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A category $\mathcal{C}$ is preadditive if each of its Hom sets $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)(A, B \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C}))$ is endowed with an abelian group structure in such a way that composition is $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear. That is, $f \circ(h+\ell)=f \circ h+f \circ \ell$ and $(f+g) \circ h=f \circ h+f \circ \ell$ for every $f, g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C), \quad h, \ell \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$, $A, B, C \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

An additive category is a preadditive category with a zero object in which any two pair of objects has a coproduct (equivalenty, a product - in a preadditive category, product, coproduct and biproduct of any two objects coincide).

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. An object $Z$ is called:

- An initial object of $\mathcal{C}$ if for every $C \in$ $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there is exactly one morphism $Z \rightarrow C$.
- A terminal object of $\mathcal{C}$ if for every $C \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there is exactly one morphism $C \rightarrow Z$.
- A null object (or a zero object) if it is both initial and terminal.

In a preadditive category, an object is initial if and only if it is terminal.

Recall that a ring $S$ is semisimple artinian if all right $S$-modules are injective; equiv., if all right $S$-modules are projective; equiv., if $\exists$ positive integers $t, n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{t}$ and division rings $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{t}$ such that $S \cong \prod_{i=1}^{t} M_{n_{i}}\left(k_{i}\right)$.

A commutative ring is semilocal if it has only finitely many maximal ideals.

An arbitrary (non-necessarily commutative) ring $R$ is semilocal if $R / J(R)$ is a semisimple artinian ring.
(The two notions agree on commutative rings!)

A category $\mathcal{C}$ is semilocal if it is a preadditive category with a non-zero object such that the endomorphism ring of every non-zero object is a semilocal ring.

Examples of semilocal categories:
(1) The full subcategory of Mod- $R$ whose objects are all artinian $R$-modules.
(2) If $R$ is a semilocal ring, the full subcategory of Mod- $R$ whose objects are all finitely presented right $R$-modules.
(3) If $R$ is a semilocal commutative ring, the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Mod}-R$ whose objects are all finitely generated $R$-modules.
(4) If $R$ is a commut. noeth. semilocal domain of Krull dim. 1, the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Mod}-R$ whose objects are all torsion-free $R$-modules of finite rank.
(5) Recall that a module is uniserial if its lattice of submodules is linearly ordered under inclusion. The full subcategory of Mod- $R$ whose objects are all finite direct sums of uniserial $R$-modules is a semilocal category.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, idempotents split in
$\mathcal{C}$ if for every object $A$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and every endomorphism $f$ of $A$ with $f^{2}=f$, there exist an object $B$ and morphisms $g: A \rightarrow B$ and $h: B \rightarrow A$ such that $h g=f$ and $g h=1_{B}$, where $1_{B}$ denotes the identity morphism of $B$.

The previous five examples are all examples of semilocal additive categories
in which idempotents split. (Since they are all full subcategories of $\operatorname{Mod}-R$, this simply means that their classes of objects are classes of modules closed under direct summands and finite direct sums.)

A commutative additive monoid is a set $M$ endowed with a binary operation + (addition) that is associative, commutative and has a neutral element 0 .
$\mathcal{C}$ additive category.
Fix a skeleton $V(\mathcal{C})$.
Then $V(\mathcal{C})$ is a commutative additive monoid: if $A, B \in V(\mathcal{C})$,

$$
A+B=A \coprod B .
$$

$(V(\mathcal{C})$ can possibly be a large monoid, i.e., it can be a proper class, not necessarily a set).

Theorem 1 If $\mathcal{C}$ is a semilocal additive category in which idempotents split, the monoid $V(\mathcal{C})$ is a Krull monoid.

Krull monoids are the analogues for commutative monoids of what Krull domains are in Commutative Algebra.

# Valuations of abelian groups, 

 Krull monoids[Chouinard, 1981]
$M$ additive, commutative, cancellative monoid
$M \subseteq G(M)$ and $G(M)$ is a torsion-free abelian group

A discrete valuation of an abelian group
$G$ is a surjective homomorphism
$v: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
$[\Rightarrow G \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \operatorname{ker} v]$
$\{x \in G \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$ is the valuation submonoid of $v$.
[ $\Rightarrow$ it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N} \oplus \operatorname{ker} v$ ]

For a commutative monoid $M$, set $U(M)=$
$\{a \in M \mid a$ has an opposite $-a \in M\}$.
$M$ is reduced if $U(M)=\{0\}$. For every monoid $M$, the monoid $M_{\text {red }}=M / U(M)$ is reduced.

A discrete valuation monoid is a monoid $M$ with $M_{\text {red }} \cong \mathbb{N}$.
$M$ is a Krull monoid if there exists a family $\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of discrete valuations $v_{i}: G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that:
(1) $M=\left\{x \in G(M) \mid v_{i}(x) \geq 0\right.$ for every $i \in I\}$;
(2) for every $x \in G(M)$ the set $\{i \in I \mid$ $\left.v_{i}(x) \neq 0\right\}$ is finite.

Theorem 2 [Ulrich Krause, 1989]
A commutative integral domain $R$ is a
Krull domain if and only if the monoid $R^{*}:=R \backslash\{0\}$ is a Krull monoid.
principal fractional ideals divisorial fractional ideals
$D(M)$ the set of all divisorial fractional ideals.
$D(M)$ is a commutative monoid with respect to the operation $*$ defined, for every $I, J \in D(M)$ by $I * J:=$ the intersection of all the principal fractional ideals containing $I+J . \quad \operatorname{Prin}(M):=$ \{non-zero principal fractional ideals $\}$. It is a subgroup of $D(M)$.
divisor class semigroup $\mathrm{Cl}(M):=D(M) / \operatorname{Prin}(M)$
essential valuations (for every $x, y \in M$ with $v(x) \leq v(y)$, there exists an $s \in M$ with $x \leq y+s$ and $v(s)=0$ )

There is a natural pre-order $\leq$ on every commutative additive monoid $M$, called the algebraic pre-order, defined by $x \leq y$ if there exists $z \in M$ such that $x+z=y$.
$M$ is a reduced Krull monoid if and only if there exist a set $X$ and a subgroup $G$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{(X)}$ such that $M \cong G \cap \mathbb{N}^{(X)}$, if and only if there is a monoid morphism $f$ of $M$ into a free commutative monoid $F=\mathbb{N}_{0}^{(X)}$ such that if $x, y \in M$ and $f(x) \leq f(y)$ in $F$ implies $x \leq y$ in $M$. [ $\Rightarrow$ reduced Krull monoid have a regular geometric structure.]


Four submonoids of $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}$ that are Krull monoids. Notice their regular geometric pattern.

Theorem. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a semilocal additive category in which idempotents split, the monoid $V(\mathcal{C})$ is a Krull monoid. Hence, for every semilocal category $\mathcal{C}$, $V(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{(X)} \Rightarrow$ every object of a semilocal category can be described up to isomorphism by finitely many non-zero positive integers.

## The technique

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a preadditive category. An ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ : a subgroup $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ for every $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, such that, for every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B), \psi \in$ $\mathcal{I}(B, C), \omega \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, D)$, one has that $\omega \psi \varphi \in \mathcal{I}(A, D)$.

The factor category $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{I}: \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{I})$
and, for every $A, B \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{I})$,
$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{I}}(A, B):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) / \mathcal{I}(A, B)$.

Two examples:
(1) The Jacobson radical. It is the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ defined as follows. If $A, B$ are objects of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{J}(A, B):=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \mid\right.$ $1_{A}-g f$ has a left inverse for all $\left.g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)\right\}$.
(2) The ideal of $\mathcal{C}$ associated to an ideal $I$ of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$, where $A$ is a non-zero object of $\mathcal{C}$ [F.-Příhoda].

For a non-zero object $A$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and a two-sided ideal $I$ of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$, let $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ be the ideal of the category $\mathcal{C}$ defined as follows: a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{I}(X, Y)$ if and only if $\beta f \alpha \in I$ for every pair of morphisms $\alpha: A \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: Y \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{C}$. We call $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{C}$ associated to $I$. The ideal $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ is the greatest of the ideals $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{I}(A, A) \subseteq I$. It is easily seen that $\mathcal{A}_{I}(A, A)=I$. Clearly, the ideals associated to two distinct ideals of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ are two distinct ideals of the category $\mathcal{C}$.

What about the maximal ideals of $\mathcal{C}$ ?

Lemma 3 [F.-Perone] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a preadditive category and $\mathcal{M}$ be a proper ideal of $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal ideal if and only if, for every object $A$ of $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{M}(A, A) \neq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$, one has that:
(1) $\mathcal{M}(A, A)$ is a maximal ideal of End $_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$, and (2) $\mathcal{M}$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{C}$ associated to $\mathcal{M}(A, A)$.

A preadditive category is simple if it has exactly two ideals, necessarily the trivial ones.

The factor category $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{M}$ is simple for every preadditive category $\mathcal{C}$ and every maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 4 The following conditions are equivalent for a preadditive category $\mathcal{C}$ :
(1) $\mathcal{C}$ is a simple category.
(2) $\mathcal{C}$ has a non-zero object, and every non-zero object of $\mathcal{C}$ is a generator and a cogenerator for $\mathcal{C}$ and has a simple endomorphism ring.
(3) $\mathcal{C}$ has a non-zero object and there exists a simple ring $R$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to a full subcategory of the category proj- $R$ of all finitely generated projective right $R$-modules.

Maximal ideals may not exist (even in small preadditive categories).

Example 5 Let $k$ be a division ring and $V_{n}$ a right vector space of dimension $\aleph_{n}$ for every $n<\omega$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the full subcategory of Mod- $k$ whose objects are the vector spaces $V_{n}, n<\omega$, so that $\mathcal{C}$ is a small preadditive category with countably many objects. For every $V_{k}, W_{k} \in$ $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $c \leq \aleph_{\omega}$, set $\mathcal{I}_{c}\left(V_{k}, W_{k}\right):=$ $\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{k}, W_{k}\right) \mid \operatorname{rank}(f)<c\right\}$. The ideals of $\mathcal{C}$ are
$0=\mathcal{I}_{1} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\aleph_{0}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\aleph_{1}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\aleph_{2}} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{I}_{\aleph_{\omega}}$. Maximal ideals do not exist in $\mathcal{C}$.

# This example also shows that, though every maximal ideal of a category $\mathcal{C}$ is the ideal associated to a maximal ideal of the endomorphism ring of a non-zero object of $\mathcal{C}$ (Lemma 2), the converse is not always true. 

The converse is true when the category $\mathcal{C}$ is semilocal.

Proposition 6 Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semilocal category. Then:
(1) Every ideal of $\mathcal{C}$ associated to a maximal ideal of the endomorphism ring of a non-zero object of $\mathcal{C}$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{C}$.
(2) In $\mathcal{C}$, every proper ideal is contained in a maximal ideal.
(3) Maximal ideals exist in $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $\operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{C})$ be the maximal spectrum of a preadditive category $\mathcal{C}$, that is, the "class" of all maximal ideals of $\mathcal{C}$.
$\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}$ a preadditive category for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where $\Lambda$ is a class. Define the weak direct sum $\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}$ of the categories $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}$ as follows. The objects of $\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}$ are the finite sets $\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}, A_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, A_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\lambda_{n}, A_{n}\right)\right\}$, where $n \geq 0$ is an integer, $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ are distinct elements of $\Lambda$ and $A_{i}$ is a non-zero object of $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_{i}}$ for every $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$. The set of all morphisms between two objects $\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}, A_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, A_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\lambda_{n}, A_{n}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(\mu_{1}, B_{1}\right),\left(\mu_{2}, B_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mu_{m}, B_{m}\right)\right\}$ of
the category $\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}$ is

$$
\oplus \underset{\substack{i=1, \ldots, n \\ j=1, \ldots, m \\ \lambda_{i}=\mu_{j}}}{i} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_{i}}}\left(A_{i}, B_{j}\right) .
$$

Theorem 7 Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semilocal category. Then the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{C}$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of $\mathcal{C}$ and, for every object $A$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there exist finitely many maximal ideals $\mathcal{M}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{n}(n \geq 0)$ such that, for every maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{C}, A$ is a non-zero object in $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
$\mathcal{C}$ a semilocal category $\Rightarrow$ complete $\mathcal{C}$ to an additive category $\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C})$ in which idempotents split (category of motives)
$\Rightarrow \operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C})$ also is semilocal and $\operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{C})=$ $\operatorname{Max}(\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C})) \Rightarrow$ apply the functor

$$
F: \operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \oplus_{\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{C})} \operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C}) / \mathcal{M}
$$

which is isomorphism reflecting and direct summand reflecting $\Rightarrow$ apply the functor $V$ to get a monoid homomorphism
$V(F): V(\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow V\left(\oplus_{\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{C})} \operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C}) / \mathcal{M}\right)=$ $\oplus_{\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{C})} V(\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C}) / \mathcal{M})$, which is injective and a divisor homomorphism. Now each $\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C}) / \mathcal{M}$ is $\cong \bmod -R$ for some simple artinian ring $\Rightarrow$ each object $A$ of the factor category $\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C}) / \mathcal{M} \cong \bmod -R$ is completely determined by its Goldie dimension (equal to the Goldie dimension of the semisimple artinian ring $\left.E^{\text {nd }_{\mathcal{C}}}{ }_{\mathcal{M}}(A)\right)$.
That is, $V(\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C}) / \mathcal{M}) \cong \mathbb{N}_{0} \Rightarrow$ there is a divisor homomorphism $V(\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{O}}^{(\operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{C}))} \Rightarrow V(\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{C}))$ is a Krull monoid, every object of $\mathcal{C}$ can be described up to iso. with natural numbers, geometric regularity of finite d.-s. decompositions.

## Example

Theorem 8 [F., TAMS 1996] Let $U_{R}$ be a uniserial module over an arbitrary ring $R$, let $E=\operatorname{End}\left(U_{R}\right)$ denote its endomorphism ring, and set $I:=\{f \in E \mid f$ is not injective $\}$ and $K:=\{f \in E \mid f$ is not surjective $\}$. Then $I$ and $K$ are two two-sided completely prime ideals of $E$, and every proper right ideal of $E$ and every proper left ideal of $E$ is contained either in $I$ or in K. Moreover, exactly one of the following two conditions hold:
(a) Either I and $K$ are comparable (that is, $I \subseteq K$ or $K \subseteq I$ ), in which case $E$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $I \cup K$, or
(b) $I$ and $K$ are not comparable, and in this case $E / I$ and $E / K$ are division rings, and $E / J(E) \cong E / I \times E / K$.

Two modules $U$ and $V$ are said to have

1. the same monogeny class, denoted
$[U]_{m}=[V]_{m}$, if there exist a monomorphism $U \rightarrow V$ and a monomorphism $V \rightarrow U ;$
2. the same epigeny class, denoted $[U]_{e}=$ $[V]_{e}$, if there exist an epimorphism $U \rightarrow V$ and an epimorphism $V \rightarrow U$.

Theorem 9 [F., TAMS 1996] Let $U_{1}$,
$\ldots, U_{n}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{t}$ be $n+t$ non-zero uniserial right modules over a ring $R$.

Then the direct sums $U_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{n}$ and $V_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{t}$ are isomorphic $R$-modules if and only if $n=t$ and there exist two permutations $\sigma$ and $\tau$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\left[U_{i}\right]_{m}=\left[V_{\sigma(i)}\right]_{m}$ and $\left[U_{i}\right]_{e}=$ $\left[V_{\tau(i)}\right]_{e}$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

If $\mathcal{C}=\{$ uniserial $R$-modules $\}$, then
$V(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow F_{m} \times F_{e}$ is a subdirect product of two free commutative monoids $F_{m}$ and $F_{e}$.

