and reference electrodes, respectively. All potentials are referred to the latter. A magnetic stirrer provided the convective transport during the amperometric measurements.

# 2.3. Synthesis of mNPs@C

mNPs@C were synthesized according to the method described in the literature [35]. Briefly, mNPs@C were obtained by the mechanochemical method in a Fritsch Pulverisette 7 high–energy ball mill by reduction of the precursors hematite and amorphous carbon. The milling was performed at 700 rpm, with a ball/powder mass ratio of 35, in stainless steel vials with WC balls under Ar atmosphere. The precursor powder was milled for 18 hours and annealed for 2 h in Ar at 500 °C. The structural and magnetic characterization of the system was performed by different techniques as it is reported in the literature [35].

# 2.4. Preparation of the working electrodes

The electrochemical characterization of mNPs@C was performed using a composite carbon paste electrode (CPE). The CPE was prepared in a regular way by mechanically mixing graphite powder (70.0% w/w) and mineral oil (30.0% w/w) in an agate mortar for 30 min. CPEs containing mNPs@C (mNPs@C/CPE) and commercial magnetite microparticles (0.5-1.0 Im) (MPs/CPE) were prepared in a similar way, mixing first the mNPs@C or commercial magnetite MPs with mineral oil for 3 min, followed by the incorporation of the graphite powder and mixing for additional 30 min. In the case of the enzymatic electrode, GOx and mNPs@C were first mixed with the mineral oil for 10 min before incorporating the graphite powder and then mixed for additional 30 min. A portion of the resulting paste was packed firmly into the cavity (3 mm diameter) of a Teflon tube (3 mm diameter). The electric

contact was established through a stainless steel screw. A new surface was obtained by smoothing the electrode onto a weighing paper before starting every new experiment. Cyclic voltammetric experiments were performed in 0.050 M buffer phosphate solution pH 7.40 as supporting electrolyte. The amperometric measurements were conducted in a stirred 0.050 mol L<sup>-1</sup> buffer phosphate solution pH 7.40 by applying the desired working potential and allowing the transient current to decay to a steady-state prior to the addition of the analyte and subsequent current monitoring. All the electrochemical measurements were performed at room temperature.

## 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. TEM, HRTEM and XRD characterization of mNPs@C

Figure 1 depicts TEM and HRTEM images of mNPs@C where it can be clearly observed crystalline particles coated with the amorphous phase of carbon. Only one d–spacing value was identified, associated to magnetite. HRTEM studies reveal that the obtained sample is composed of amorphous carbon matrix with embedded mNPs. The inset shows a Log–normal size distribution, with an average size of 20 nm. Additionally, the XRD pattern corresponding to mNPs@C reveals only reflections corresponding to magnetite, indicating that the crystalline material is single-phase [35]. Since carbon is an amorphous phase, these are not expected to come from this material. Moreover, it is important to remark that mNPs@C can be prepared with high efficiency by a simple and inexpensive method such as mechanochemistry.

## **INSERT FIGURE 1**

# 3.2. Electrochemical characterization of mNPs@C/CPE

Magnetite NPs can be considered as artificial biocatalyst due to the intrinsic peroxidase-like activity that they exhibit even under extreme reaction conditions [29]. In order to explore this concept and characterize the electrochemical behavior of mNPs@C, investigate their peroxidase–like catalytic activity and consider their application in biosensing strategies a composite electrode material was modified accordingly with the obtained carbon-coated magnetic nanoparticles (Section 2.4.).

For the evaluation of the electrocatalytic response towards 0.010 mol L<sup>-1</sup> hydrogen peroxide by cyclic voltammetry, three composite electrodes were assayed and compared: bare CPE (a), 5.0 % w/w commercial magnetite microparticles (MPs)/CPE (b) and 5.0% w/w mNPs@C/CPE (c). As it is shown in Figure 2 A, there is an important decrease in the overvoltage for the electro–oxidation and mainly for the electro–reduction of hydrogen peroxide and a significant increase of the associated currents for 5.0% mNPs@C/CPE, compared to the poor electrochemical response at the bare electrode (CPE) [26,31,36-38] and at 5.0% MPs/CPE [37].

## **INSERT FIGURE 2**

At the 5.0% mNPs@C/CPE, the reduction current starts to increase at potentials more negative than 0.200V, as expected according to the excellent electrocatalytic action of magnetite NPs towards the reduction of hydrogen peroxide [9,22,31]. It is important to remark that NPs also catalyzes the hydrogen peroxide oxidation, starting at more positive potentials than 0.300 V, although this effect is less pronounced than in the case of the reduction. Thus, the preferential reduction of hydrogen

peroxide at mNPs@C/CPE, allows reaching low–potential values for the amperometric detection offering a good alternative for the selective enzymatic quantification of glucose. Therefore, –0.100 V was selected as working potential for further amperometric experiments. Figure 2 B depicts cyclic voltammograms obtained for 0.010 M hydrogen peroxide at CPE containing increasing amounts of mNPs@C: 0.0 (a), 2.5 (b), 5.0 (c), 10.0 (d) and 20.0 (e) % w/w. As expected, there is an enhancement in the reduction currents for hydrogen peroxide with the amount of nanomaterial, whereas there is an important increase in the capacitive currents that can be seen more clearly at the 20.0 % mNPs@C/CPE. A similar trend is observed for the 15.0% mNPs@C/CPE (not shown). This behavior is due to the nonconductive nature of magnetite and a resistive behavior due to a poor agglutination of the composite matrix with high content of NPs, as was reported previously [26].

The content of mNPs@C in the composite material proved to be a very critical variable for the performance of the electrode. Figure 3 A displays calibration plots obtained from amperometric recordings performed at -0.100 V for successive additions of 1.0 x  $10^{-3}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> hydrogen peroxide at CPE containing different percentages of mNPs@C: 0.0 (a), 2.5 (b), 5.0 (c), 10.0 (d), 15.0 (e) and 20.0 (f) %w/w and 10.0 (g) %w/w uncoated-magnetite NPs, respectively. Figure 3 B shows the corresponding sensitivity values towards hydrogen peroxide obtained from the calibration plots at -0.100 V (shown in Figure 3 A). The corresponding sensitivities are the following:  $(0.63 \pm 0.07)$ ,  $(11.7 \pm 0.5)$ ,  $(27 \pm 3)$ ,  $(44 \pm 10.5)$ 3), (66 ± 4) and (8 ± 2) x  $10^1 \mu AM^{-1}$  for CPE containing 0.0; 2.5; 5.0; 10.0; 15.0 and 20.0% w/w mNPs@C, respectively; while for 10.0% w/w uncoated magnetite NPs the sensitivity value found was (9 ± 3)  $\mu$ AM<sup>-</sup> . Analyzing the sensitivity values for CPE containing increasing amount of mNPs@C, a linear relationship is obtained (with regression coefficient  $R^2$  = 0.998). As it was previously concluded from the cyclic voltammetric experiments (Figure 2 B), there is an important influence of the content of mNPs@C on the sensitivity towards hydrogen peroxide. However, for 15.0 and 20.0% mNPs@C/CPE, in addition to the important increase of the sensitivity, a very low reproducibility of the calibration curve is observed. This fact could be attributed to the agglutination difficulty of the composite matrix with the increasing content of magnetite NPs [26]. In view of these results, a 10.0% w/w mNPs@C was selected as the optimum composition of the electrode material for a highly sensitive response. Likewise, the sensitivities towards hydrogen peroxide at 10.0% mNPs@C/CPE and 10.0% uncoated magnetite NPs/CPE were compared (Figure 3A, curve d; Figure 3A, curve g; Figure 3B, column d and Figure 3B, column g) under the same experimental conditions. The sensitivity obtained for mNPs@C is higher than the one for uncoated magnetite NPs by a factor of 5, demonstrating that the carbon surrounding provides a better environment for the catalytic activity and the electron transfer of mNPs@C.

#### **INSERT FIGURE 3**

In the development of electrochemical biosensing strategies for blood glucose determination, a very remarkable aspect to take into account is the interference of easily oxidizable compounds, usually present in biological fluids, such as ascorbic acid (AA) and uric acid (UA). For this purpose, the catalytic activity of mNPs@C/CPE towards the oxidation of these compounds was investigated. Figure 2 SI (Supporting Information) shows the typical voltammetric profiles obtained at 0.100 Vs<sup>-1</sup> for 1,0 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> AA (A) and for 1,0 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> UA (B) at CPE (a–dotted line) and 10.0 % mNPs@C/CPE (b–solid line). For mNPs@C/CPE, there is a negative shift of 46 mV in the oxidation peak potential for AA and a negligible increase in the peak current from 23.6 to 24.7  $\mu$ A. Moreover, for UA the catalytic effect is

more evident, with a negative shift of 73 mV in the oxidation peak potential and an increase in the peak current from 12.5 to 21.6  $\mu$ A (1.7 times). Nevertheless, although mNPs@C reveal a slight catalysis for the oxidation of UA, the effect is not significant compared to the catalysis exhibited for hydrogen peroxide. Furthermore, at -0.100 V —the selected potential for amperometric detection of hydrogen peroxide— there is no response to successive additions of AA and UA, even at higher concentrations than the physiological levels of these compounds (not shown), allowing a highly specific detection of hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, these results allow to conclude that mNPs@C/CPE constitute a highly efficient system for the electrocatalytic reduction of hydrogen peroxide, making possible to consider its application in biosensing strategies for highly sensitive and selective glucose determination.

## 3.3. Design and analytical performance of GOx/mNPs@C/CPE.

Upon the excellent performance of mNPs@C/CPE towards hydrogen peroxide reduction and the remarkable selectivity in amperometric experiments, the following step was the design of a glucose biosensor by modifying 10.0% mNPs@C/CPE with glucose oxidase (GOx) as biocatalyst. GOx catalyzes the oxidation of glucose to gluconic acid in presence of oxygen which is the natural regenerator of the enzyme active site, producing hydrogen peroxide after GOx regeneration. The amount of GOx within the composite has demonstrated to be an important variable in the development of the glucose biosensor, this amount is usually comprised between 5.0 and 10.0% % [26, 36]. It is important to notice that for excessive amounts of GOx, commonly higher than 10.0% w/w, the oxygen consumption becomes more important, producing a shortening of the linear range [26,38]. Therefore, 5.0% w/w GOx was selected in order to compare the proposed magnetite NPs modified composite electrode with previous results [26]. In the designed biosensing strategy, the biosensor was obtained by dispersing 5.0% w/w of GOx within CPE modified with 10.0% w/w mNPs@C. The reduction current of the hydrogen peroxide produced during the step of GOx regeneration obtained at -0.100 V, was taken as analytical signal. Figure 4 A illustrates a current-time profile for successive additions of 2.5 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mol L<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> glucose, performed at -0.100 V at 5.0% GOx/10.0% mNPs@C /CPE. A very fast and well-defined response is observed for each glucose addition. Amperometric experiments for five separate additions of 5.0 mM (0.90 g/dL) glucose were carried out in order to determine the response time of the biosensor. It was found that (11±1) sec is the necessary time to reach 90% of the steady-state current. Thus, the response time of the biosensor is comparable to those of the commercially available "pointof-care" (POC) devices. Similar amperometric experiments performed at 5.0% GOx/CPE at -0.100 V gave no response, as expected, due to the poor reduction of the hydrogen peroxide enzimatically generated at bare CPE [36]. Figure 4 B displays a calibration plot obtained from the current-time profile (shown in Figure 4 A) while the inset shows a calibration curve for successive additions of 6.25 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose performed at -0.100 V in an extended range of glucose concentration. A linear relationship between current and glucose concentration is obtained up to 7.5 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose (135 mg/dL), comprising the entirely physiological range and incipient pathological values. For higher glucose concentrations, the current increases non-linearly, as expected for biocatalyzed reactions. The average sensitivity obtained at -0.100 V was  $(1.62 \pm 0.05) \times 10^5$  nA. L.mol<sup>-1</sup> (correlation coefficient R<sup>2</sup>= 0.9992). The detection limit, calculated as 3.3 ( $\sigma$ /S) where  $\sigma$  is the ten blank standard deviation and S is the sensitivity of the calibration curve, was  $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M, while the quantification limit calculated as 10  $(\sigma/S)$  was 6.1 x 10<sup>-6</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>. The enzyme immobilization process usually alters the microenvironment of the enzyme active site. This might affect the intrinsic characteristics of the enzyme. The kinetics parameters of the bioelectrode,  $K_M^{app}$  and  $I_{max}$ , were calculated by the Eadie–Hofstee plot obtained from the data of the calibration plot shown in Figure 4 B (inset). The values obtained were the following:  $K_M^{app}$ : (0.02298 ± 0.0004) mol L<sup>-1</sup> and  $I_{max}$ : (4.39 ± 0,06) x 10<sup>3</sup> nA. The value found for the  $K_M^{app}$  falls within the range reported for  $K_M$  of GOx in homogeneous solution [39] and in the composite ceramic carbon matrix ( $K_M^{app}$  0.020 ± 0.002 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) [40], suggesting that the environment provided by mNPs@C/composite matrix where GOx was immobilized is suitable for the biocatalytic activity of the enzyme and does not alter the microenvironment of the GOx active site.

#### **INSERT Figure 4**

Neither highly hydrophobic nor totally hydrophilic electrode matrices are desirable for sensing applications. In a hydrophobic environment, the analyte cannot approach the embedded enzyme, however a hydrophilic environment exhibits a large background current. [40-42]. In the hydrophobic environment of a CPE matrix the enzyme could alter its conformation in order to expose the hydrophobic residues and consequently its active site could be more or less accessible to the diffusion of the analytes. Likewise, the diffusion of analytes towards the catalytic nanomaterial also could be affected by the hydrophobic environment. The main factor that clearly reflects the diffusion problems in an amperometric biosensor is the change in the speed of the response. As it was widely documented [26,27,43,44] several strategies have been proposed for the development of enzymatic glucose biosensors based on nanomaterials-CPE modified, where is clearly demonstrated that the stationary currents are reached in few seconds, demonstrating that diffusion problems could be overcome even in a surrounding hydrophobic environment. In addition, the determination of kinetic parameters of the proposed biosensing strategy demonstrated that the hydrophobic environment does not affect significantly the affinity of the immobilized enzyme by its substrate. It is important to remark that in some cases the Km<sup>app</sup> value of the bioelectrode is even smaller than the one of the enzyme in solution [43] evidencing the increase of the enzymatic affinity towards its substrate.

The easily renewable surface, among other advantages, is one of the most prominent features exhibited by composite carbon paste electrodes. This attribute, coupled to the miniaturization processes and the advantages of nanomaterials, make these kind of composite feasible tools as oneshot sensing devices, widely suitable for descentralized determinations of blood glucose level [24,25]. However, in order to determine another important analytical parameter for practical sensing applications, we examinated the short-term and long-term stability of GOx/mNPs@C/CPE evaluating the sensitivity of amperometric assays for glucose. The short-term stability of the composite demonstrated to be excellent, since the RSD for 10 succesive amperometric assays for glucose performed at -0.100 V was 1.2% (not shown). The sensitivity along 15 days of periodic use for amperometric assays at -0.100 V remained constant. GOx-mNPs@C was stored at 4°C after every set of experiments. The long-term stability was evaluated from the sensitivity of the amperometric calibration curves at -0.100 V for GOx-mNPs@C-modified composite matrix. The response of the biosensor remained in 100% after 100 days from the date of preparation and first test, demonstrating a noteworthy long-term stability. These results suggest that mNPs@C/CPE provide an adequate microenvironment that preserves the biocatalytic activity of GOx. A further comparison of the performance of different electrochemical glucose biosensors modified with magnetite or iron nanoparticles as catalytic element was summarized in Table 1.

#### **INSERT Table 1**

The biosensor was further challenged by the addition of AA and UA. Figure 5 depicts the currenttime profile at -0.100 V obtained after one addition of  $5.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose, followed by successive additions of  $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> AA (a) and  $4.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> UA (b) up to achieve final concentrations of  $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> and  $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. No interference signal in the presence of glucose was revealed for such concentrations, which are even higher than the maximum physiological levels found in human blood serum. This fact evidences the advantages of the preferential electrocatalytic detection of the enzymatically generated hydrogen peroxide, leading to a high sensitivity and an excellent selectivity.

#### **INSERT FIGURE 5**

In order to evaluate the applicability of the bioelectrode for glucose practical determinations, 5.0% GOx/10.0% mNPs@C/CPE was examined in the presence of human blood serum samples (Standatrol S–E–2, levels physiological N1 and pathological N2, Wiener Lab.). The glucose concentration obtained in the sample after 5 determinations were (91.6 ± 0.4) mg/dL for level N1 and (232 ± 6) mg/dL for level N2, while the glucose concentration reported by Wiener for levels N1 and N2 were 89 mg/dL and 238 mg/dL, respectively. These values gave a relative error of +2.8% and –2.6% for N1 and N2 samples, respectively, indicating the noteworthy performance of the proposed glucose biosensor for practical assays.

## 4. Conclusion

The use of mNPs@C for the development of an electrochemical glucose biosensor was proposed for the first time. mNPs@C has demonstrated an excellent catalytic activity towards hydrogen peroxide enzimatically generated. This feature allowed quantifying glucose at potentials negative enough to avoid the interference of a large excess of easily oxidizable compounds or complex matrices as human blood serum. The resulting bioelectrode demonstrated an outstanding analytical performance, comparable and even better than previous works using magnetite nanoparticles. The simplicity of the preparation, the high sensitivity and selectivity, the excellent reproducibility of the proposed biosensor, and the noteworthy correlation of the results for blood human serum with those obtained using the spectrophotometric method, convert the proposed biosensor in a very good promise for practical applications in real biological samples. To our knowledge, this is the first example of using carbon-coated magnetite NPs for the development of an amperometric biosensor with an improved and highly selective glucose detection taking advantages of their intrinsic peroxidase-like activity. Based on the catalytic activity of mNPs@C and their known possibilities of functionalization, it is expected that the surface modification of these nanoparticles with biomolecules will allow obtaining very efficient biosensors for a wide range of biomolecules.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank CONICET, SECyT-UNC, ANPCyT, for the financial support. M.A. and C.S.T. acknowledge the CONICET fellowship. Authors gratefully acknowledge to Dr. Gustavo A. Rivas and Dr. María D. Rubianes for the fruitful discussions.

### References

[1] S. Kumar, S. Kumar, M. A. Ali, P. Anand, V. V. Agrawal, R. John, S. Maji, B. D. Malhotra. Biotechnol. J., **2013**, 8, 1267.

[2] E. P. Cipolatti, M. J. A. Silva, M. Kleina, V. Feddern, M. M. C. Feltes, J. V. Oliveira, J. L. Ninowa, D. de Oliveira. J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. **2014**, 99, 56.

- [3] M. A. Syed, Biosens. Bioelectron., **2014**, 51, 391.
- [4] J. Kirsch, C. Siltanen, Q. Zhou, A. Revzin, A. Simonian, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 8733.
- [5] S. Kruss, A. J. Hilmer, J. Zhang, N. F. Reuel, B. Mu, M. S. Strano, Adv. Drug Deliver Rev., 2013, 65, 1933.
- [6] K.V. Ragavana, M. K. Rastogi, M. S. Thakur, TrAC 2013, 52, 248.
- [7] P. D'Orazio, Clin. Chim. Acta, **2011**, 412, 1749.
- [8] Y.W. Chu, D. A. Engebretson, J. R. Carey, J. Biomed. Nanotech. 2013, 9, 1951.
- [9] L. Shanhu, L. Feng, X. Ruimin, Z. Jun-Jie, Chem. A Eur. J., **2011**,17, 620.
- [10] C. Albornoz, E. E. Sileo, S. E. Jacobo, Phys. B, **2004**, 354, 149.
- [11] C. Albornoz, S. E. Jacobo, J. Magn.Magn. Mat., **2006**, 305, 12.
- [12] N. J. François, S. Allo, S. E. Jacobo, M. E. Daraio, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2007,105, 647.
- [13] P. E. Podzus, M. E. Daraio, S. E. Jacobo, Phys. B, 2009, 404, 2710.
- [14] J. C. Aphesteguy, S. E. Jacobo, N. N. Schegoleva, G. V. Kurlyandskaya, J.Alloy. Compd., 2010, 495, 509.
- [15] P. Bertoglio, S. E. Jacobo, M. E. Daraio, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., **2010**, 115 1859.
- [16] Z. Ai–Xian, C. Zhong-Xiao, W. Jin-Ru, L. Juan, Y. Huang-Hao , C. Guo-Nan, Biosens. Bioelectron., **2013**, 49, 519.
- [17] Z. Chen, J. J. Yin, Y. T. Zhou, Y. Zhang, L. Song, M. Song, S. Hu, N. Gu. ACS Nano, **2012**, 6, 4001.
- [18] L. Cheng–Hao, Y. Cheng–Ju, T. Wei-Lung, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2012, 745, 143.

[19] L. Jianbo, H. Xiaona, H. Shuai, W. Tao, L. Wenqi, Z. Xing, Y. Jun-Jie, W. Xiaochun, Sens. Actuators B, **2012**, 166–167, 708.

[20] M. Patila I. V. Pavlidis, E. K. Diamanti, P. Katapodis, D. Gournis, H. Stamatis, Process Biochem., **2013**, 48, 1010.

- [21] H. Teymourian, A. Salimi, S. Khezrian. Biosens. Bioelectron., 2013, 49, 1.
- [22] N. Xiaoying, X. Yinyin, D. Yalei, Q. Liye, Q. Shengda, C.Hongli, C. Xingguo J.Alloy. Compd., 2014, 587, 74.
- [23] L.C. Clark, C. Lyons, Ann. NY. Acad. Sci., **1962**, 102, 29.
- [24] J. Wang, Talanta, 2008, 75, 636.
- [25] V. Scognamiglio, Biosens. Bioelectron. 2013, 47, 12.
- [26] F. N. Comba, M. D. Rubianes, L. Cabrera, S. Gutiérrez, P. Herrasti, G. A. Rivas, Electroanalysis, **2010**, 22, 1566.
- [27] F. N. Comba, M. D. Rubianes, P. Herrasti, G. A. Rivas. Sens. Actuators B, 2010, 149, 306.
- [28] F. N. Comba, F. Gutiérrez, P. Herrasti, M. D. Rubianes, G. A. Rivas. Electroanalysis, 2012, 24, 1541.
- [29] S. Liu, F. Lu, R. Xing, J. Zhu,. Chem. Eur. J. **2011**, 17, 620.
- [30] M. Eguílaz, R. Villalonga, P. Yáñez-Sedeño, J. M. Pingarrón, Anal. Chem. 2011, 83, 7807.
- [31] P. E. Erden, B. Zeybek, Ş. Pekyardımc, E. Kılı, Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotech., 2013, 41, 165.
- [32] T. T. Baby, S. Ramaprabhu, Talanta **2010**, 80, 2016.

- [33] R. Liang, G. Yao, L. Fan, J. Qiu, Anal. Chim. Acta 2012, 737, 22.
- [34] X. Ge, W. Zhang, Y. Lin, D. Du, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2013, 50, 486.
- [35] M. Arana, S. E. Jacobo, H. Troiani, P. G. Bercoff, IEEE T. Magn., 2013, 49, 4547.
- [36] M. C. Rodríguez, G. A. Rivas. Electroanalysis, 2001, 13, 1179.
- [37] M. S. Lin, H. J. Leu Electroanalysis, 2005, 17, 2068.
- [38] G. L. Luque, M.C. Rodríguez, G. A. Rivas, Talanta, 2005, 66, 467.
- [39] R. A. Kamin, G. S. Wilson, Anal. Chem., **1980**, 52, 1198.
- [40] S. Sampath, O. Lev, Anal. Chem. 1996, 68, 2015.
- [41] I. Svancara, K. Vytras, K. Kalcher, A. Walcarius, J. Wang, Electroanalysis, 2009, 21, 7.
- [42] A. L. Kavitha, H. Gurumallesh Prabu, S. Ananda Babu, S. K. Suja, J. Nanosci. Nanotech. 2013, 13, 98.
- [43] J. Liu, F. Lu, J. Wang. Electrochem. Commun. 1999, 1, 341.
- [44] H.-P. Peng, R.-P. Liang, L. Zhang, J.-D. Qiu, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2013, 42, 293.



Figure 1: TEM (A) and HRTEM (B) images of crystalline mNPs@C. (C) Log–normal size distribution, with an average size of 20 nm.



**Figure 2:** (A) Cyclic voltammograms for 0.010 mol L<sup>-1</sup> hydrogen peroxide at (a-dashed line) bare carbon paste electrode (CPE), at (b-solid black line) CPE modified with 5.0% w/w Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> microparticles (5.0% MPs/CPE) and at (c-solid grey line) CPE modified with 5.0% w/w mNPs@C nanoparticles (5.0% NPs/CPE). Supporting electrolyte: 0.050 mol L<sup>-1</sup> phosphate buffer solution pH 7.40. Scan rate: 0.100 Vs<sup>-1</sup>. (B) Cyclic voltammograms for 0.010 mol L<sup>-1</sup> hydrogen peroxide at CPE containing different percentages w/w of mNPs@C: 0.0 (a-dotted line), 2.5 (b-light grey line), 5.0 (c-dashed line) 10.0 (d-solid grey line) and 20.0 (e-solid black line) % w/w NPs. Other conditions as in Figure 2.A.



**Figure 3:** (A) Calibration plots obtained from amperometric experiments for successive additions of  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> hydrogen peroxide performed at -0.100 V at CPE containing different percentages w/w of mNPs@C: 0.0 (a), 2.5 (b), 5.0 (c), 10.0 (d), 15.0 (e), 20.0 (f) % w/w and uncoated magnetite nanoparticles 10.0% w/w (g). Supporting electrolyte: 0.050 mol L<sup>-1</sup> phosphate buffer solution pH 7.40. (B) Sensitivity towards hydrogen peroxide obtained from amperometric experiments shown in A at CPE containing different percentages w/w of mNPs@C: 0.0 (a), 2.5 (b), 5.0 (c), 10.0 (d), 15.0 (e), and 20.0 (f) % w/w and uncoated magnetite nanoparticles 10.0% w/w of mNPs@C: 0.0 (a), 2.5 (b), 5.0 (c), 10.0 (d), 15.0 (e), and 20.0 (f) % w/w and uncoated magnetite nanoparticles 10.0% w/w (g). Other conditions as in Figure 3.A.



**Figure 4:** (A) Amperometric recordings for successive additions of  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose performed at -0.100 V at CPE containing 10.0 % w/w mNPs@C and 5.0 % w/w GOx (10.0% mNPs@C/5.0%GOx/CPE). (B) Calibration plot obtained from the amperometric recordings (shown in A). Inset: Calibration plot for successive additions of 6.25 x  $10^{-4}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose in an extended range of concentrations. Other conditions as in Figure 3.



**Figure 5:** Current–time profiles performed at 10.0% mNPs@C /5.0%GOx/CPE) for an addition of  $5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  glucose and successive additions of (a)  $2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  AA (up to reach a final concentration of  $2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  and (b)  $4.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  UA (up to reach a final concentration of  $4.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ ). Other conditions as in Figure 3.

| Table 1  |  |                                       |                                   |                |                        |           |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Glucose biosensor  | Linear range (mol.L <sup>-1</sup> )        | Sensitivity (nA.L.mol <sup>-1</sup> ) | Long-term Stability               | R <sup>2</sup> | Detection limit<br>(M) | Ref.      |
| GOx-Fe3O4-CPE  | $1.0 \times 10^{-3} - 8.0 \times 10^{-3}$  | $(3.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^4$           | 90% after 10 months stored at 4°C | Not available  | 3.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> | [26]      |
| GOx-Fe-CPE   | $5.0 \times 10^{-4} - 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$  | (8.8±0.5) x 10 <sup>3</sup>           | 91% after 140 days stored at 4°C  | Not available  | 2.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> | [27]      |
| BQ-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -CPEE                        | $1.9 \times 10^{-8} - 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$  | 1.66 x 10 <sup>9</sup>                | Not available                     | 0.975          | 1.9 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> | [31]      |
| GOD/Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @SiO <sub>2</sub> /MWNTs/GC | $1 \times 10^{-6} - 3.0 \times 10^{-2}$    | Not available                         | Not available                     | 0.99994        | 8 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>   | [32]      |
| GOx/mNPs@C/CPE   | $1.25 \times 10^{-4} - 7.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $(1.62 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{5}$       | 100% after 100 days stored at 4°C | 0.9992         | 2.0 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> | This work |

Table 1: Comparison of performance of different electrochemical glucose biosensors based on magnetite or iron nanoparticles.

GOx / GOD: Glucose oxidase; Fe3O4: Magnetite nanoparticles electrochemically synthesized; Fe: Acicular iron nanoparticles obtained from thermal reduction of acicular goethite nanoparticles; Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>: SiO<sub>2</sub> coated magnetite nanoparticles; mNPs@C: C-coated magnetite nanoparticles; BQ:1,4-Benzoquinone; CPE: Carbon paste electrode; CPEE:Enzymatic (GOD) carbon paste electrode; MWNTs:Multiwalled carbon nanotubes; GC: Glassy carbon electrodes